

SWPPP COMPLIANCE INSPECTION FORM



Egen	N. Carlotte	
Project Name: Lot 52 Radford Hills Address: 880 Valley View Dr. Date: C	1/15/	111
Owner: Land to SKY Contractor (Gen/Sub): Land to SKY Construction rime	210	7
UDDGG D	3:1	5
UPDES Permit #: UT 2367835 Expiration: 6/22/15 Weather: Sunny Cloudy Raining Snowing Other:		
Date of last rain event: Duration: Approx. Rainfall (in):		
Inspected By (Print): Kela Huffaker Local Jurisdiction or County: Weber Count	u	
Reason for Inspection: Scheduled Complaint/Tip (Random) Receiving Waters: Pineview	J	
Inspection SW sampling (S) State		
Code (circle): Type Code (te	
SWPPP, EROSION, SEDIMENT AND HOUSEKEEPING BMP'S INFORMATION	YES	10 N/A
1. Is the SWPPP on site and accessible, or is the SWPPP location posted in an obvious place and reasonably accessible (in a short time)?	The same of the	
2. Are erosion control, sediment control, and good housekeeping BMP's installed on the site as shown in the SWPPP?		>-
3. Has the SWPPP been updated to reflect the current site conditions (modifications dated & initialed on site man, new BMPs on site man, discontinued		^
BMPs crossed off site map, new BMP details & spec's in SWPPP, SWPPP amendment Log. etc.)?	>	
I. Are on-site inspections being performed and recorded by a qualified person on a weekly or biweekly basis, reporting items required by permit? (Inspector ame &qualifications, weather, problems/repairs, corrective action, new BMPs, removed BMPs, discharges, etc.)		1
is. Have all corrective action items from previous inspections been addressed and documented within the time frame allotted by the inspector?	1 7	>
Are SW flows entering and leaving the construction site controlled, managed, or diverted around the site? (e.g. perimeter controls, berms, silt fence,	/	\
ipgradient boundary diversion, down gradient boundary sediment control, etc.)	X	
. Is there evidence of sediment discharge such as mud flows or soil deposits from the construction site in downstream locations?	X	
3. Is there evidence of vehicles tracking soil off the construction site?		>
. Is there soil, construction material, landscaping items, or other debris piled on impervious surfaces (roads, drives) that could be washed with SW to a	1	-
storm drain or water body? O. Is there a need to repair, maintain, or improve erosion control BMPs (temporary stabilization, erosion blankets, mulch, vegetated strips, rip rap, surface		<u> </u>
oughening, pipe slope drain, dust control, etc)?		1
1. Is there a need to repair, maintain, or improve sediment control BMPs (silt fence, check dams, fiber rolls, sediment trap/basin, inlet protection, waddles,	 	
traw bails, curb cut-back, etc?		X
 Is there a need to repair, maintain, or improve good housekeeping controls (clean track out pad, sweeping, construction materials management, tter/trash control, port-o-potties staked down, fueling areas, concrete wash out area, proper curb ramps, spill prevention, etc)? 		1
3. Are there disturbed areas that have not had construction activities for 14 to 21 days without stabilization? (except snow or frozen ground)?		/
4. Are there places where BMPs are needed and should be installed or not needed and should be removed?	1 3	>
COMMENTS AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS FOR SWPPP COMPLIANCE		
NO SWIPP located on Site		
spector, please list all applicable SEV codes: prify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified thered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including	fa	
spector: Vela Hoffaker PS (Print Name) (Title)	ng the possible Quality	ility 1