

SWPPP COMPLIANCE INSPECTION FORM

A STATE OF THE STA
A CANADA
WEBER COUNTY
thin the state of

A Million	phase 1047 think		1111
Project Name: TWP, Chalet		21/2	1111
owner: Ray Bowden	Delivery Control of Close II	aja	11-1
21	den		
	G.C. Indiana and I		
	SExpiration: 019 2012 Weather: Sunny Cloudy Raining Snowing Other:		
Date of last rain event:	Duration: Approx. Rainfall (in):		
nspected By (Print):	Local Jurisdiction or County: Weber County		
Reason for Inspection: Scheduled	Transfer of transf		
nspection SW sampling Inspection SW non-sampling Inspection	pector Code (circle): (S) State Type Code (circle): 1-Municipal 2 - Industrial 3 - State		
	(L) Local	1	
WPPP, El	ROSION, SEDIMENT AND HOUSEKEEPING BMP'S INFORMATION	YES N	IO N/A
. Is the SVVPPP on site and accessible, o	or is the SWPPP location posted in an obvious place and reasonably accessible (in a short time)? Indigood housekeeping BMP's installed on the site as shown in the SWPPP?		
. Has the SWPPP been updated to reflect	the current site conditions (modifications dated & initialed on site map, new BMPs on site map, discontinued		X
BMPs crossed off site map, new BMP deta	ails & spec's in SWPPP SWPPP amendment Log. etc.)2		X
Are on-site inspections being performed	and recorded by a qualified person on a weekly or biweekly basis, reporting items required by permit? (Inspector	_	1
Have all corrective action items from ore	epairs, corrective action, new BMPs, removed BMPs, discharges, etc.) evious inspections been addressed and documented within the time frame allotted by the inspector?		X
. Are SW flows entering and leaving the c	construction site controlled, managed, or diverted around the site? (e.g. perimeter controlls, berms, silt fence,		K
pgradient boundary diversion, down gradi	ent boundary sediment control, etc.)	X	
	such as mud flows or soil deposits from the construction site in downstream locations?	k	
. Is there evidence of vehicles tracking so)	c
torm drain or water body?	scaping items, or other debris piled on impervious surfaces (roads, drives) that could be washed with SW to a	8	
0. Is there a need to repair, maintain, or in	mprove erosion control BMPs (temporary stabilization, erosion blankets, mulch, vegetated strips, rip rap, surface		-
ougnening, pipe slope drain, dust control, e	etc)? nprove sediment control BMPs (silt fence, check dams, fiber rolls, sediment trap/basin, inlet protection, wattles,		
traw bails, curb cut-back, etc?		XI	
2. Is there a need to repair, maintain, or in	nprove good housekeeping controls (clean track out pad, sweeping, construction materials management,	-	
tter/trash control, port-o-potties staked dow	wn, fueling areas, concrete wash out area, proper curb ramps, spill prevention, etc)?	/	
4. Are there places where RMDs are pood.	had construction activities for 14 to 21 days without stabilization? (except snow or frozen ground)? led and should be installed or not needed and should be removed?		X
	COMMENTS AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS FOR SWPPP COMPLIANCE	X	
Couldr't find swp	e mittained opp on site. Needs to be accessible		
reidu ariu evaluateu trie intormation suomitteg ib	t all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified pen. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information and belief true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the		
Paux D. A.	an purpor Emile Level Land		17
erator: FOLY COLNORS	en hiver thailed to i pouder wire	du	REF
lified 8/12/10 / Print Name	(Title) (Signature)	10	•