

Staff Report to the Weber County Commission

Weber County Planning Division

Synopsis

Application Information				
Application Request:		Public hearing for consideration and action on an administrative application, Conditional Use Permit (CUP) 2013-03 (Summit at Powder Mountain Phase 1) consisting of a 154 unit Planned Residential Unit Development (PRUD)		
Agenda Date:		Tuesday, April 09, 2013		
Applicant:		Western America Holding LLC, applicant, Russ Watts (Summit Eden), representative		
File Number:		CUP 2013-03		
Property Information				
Approximate Address:		Powder Mountain		
Project Area:		594.23 Acres at Powder Mountain		
Zoning:		Commercial Valley Resort Recreation Zone (CVR-1), Forest- 40 Zone (F-40), and Forest		
		Valley-3 Zone (FV-3)		
Existing Land Use:		Powder Mountain Ski Resort		
Proposed Land Use:		Summit at Powder Mountain Phase 1 PRUD consisting of 154 units		
Parcel ID:		22-001-0002, 22-006-0007, 22-006-0018, 22-006-0020, 23-012-0029, 23-012-0030,		
		23-012-0032, 23-012-0052, 23-012-0054, 23-012-0069, 23-012-0118		
Township, Range, Section:		T7N, R1E, Sections 1 & 12; T7N, R2E, Sections 5, 6, 7, & 8		
Adjacent Land Use				
North:	Powder Mounta	in Ski Resort	South:	Powder Mountain Ski Resort
East:	Powder Mounta	in Ski Resort	West:	Vacant Private Property
Staff Information				
Report Presenter:		Jim Gentry, Sean Wilkinson		
		jgentry@co.weber.ut.us, swilkinson@co.weber.ut.us 801-399-8767, 801-399-8765		
Report Reviewer:		RS		
• • • • • •				

Report Reviewer:

Applicable Ordinances

- Weber County Subdivision Ordinance
- Weber County Zoning Ordinance Chapter 8 (Forest Zones F-5, F-10, and F-40)
- Weber County Zoning Ordinance Chapter 9-C (Commercial Valley Resort Recreation Zone CVR-1) .
- Weber County Zoning Ordinance Chapter 12-B (Forest Valley Zone FV-3)
- Weber County Zoning Ordinance Chapter 18-C (Architectural, Landscape, and Screening Design Standards)
- . Weber County Zoning Ordinance Chapter 22-C (Conditional Uses - Special Provisions)
- Weber County Zoning Ordinance Chapter 22-D (Planned Residential Unit Development (P.R.U.D.))
- . Weber County Zoning Ordinance Chapter 23 (Supplementary and Qualifying Regulations)
- Weber County Zoning Ordinance Chapter 24 (Parking and Loading Space, Vehicle Traffic, and Access Regulations)
- Weber County Zoning Ordinance Chapter 32-B (Ogden Valley Signs)
- Weber County Zoning Ordinance Chapter 36 (Design Review)
- Weber County Zoning Ordinance Chapter 36-B (Hillside Development Review Procedures and Standards)
- Weber County Zoning Ordinance Chapter 37 (Standards for Single-Family Dwellings)
- Weber County Zoning Ordinance Chapter 38 (Natural Hazards Overlay District) .
- Weber County Zoning Ordinance Chapter 39 (Ogden Valley Lighting)
- Weber County Zoning Ordinance Chapter 40 (Ogden Valley Pathways)

Type of Decision

Administrative Decisions: When the Planning/County Commission is acting as a land use authority, it is acting in an administrative capacity and has much less discretion. Examples of administrative applications are design reviews, flag lots, and subdivisions. Administrative applications must be approved by the Planning Commission if the application demonstrates compliance with the approval criteria.

Background

On November 19, 2012 the Weber County Commission approved a Zoning Development Agreement and Rezone Ordinance with Western America Holding LLC for approximately 4,297 acres at Powder Mountain. The agreement outlined the requirements for development to occur and provided an overall density entitlement of 2,800 units. Western America Holding LLC has allowed Summit Eden to submit a CUP application for a 154 unit PRUD as the first phase of development. It is anticipated that Summit Eden will be the future property owner and developer of Powder Mountain. The PRUD boundary contains approximately 594 total acres with approximately 384 acres (64%) of open space. In addition to the PRUD the first development phase consists of three applications including:

- A Road Dedication Plat on the existing dirt road from the main parking area to the end of the development
- A CUP application for a 400,000 gallon water tank, culinary water well, and well pump house
- An Access Exception application for eight lots that will have access at a location other than across the front lot line

The Ogden Valley Planning Commission (OVPC) considered this PRUD on February 26th and voted to table the application to give the developers time to provide additional information on several issues. The OVPC reconsidered this PRUD on March 19th and recommended approval of the application to the County Commission, subject to several conditions and requirements. Chapter 22-D (Planned Residential Unit Development) of the Weber County Zoning Ordinance requires the County Commission to hold a public hearing prior to making a decision on the PRUD application. The County Commission's approval of the PRUD constitutes site plan approval and preliminary subdivision approval, though several issues may still need to be addressed prior to final subdivision approval.

In order to make this staff report as simple and clear as possible, an overview of the PRUD is provided and each of the PRUD components is discussed in its own section below. After all of the various sections have been discussed, a summary of the PRUD and Conditional Use criteria will be discussed in the "Summary of County Commission Considerations" section. The OVPC and Planning Division recommendations are also provided.

PRUD Overview

The CVR-1, F-40, and FV-3 Zones each list "Planned Residential Unit Development" as a conditional use. PRUDs are subject to the requirements of the Weber County Zoning Ordinance Chapters 22-D (PRUD) and 22-C (Conditional Use) as well as other chapters related to individual design components of the PRUD. The applicable chapters are listed above in the "Applicable Ordinances" section. Chapter 22-D states that PRUDs are intended to "allow for diversification in the relationship of various uses and structures to their sites and to permit more flexibility of such sites and to encourage new and imaginative concepts in the design of neighborhood and housing projects in urbanizing areas. To this end, the development should be planned as one complex land use." PRUDs allow for variations to housing types, setbacks, lot area, lot width, and other zoning requirements of the zone where the PRUD is located. However, substantial compliance with zoning regulations must be observed such that the public health, safety, and general welfare are preserved. PRUDs also act as preliminary subdivision approval; final plats are required to go back to the Planning Commission and County Commission for approval.

The PRUD boundary contains approximately 594 total acres of which 463 acres can be used for development. Of the 463 acres, 384 acres (64%) are designated as open space. The site plan shows 116 lots with 154 total units. A conference center (Lot 76) is also included within the FV-3 Zone which allows "Conference/Education Center as a conditional use. The conference center is considered a non-residential accessory use which is a "necessary service." Non-residential accessory uses are allowed in a PRUD of at least 100 units upon approval of the Planning Commission and County Commission. The developer is required to provide agreements and restrictive covenants to assure that the approved "necessary service" intent is maintained. If the conference center is approved as part of the PRUD, it is required to come before the Planning Commission for Conditional Use approval prior to construction. There are no other commercial uses proposed in this PRUD, but there are several parcels designated as "Future Development Parcel" where commercial development may occur if the current zoning is changed. Approval of this PRUD does not grant approval of future uses on these parcels.

Lot/Unit and Housing Types

The lot/unit and housing types include the following:

- Ranch Single-Family: Ranch lots include Lots 1-4, 9, and 10. These lots range in size from approximately 4 to 30 acres with designated building envelopes. Proposed yard setbacks are 50 feet from the front lot line, 20 feet from the side lot lines, and 30 feet from the rear lot line. Proposed building heights comply with the FV-3 Zone. There are no accessory buildings proposed for these lots.
- Estate Single-Family: Estate lots include Lots 5-8, 11-23, and 36-43. These lots range in size from approximately .5 to 4.5 acres with designated building envelopes. Proposed yard setbacks are 20 feet from the front lot line, 10 feet from the side lot lines, and 30 feet from the rear lot line. Proposed building heights comply with the FV-3 Zone. There are no accessory buildings proposed for these lots.

- Cabin Single-Family: Cabin lots include Lots 24-34. These lots range in size from approximately .5 to 1 acre with designated building envelopes. Proposed yard setbacks are 20 feet from the front lot line, 15 feet from the side lot lines, and 30 feet from the rear lot line. Proposed building heights comply with the FV-3 Zone. There are no accessory buildings proposed for these lots.
- Hillside Single-Family: Hillside lots include Lots 44-46 and 63-86. These lots range in size from approximately 2,600 square feet to 1.2 acres with designated building envelopes. Proposed yard setbacks are 5 feet from the front lot line, 5 feet from the side lot lines, and 20 feet from the rear lot line. Proposed building heights comply with the FV-3 Zone. There are no accessory buildings proposed for these lots.
- Village Single-Family: Village lots include Lots 47-56, 58-62, and 107-115. These lots range in size from approximately 1,300 to 3,000 square feet and do not have building envelopes due to the small lot size. Proposed yard setbacks are 0 feet from the front lot line, 0 feet from the side lot lines, and 5 feet from the rear lot line. Proposed building heights comply with the FV-3 Zone except Lot 115 is proposed to have a main building height of 40 feet. There are no accessory buildings proposed for these lots.
- Village Live/Work Single-Family: Village Live/Work lots include Lots 57 and 87-106. These lots range in size from approximately 870 to 3,000 square feet. No yard setbacks and no accessory buildings are proposed for these lots. Proposed building heights comply with the FV-3 Zone except Lots 87-106 are proposed to have a main building height of 40 feet.
- Nest Units: Nest Units are proposed for Lots 35, 76, and 116. These lots range in size from approximately 1.3 to 3.6 acres. Lot 35 has 15 nest units, Lot 76 has 5 nest units, and Lot 116 has 20 nest units. The nest units do not function as full time single-family dwellings. Rather, they are more like individual hotel units that will be sold with fractional ownership. Chapter 22-D requires that these units and any others to be used for timeshares, nightly rentals, etc. be designated on the site plan. There are no yard setbacks proposed for these lots. Lot 35 has a 160 square foot "storage cabin" as shown on the site plan. A separate condominium plat for the nest units is required.

Architecture

Architectural renderings of the different housing types are provided in Exhibit F. The architectural style of this PRUD is termed by the developers "modern mountain design" and it is quite different compared to other developments in the Ogden Valley. The style uses a variety of wood, stone, glass, and metal materials with varying roof designs. The County Commission must consider whether the architectural design of the PRUD fits with this site and with development beyond this project, i.e., the existing dwellings, condominiums, and commercial buildings already built at Powder Mountain.

Typically with PRUDs, the architectural renderings show the size and location of what is actually going to be built on a given lot or building footprint. However, the developers have taken a different approach with this PRUD. They have provided building envelopes, setbacks, and basic conceptual designs only, in order to leave as much flexibility as possible for the future lot owners who will build the homes. Chapter 22-D (PRUD) Section 6 states "Building uses, building locations, lot area, width, yard, height and coverage regulations proposed shall be determined acceptable by approval of the site development plan." The County Commission must determine if sufficient information has been provided to show what the overall design and character of the project will be and whether this fits the purpose and intent of Chapter 22-D (PRUD).

The developers have provided a variety of photographs and design guidelines for the single-family housing units, and renderings and design guidelines for the nest units. This information provides much sufficient detail for the County Commission to consider, while still providing enough flexibility for "custom" home designs. The Summit Eden Design Guidelines submitted with the application show similar housing styles and provide restrictions on size, height, design, etc., but this is a private document for potential homeowners, governed by a Design Review Board, and is not adopted by the County. The Planning Division's main concern with the architectural submittal is that it provides sufficient detail to help staff feel comfortable in making an objective decision about whether or not a specific house plan complies with the PRUD approval. The new information provides enough detail to allay these concerns, but the Planning Division recommends that each house plan submitted for a building permit be accompanied by a certification from the Summit Eden Design Review Board that the house plans comply with their Design Guidelines and the PRUD approval. All of the proposed dwelling units must comply with applicable zoning ordinance and building code requirements including ADA compliance, and the PRUD approval.

In addition to housing styles, the County Commission should also consider building locations. The site plan shows building envelopes and setbacks, but building footprints have not been identified. Chapter 22-D Section 7 states that development plans must show "uses, dimensions and locations of proposed structures," among other items. The County Commission must determine if the building envelopes and setbacks are sufficient to meet the "dimensions and locations" standard of Section 7. Accessory buildings are not proposed for any of the single-family dwelling lots.

Roads and Traffic Circulation

The Developers have provided information showing which roads are public and private. The PRUD has eight different roads referred to on the site plan as Roads A-H. Roads A and E are proposed to be public, though the proposed right-of-way width on Road E is 36 feet rather than the typical 66 feet. Road E is designated as a public road because it provides access to the proposed conference center on Lot 76. Road A is the main road into the PRUD. It will be improved from the existing Powder Mountain parking lot to the end of this

development. Road A has a 66 foot right-of-way width and 26 feet of pavement until it reaches the Village area where the pavement width is reduced to 22 feet. Roads B, C, and F have 50 foot right-of-way widths and 26 feet of pavement. Roads D, G, and H which are located in the Village area have 36 foot right-of-way widths and 22 feet of pavement. The roads will have rolled gutter on both sides and the pavement is asphalt except for two small areas in the Village where colored concrete is proposed. Sidewalks are not proposed except in a small portion of the Village on Street A where concrete and pavers are proposed. There are also four ski crossings (two underpasses and two bridges) shown on the site plan. Three of the ski crossings are on Road A and one is on Road E. The ski crossing designs must be approved by the County Engineering Division.

The road pattern has connectivity and appears to be a feasible design subject to the requirements of the Weber County Engineering Division and the Weber Fire District. The 36 foot right-of-way widths, the 22 foot pavement widths, the alternative paving materials, and other alternative design elements will require a variance to the Weber County Subdivision Ordinance from the County Commission. The County Commission will also determine whether or not to accept Roads A and E as public roads. One of the concerns is the cost of maintenance, repairs, and snow removal/storage on roads in a remote location that can only be accessed from a State Road that the County does not maintain. The developers need to consider this issue moving forward and come to an agreement with the County on these issues. In addition to the proposed roads, the developers have provided a right-of-way location for a future secondary access. The secondary access provides a connection from Powder Mountain to Highway 39 via the existing County road through Evergreen, Sunridge, and Vista. The secondary access will not be improved at this time. The majority of this right-of-way is proposed to be in Cache County and future discussion between the Counties may be necessary when improvements are planned. The location of this secondary access is subject to change as the developers continue to work with the County review agencies.

Access Exception Application

The developers have submitted an application to allow access to Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 10, 19, and 42 at a location other than across the front lot line. This request constitutes an access exception and is governed by Chapter 23 Sections 29 and 32 of the Weber County Zoning Ordinance. Access exceptions can be granted due to special or unique topographic, boundary, or other physical conditions that may cause dangerous or undesirable conditions for access across the front lot line. Lots 1-4, 9, and 10 are proposed as large ranch lots and are located on buildable terrain that is only accessible by a shared driveway due to topographic restraints (mainly steep slopes) and limits on roadway standards. These lots will be provided with an access easement for the private driveway location as shown on the site plan. The developers are proposing design elements, i.e., access width less than 20 feet and radius areas less than 75 feet that do not comply with Section 29. These design elements must be corrected or a variance obtained from the Weber County Board of Adjustment. Lots 19 and 42 have similar topographic constraints and require use of a shared private drive or access easement. These private drives are now shown on the site plan, but they also must meet the 20 foot width standard of Chapter 29 or obtain a variance. The Planning and Engineering Divisions agree that these access exceptions make sense and should be approved subject to meeting the required design standards.

Restricted Lots

This PRUD is located in a mountainous area where there are existing topographic, geological, and physical constraints on lots. Lots 6, 12-14, 23, 38-44, and 46 are classified as restricted lots. These lots have an average slope of 25% or greater and do not have buildable areas of at least 75 feet by 100 feet with an average slope of less than 25%. Restricted lots are designated on the site plan and subdivision plat with an "R" following the lot number. A Hillside Review must be completed on these lots prior to any construction taking place. The developers have provided verification that these lots meet the requirements of the lot tables in Chapter 36-B (Hillside Development Review Procedures and Standards).

Parking

Chapter 24 (Parking Regulations) of the Weber County Zoning Ordinance requires two side by side parking spaces for each single-family dwelling on the same lot as the dwelling. Each of the lots in this PRUD must meet this standard and the developers have stated that this will be done. The nest units will be treated differently than single-family dwellings because they function as hotel units and do not have vehicular access. Therefore, at least one parking space per nest unit is recommended. The nest units on Lot 35 have one parking space per unit, though the parking spaces are on the street, uncovered, and there is no vehicular access to the units. Lot 116 is similar, but the parking spaces are located in covered garages adjacent to the street. Units 1-8 have two-car garages beneath and there are two standalone four-car garages provided as well. The two-car garages provide one space for the nest unit to which they are attached and one space for another unit. Using this method there are sufficient parking spaces for the nest units on Lot 116. There are no parking spaces shown for the five nest units on Lot 76. The developers have stated that these units will have designated parking areas at the conference center parking lot. This is similar to the on-street parking for Lot 35. The Planning Commission is granted authority to adjust the required number of spaces listed in Chapter 24 if in its determination "unusual or unique circumstances or conditions relating to the operational characteristics of the use exist in a manner or to such a degree that such adjustment is equitable and warranted." The Planning Commission recommended approval of a variance from two parking spaces to one for the nest units.

Open Space, Recreational Amenities, and Trails

Dedicated open space consists of approximately 384 acres or 64% of the net developable area within the PRUD boundary. Many of the larger lots also have areas outside of the designated building envelopes that will act as open space, though they will not be dedicated. The Village has one area labeled as "The Park" which also acts as open space. This area will have a 12 foot by 12 foot concrete stage as shown on the site plan. Some of the open space areas also have ski easements or are designated as areas for future ski lifts. Trails are

also a large component of the open space and recreation plan. The developers are providing an extensive network of new trails and enhancing some of the existing trails and access roads. The developers have provided a Powder Mountain Trails Master Plan map that is to be used as a guide for future trail design but not as the exact route of every pathway. The majority of the trails will be designed and constructed as natural surface, multi-use trails which can be used by hikers, bikers, and runners in both directions. In addition, some of the trails will be wide gravel or paved trails that will allow a variety of walkers, hikers, and bikers to access the Village Center and other private facilities. A few of the trails will be one-way downhill and slope style (lift served) mountain biking trails that will be open to the public. Equestrian specific trails are also proposed to be constructed within the Summit development. The trail loop in Geertsen Meadow will be groomed for cross country skiing in the winter, and some of the trails may be used for snowshoeing where conflicts with alpine skiers and snowboarders will not occur. After meeting with the Planning Division and Weber Pathways, the developers have committed to provide access and connections to existing trails on the Weber Pathways Ogden Valley Master Plan. The developers have also stated that future applications in the DRR-1 Zone will include a trails plan for the entire Powder Mountain development. Trails will be provided within the PRUD boundary to meet the Ogden Valley Pathways zoning ordinance requirement.

Landscaping

Landscaping plans are not required for the single-family dwelling lots, though most of them have large existing areas of natural landscaping. The Summit Eden Design Guidelines provide the following instruction for homeowners: "Landscape and site design are to provide a gradual transition from the structure or built element to the natural lot area both to match adjacent landscapes and enhance the patterns of the existing native landscape. Home sites are to maintain existing site drainage patterns, minimize grading and vegetation removal, consider view corridors from other properties and/or common use areas, protect and utilize distinctive natural features (e.g., rock outcroppings, vegetation, topography), integrate man-made improvements with the site, and avoid highly prominent ridgelines and skylines. Landscape improvements should incorporate, rehabilitate, and enhance existing vegetation, utilize indigenous and/or regional species of plant materials, and minimize areas of intensive irrigation. New trees and shrub plantings are to be a mix of sizes that will blend naturally into the surrounding vegetation near the development's edges."

Landscape plans have been provided for the nest areas and the Village. The applicant will plant 350 additional trees in these areas as shown on the site plan. The developer has stated that all of the new landscaping will be irrigated with a drip irrigation system that will be designed as it is built to maximize efficiency. The County Commission must determine if the landscape plans are sufficient for the PRUD.

Signage Plan

Chapter 22-D (PRUD) Section 8 states that the Planning Commission shall consider "The size, location, design, and nature of signs if any, and the intensity and direction of area of flood lighting." The developers have stated "No signs are proposed in this PRUD submittal. Any future signs will be provided as part of a separate submittal/process."

Lighting Plan

Chapter 22-D (PRUD) Section 8 states that the Planning Commission shall consider "The size, location, design, and nature of signs if any, and the intensity and direction of area of flood lighting." The developers have stated "No lights are proposed in this PRUD submittal. Any future lights will be provided as part of a separate submittal/process."

Financial Feasibility

Chapter 22-D (PRUD) Section 8 states that the Planning Commission shall consider "The demonstrated ability of the proponents of the Planned Residential Unit Development to financially carry out the proposed project under total or phase development proposals within the time limit established." The developers have provided a summary of financial information for the County Commission to consider.

Water and Sewer

Powder Mountain Water and Sewer Improvement District has provided feasibility letters for water and sewer services as required by Chapter 22-C (Conditional Uses). The letters are subject to several requirements and the plans require approval from the District, the Weber County Engineering Division, and the State Division of Drinking Water. The current feasibility letters are for 141 units and they must be updated to include the 154 units proposed for the PRUD. The Weber County Subdivision Ordinance requires a Capacity Assessment Letter from the Division of Drinking Water prior to final subdivision approval from the Planning Commission, and a Construction Permit from the Division of Drinking Water for expansion of the water system prior to the subdivision neceiving final approval from the County Commission. Final subdivision approval cannot be recommended by the Planning Commission until all of the agencies mentioned previously have approved the new water system expansion. The Weber County Engineering Division has requested a capacity assessment letter, stamped by an engineer regarding the capacity of the existing sewer lagoons. The developers have not yet provided this information. This capacity assessment letter is required prior to final subdivision approval. The Development Agreement between Weber County and Western America Holding LLC states in Section 6.6 "The total number of dwellings and supporting buildings shall be limited by the provision of the necessary water, sewer and other utility infrastructure to support such development. No development shall be allowed unless Developer demonstrates the ability to provide water, sewer and other necessary infrastructure in accordance with state laws, rules and regulations and county ordinances."

Payment of delinguent taxes on property within the PRUD boundary

Delinquent property taxes must be paid prior to final subdivision approval from the County Commission.

Emergency Services

The Planning Division has received the Community Wildfire Prevention, Evacuation, and Suppression plan completed in conjunction with the Weber Fire District, Utah Division of Forestry, Fire and State Lands, and other agencies. This plan is required by the development agreement and will be updated with each new phase of development at Powder Mountain. The developers have designated a parcel for a future fire station and sheriff's office, but it is located outside the PRUD boundary in an area for future development.

Development in Cache County

Lots 32-35 and a portion of Road C are located in Cache County. The developers and Weber County have approached Cache County concerning an interlocal agreement that would designate Weber County as the Land Use Authority for these lots. Cache County has responded favorably to the request and discussions are ongoing but an agreement has not been finalized. Final subdivision approval is contingent on approval of the interlocal agreement.

Preliminary Subdivision Approval/Phasing Plan

Chapter 22-D (PRUD) states "If the Planned Residential Unit Development or phase thereof is to be subsequently divided as a "Subdivision" into phase development parcels or separately owned and operated units, such division boundaries shall be indicated on the development plan and preliminary subdivision approval concurrently obtained in the case of a "Subdivision". In addition, the subdivision must be brought under the same taxing districts so that the lots are not divided by taxing districts after the subdivision plat is recorded. The developers have provided a preliminary subdivision plat and a phasing plan showing three phases. Phase 1 contains 22 lots, Phase 2 contains 13 lots including 15 nest units on Lot 35, and Phase 3 contains 80 lots including 5 nest units on Lot 76 and 20 nest units on Lot 116.

Zoning Development Agreement

The developers have complied with the requirements of the zoning development agreement for this phase. These requirements and the analysis of each are listed below:

Developer shall address site specific avalanche hazards at the site plan level of approvals for development.

Powder Mountain Resort has provided a letter and a map of specific avalanche hazards sites. According to the letter, the areas of proposed development are considered to have low risk of avalanche danger because their location is above the identified possible avalanche paths.

The Developer shall seek input from the U.S. Forest Service to develop and implement a wildfire prevention, evacuation, and suppression plan for the Project. Developer shall address phase and site specific wildfire hazards and management plans at the time of and within all development review applications.

The Planning Division has received the Community Wildfire Prevention, Evacuation, and Suppression plan completed in conjunction with the Weber Fire District, Utah Division of Forestry, Fire and State Lands, and other agencies. This plan will be updated with each new phase of development at Powder Mountain.

<u>Developer agrees to follow the recommendations of the State of Utah Department of Environmental Quality and Utah Geological</u> <u>Survey as outlined in letters dated October 12, 2007 and September 18, 2007 respectively.</u>

Western Geologic (2012) has performed recent field work to identify landslides and other geologic hazards at the site. Based on the subsurface conditions encountered at the site, it is the opinion of the geologist that portions of the subject site outside of mapped landslides are suitable for the proposed development. Areas within mapped landslides may be suitable for limited development; however, additional site-specific geotechnical/geologic studies will be required on a case-by-case basis to assess the relative risk of future movement potential and to design suitable measures for landslide hazard mitigation, as required. Site development is also subject to Weber County Hillside Review Development Standards.

The Parties recognize the benefits of minimizing road miles, and road widths to protect the natural habitat and they further recognize the benefit of clustering development. The Developer agrees as part of the amendment process to the Concept Development Plan to a design that minimizes road miles, road widths and encourages clustering.

The Developers had the following response, "As part of the proposed development, homes will be clustered to develop a bustling resort community while reducing environmental and visual impacts. In areas where structures are placed away from the core, every effort will be made to reduce the visual impact of the structure. This will be accomplished by utilizing natural grading and landscaping, as well as an unprecedented approach to limiting the overall footprint of the proposed structures. The proposed road alignments have been curved to follow the natural contours of the mountain and the road widths have been reduced as recommended by the County Engineer."

<u>Developer shall incorporate principles of sustainability into the development when practical and feasible. Developer shall demonstrate practicality and feasibility at the time of and within all development review applications.</u>

The developers have provided a Design Guideline book for landscaping, architectural design, building mass, exterior lighting, and other site improvements. The book also has a section on sustainability standards, which states "all buildings, site landscaping, and construction at Summit Eden should be healthy, durable, restorative, and a complement to the natural landscape. The design of the site and buildings must incorporate sustainable building design and construction practices, including: utilization of renewable and highly efficient energy

systems, "green" building materials, recycling of construction waste, utilization of natural day lighting and water conservation measures". In addition, roads, landscaping, drainage designs, and other aspects of the development have incorporated sustainability elements.

Developer shall consider comments made by the State of Utah Division of Wildlife Resources (DWR) at the site plan level of approvals for development per the existing Resource Development Coordinating Committee (RDCC) process including trails and wildlife buffers. DWR comments, eligible for consideration, shall be those submitted prior to a Planning Commission meeting where the related application is being considered for the first time. Reasonable and customary wildlife buffers will be part of the Developer submittals for design review/subdivision applications.

The developers have submitted a wildlife due diligence report and have agreed to consider the DWR comments received for this project.

<u>Developer agrees that a minimum of 30% of the total proposed project's gross acreage shall be permanently preserved as open space</u> in any development application/plans for any improvements within the project.

Approximately 64.4 percent of the PRUD's net developable area will be preserved as space. Chapter 22-D requires an open space easement to be dedicated to Weber County in order to guarantee preservation and maintenance of the open space areas. This will be required as part of final subdivision approval if easements are not in place prior to final subdivision approval.

Developer agrees that all construction will utilize best management practices. Final site plan applications made to Weber County shall be accompanied by a summary of the best management practices being utilized.

The applicant will be incorporating all reasonable best management practices (BMP's) into its erosion control plan and Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for the proposed development by using silt fences, limiting the disturbed area, and other practices. This applies to infrastructure and home construction.

The Developer shall present a transit plan with an implementation methodology that may include but not be limited to:

A. Airport shuttle.

B. Complimentary on and offsite transit service.

C. Park and Ride provided in Ogden City or other approved Wasatch Front location.

D. Mandatory employee shuttle originating from Wasatch Front.

This Plan is to be presented and approved prior to or in conjunction with any site plan/subdivision submittal.

The developers will continue to lease the property adjacent to the Valley Market for use as a park and ride. The shuttle service will be required for most Powder Mountain employees. Powder Mountain has also been coordinating with UTA on increasing bus services from Ogden to Powder Mountain. The bus services currently run daily.

Developer agrees that air transportation into the Resort and Resort air operations will comply with the standards and requirement for helicopters in the Ogden Valley. Helicopters are allowed only in the DRR-1 and F-40 Zones, subject to applicable standards and requirements. "Resort air Operations" refers to those aerial operations vital to construction and management of the resort, i.e., lift installation and avalanche control.

No aerial operations have been planned at this point. Any future aerial operations must comply with these requirements.

The Parties will work together collectively and with local residents to set reasonable limitations on construction traffic to provide a safe working environment on the existing access road and surrounding roads. These limitations will be presented to the Planning Commission for approval prior to or in conjunction with any site plan/ subdivision submittal. Developer shall make reasonable accommodations to ease construction traffic, such as placing staging areas in appropriate areas and providing lower level parking areas and shuttles for construction workers.

Summit will be working with its selected contractor or contractors to develop a traffic control plan. Items that will be considered in this plan include: Creating construction materials on site to reduce construction traffic, coordinating deliveries of materials during non-peak hours, mandating carpooling whenever possible, employing traffic control as necessary for public safety, and isolating construction activities to on-site whenever possible. The finalizations of these plans are heavily contingent upon contractor selection and the means by which native materials can be processed for construction. A detailed traffic control, staging and commuting plan will be developed with the selected contractor and will be presented for approval prior to any construction on-site.

Upon completion and sale of the first unit, the developer or its successors in interest shall donate \$100,000 which sum shall be used solely for the benefit of the local community by purchasing and maintaining open lands and other community projects, as determined by the County."

The developer intends to meet this requirement.

Developer shall adopt and record a reinvestment fee covenant that complies with the requirement of the Utah State Code for such covenants.

A reinvestment fee will be applied to all transfers of lots, homes, and units, with certain exceptions such as sales by the developer to initial buyers, bulk sales for development purposes, transfers of employee housing units, transfers between family members, and certain other transfers that are excluded by Utah law. The fee amount is 1.5% of the gross selling price of the applicable real property. It will be collected at closing and paid to the master owners' association established for the project. Reinvestment fee funds will be used by the master owners' association only for purposes permitted under Utah law, which include the following:

- Common planning, facilities, and infrastructure;
- Transportation features, such as a village shuttle system and other programs to reduce traffic impacts;
- Community activities and programming;
- Common resort facilities and recreational amenities;
- Open space preservation;
- Charitable purposes; and
- Master association expenses

Summary of County Commission Considerations

In addition to the requirements of applicable ordinances addressed above, the County Commission should consider the requirements of Chapters 22-C (Conditional Uses) and Chapter 22-D (PRUD), and the requirements of the Zoning Development Agreement. Chapter 22-C states "Conditional uses shall be approved on a case-by-case basis. The Planning Commission (County Commission for PRUD's) shall not authorize a conditional use permit unless evidence is presented to establish:

- 1. Reasonably anticipated detrimental effects of a proposed conditional use can be substantially mitigated by the proposal or by the imposition of reasonable conditions to achieve compliance with applicable standards. Examples of potential negative impacts are odor, vibration, light, dust, smoke, or noise.
- 2. That the proposed use will comply with the regulations and conditions specified in the Zoning Ordinance and other applicable agency standards for such use."

Chapter 22-D (PRUD) Section 8 states: In considering the proposed Planned Residential Unit Development, the Planning Commission (County Commission for PRUD's) shall consider:

- 1. The architectural design of buildings and their relationship on the site and development beyond the boundaries of the proposal.
- 2. Which streets shall be public and which shall be private; the entrances and exits to the development and the provisions for internal and external traffic circulation and off-street parking.
- 3. The landscaping and screening as related to the several uses within the development and as a means of its integration into its surroundings.
- 4. The size, location, design, and nature of signs if any, and the intensity and direction of area of flood lighting.
- 5. The residential density of the proposed development and its distribution as compared with the residential density of the surrounding lands, either existing or as indicated on the Zoning Map or Master Plan proposals of Weber County as being a desirable future residential density.
- 6. The demonstrated ability of the proponents of the Planned Residential Unit Development to financially carry out the proposed project under total or phase development proposals within the time limit established.

The Planning Division has determined that due to the development's location, large open space areas, and compliance with applicable ordinance criteria and the zoning development agreement, the potential detrimental effects listed in the ordinance are mitigated. The County Commission must now determine if the PRUD information is sufficient and if the conditional use criteria, PRUD criteria, and zoning development agreement requirements have been met. In making this determination the County Commission should consider the following questions:

- Does the County Commission have sufficient information to find that the PRUD application meets the criteria and requirements of applicable county ordinances and the zoning development agreement?
- Are the proposed site design drawings, housing types, setbacks, building heights, landscape plans, and architectural renderings sufficient to qualify for a PRUD as described in Chapter 22-D?
- Are the proposed street patterns and designs appropriate for safety and traffic circulation?
- Should the Access Exception application be approved pending necessary design changes or variances?
- Is the parking plan sufficient for the village and nest units?
- Are there any potentially detrimental effects that need to be mitigated by imposing conditions of approval, and if so, what are the appropriate conditions?

If additional information is found to be necessary, the County Commission should specifically identify what is needed and ask for further clarification from the Developers. The conditional use criteria cannot be considered complete until the County Commission is satisfied with the overall PRUD site plan and design. If the Commission finds that this development meets the conditional use and PRUD criteria, and meets the requirements of the zoning development agreement, then site plan approval and preliminary subdivision approval can be granted. The OVPC recommendation is shown below. If the County Commission agrees with the OVPC's findings and recommendations, then those findings and recommendations can be adopted as part of the County Commission's motion. The County Commission can also include additional findings and conditions that are determined to be necessary for the PRUD.

Conformance to the General Plan

This PRUD is preserving large areas of open space, preserving wildlife habitat, and enhancing recreational opportunities for the Ogden Valley. The development uses a sewer system, enhances culinary water availability, and enhances emergency services at Powder Mountain. The PRUD also complies with the zoning development agreement approved by the County Commission.

Conditions of Approval

The following items must be addressed prior to final subdivision approval:

- Requirements of the Weber County Engineering Division
- Requirements of the Weber Fire District
- Requirements of the Weber-Morgan Health Department
- Requirements of the Weber County Building Inspection Division
- Requirements of the State Division of Drinking Water and Division of Water Quality
- Requirements of Powder Mountain Water and Sewer Improvement District including updated sewer and culinary water feasibility letters
- Sewer capacity assessment letter verifying adequate sewer capacity for the PRUD
- Requirements of the zoning development agreement
- All development parcels must be brought under the same taxing districts
- All delinquent taxes on development parcels must be paid
- Compliance with all applicable county ordinances whether listed in this staff report or not
- Approval of the Cache County units subject to the interlocal agreement or county line adjustment being completed prior to final subdivision approval
- Compliance with Chapter 36-B (Hillside Review) lot size tables

Ogden Valley Planning Commission Recommendation

The OVPC unanimously recommended approval of this PRUD on March 19, 2013 subject to several conditions and requirements. The OVPC included the following findings, conditions, and requirements in its recommendation for approval:

OVPC Findings:

- The architectural design of the proposed housing units is acceptable and complies with the PRUD criteria of Chapter 22-D.
- Accessory buildings are not proposed for any of the single-family dwelling lots.
- The landscape plans for the village and nest areas are sufficient and comply with applicable ordinance requirements.
- The units in Cache County are approved subject to an interlocal agreement with Cache County.
- The trails master plan is acceptable subject to the developers providing access and connections to existing trails on the Weber Pathways Ogden Valley Master Plan.
- The road pattern and public/private designations are adequate; however a road maintenance agreement between the developers and Weber County is required.
- Alternative road design elements will require a variance to the Weber County Subdivision Ordinance from the County Commission.
- A secondary access road in Cache County is required.
- The Access Exception application is approved subject to meeting design requirements.
- The restricted lots meet applicable standards.
- The zoning development agreement has been complied with, but the real estate transfer fee must remain at 1.5%.
- Delinquent property taxes on property within the PRUD boundary must be paid prior to final subdivision approval from the County Commission.
- Certification is required from the Summit Eden Design Review Board that each house plan submitted for a building permit complies with their Design Guidelines and the PRUD approval.
- The site plan with 116 lots and 154 units is approved.
- PRUD variations of the FV-3 zoning requirements for lot size, setback, and building heights are approved.
- Time share/nightly rental units shall be designated on the site plan.
- The conference center on Lot 76 is approved as a non-residential accessory use.
- Parking space adjustments from two spaces to one space are allowed for the nest units.
- The conditions of approval in the staff report must be complied with.

Staff Recommendation

The Planning Division recommends approval of CUP 2013-03 for a 154 unit PRUD at Powder Mountain, subject to the conditions of approval listed in this staff report, compliance with applicable county ordinances, compliance with the zoning development agreement, and compliance with applicable agency review comments. Several items mentioned in the staff report must still be finalized, but these items can be addressed during the final subdivision review.

The County Commission has the following options:

- If in the County Commission's determination sufficient information has been presented to show compliance, then approval can be granted, subject to the conditions in the Planning Division and OVPC recommendations. The County Commission can adopt the OVPC findings and recommendations as part of its motion for approval, or include additional findings and conditions that are determined to be necessary for the PRUD.
- 2. If the County Commission determines that additional information is required, then a decision to table the PRUD is appropriate. Specific findings must be made as part of a motion to table.
- 3. If the County Commission determines that the PRUD does not comply, then a decision for denial can be made. Specific findings must be made as part of a motion for denial.

Exhibits

- A. Summit Eden development submittal letter
- B. Updated PRUD site and development plans
- C. Updated PRUD access exception plans
- D. Updated PRUD landscape plans
- E. PRUD ski crossing and alternative pavement design plans
- F. Updated PRUD architectural renderings
- G. Secondary Access road proposed location
- H. Updated trails maps
- I. Financial plan summary
- J. Weber County Engineering review letter
- K. Rocky Mountain Power review letter
- L. USDA Forest Service review letter
- M. Powder Mountain Water and Sewer District Improvement District will-serve letter
- N. Powder Mountain Water and Sewer Improvement District Engineer review letter
- O. Weber Pathways review letter
- P. Weber Fire District review letter
- Q. Community Wildfire Protection Plan Introduction
- R. Division of Wildlife Resources review letter
- S. Ogden Valley Planning Commission minutes (February 26th and March 19th)