

Staff Report to the Weber County Commission

Weber County Planning Division

Synopsis

Application Information

Application Request: A public hearing to consider and take action on ZTA 2019-04, a proposal to amend

Titles 101, and 106 of the Land Use Code to update provisions related to culinary and secondary water requirements for subdivision lots, and other administrative

edits to support the same.

Agenda Date: Tuesday, July 30, 2019

Applicant: Hooper Irrigation Company; Agent: Greg Seegmiller

File Number: ZTA 2019-04

Staff Information

Report Presenter: Charlie Ewert

cewert@co.weber.ut.us

(801) 399-8763

Report Reviewer: RG

Applicable Ordinances

§101-1-7: Definitions

§106-1: Subdivision General Provisions

§106-2: Subdivision Standards

Legislative Decisions

Decision on this item is a legislative action. When the Planning Commission is acting on a legislative item, it is acting as a recommending body to the County Commission. Legislative decisions have wide discretion. Examples of legislative actions are general plan, zoning map, and land use code amendments. Typically, the criterion for providing a recommendation on a legislative matter suggests a review for compatibility with the general plan and existing ordinances.

Summary and Background

Hooper Irrigation Company has submitted a request to amend the County's subdivision regulations to enhance provisions for secondary water in areas where a secondary water company offers services. In addition to Hooper Irrigation Company's request, staff has taken this opportunity to address other concerning regulations governing provision of culinary water. The following explain the general concerns this proposal is trying to address:

- Secondary Water Concerns. The current code provides very limited standards to which secondary water infrastructure should meet. Often, culinary water services from a local water system is conditioned on secondary water service supplied to the property. Current code allows secondary water service to be by onsite shallow well or any other unspecified means. Some culinary water providers have expressed concerned that their condition of culinary service cannot be adequately enforced without better secondary water system standards. This proposed amendment provides additional standards and oversight processes. However, it keeps the responsibility of verification of a functioning secondary system on the culinary provider that has conditioned their service. The county could get involved at the county engineer's discretion, but will not be required. This proposal also will require a connection to a local functioning secondary system if that system is within 300 feet multiplied by the number of lots from the subdivision boundary.
- Private Well Concerns (Dry Subdivisions). Culinary water may be approved by means of a private well onsite.
 When a well is proposed, current code allows the approval and recordation of subdivision lots without proof of access to ground water, and defers the responsibility of proving access to ground water onto the future owner/builder. There is inherent risk that the future owner/builder may not be able to access groundwater on

the lot. This amendment will require a licensed engineer or geologist to assert that there is reasonable likelihood of accessing water by means of well onsite without an impact on others. It requires the engineer or geologist to review other wells in the area and local available hydrology and/or hydrogeology information.

Past Action

On May 28, 2019, the Ogden Valley Planning Commission held a public hearing on this proposal. They discussed how problematic current water requirements are and the need for something better. They also discussed the daunting task of creating an ordinance that addresses water provisions as a whole, and the need for more public outreach to ensure the outcome appropriately considers all interests. They considered tabling the item, but because it is an applicant-driven request, they instead decided to unanimously deny it in order to get the applicant a speedy decision. Despite the denial, they offered positive feedback on the subject, and moved to request that a water advisory committee be created to further vet the proposal.

On June 11, 2019, the Western Weber Planning Commission held a public hearing on this proposal. They had a substantially similar conversation as the Ogden Valley Planning Commission, and decided deny in favor of a more holistic approach. That vote passed with one opposed to the denial.

Policy Analysis

A complete policy analysis from Staff is provided in the attached Planning Commission Staff Report.

Planning Recommendation

Both planning commissions voted to deny the proposal in favor of a more holistic approach. Ogden Valley Planning Commission's vote was unanimous. Western Weber Planning Commission's vote was opposed by one.

Staff Recommendation

Staff agree that a holistic approach to water planning for development in the unincorporated areas of Weber County is necessary. This is indeed a nebulous task. It is likely to push the County, to some degree, into water management. The County has never held this role in the past. Staff are concerned that the amount of debate and discourse necessary to more fully explore the entire topic may take months, if not years. Meanwhile, development will continue without better water planning provisions.

While the attached proposal does not address the entirety of the water provision concerns, it does address some. There may still be necessary debate regarding some of the policies in the proposal. That debate could occur at this time to fine-tune the proposal to offer a smaller time sensitive incremental approach. If the Commission generally agrees with the policies in the proposal (with or without modification), then Staff recommend the Commission table the proposal to a future meeting. During that time, staff will modify the proposal as the Commission sees fit, and present it at the next meeting in the form of an adoptable ordinance.

Exhibits

- A. Planning Commission Staff Report with the following exhibits:
 - a. Proposed Ordinance Changes Track Change Copy
 - b. Proposed Ordinance Changes Clean Copy
 - c. Application



Staff Report to the Western Weber and Ogden Valley Planning Commission

Weber County Planning Division

Synopsis

Application Information

Application Request: A public hearing to consider and take action on ZTA 2019-04, a proposal to amend

Titles 101, and 106 of the Land Use Code to update provisions related to culinary and secondary water requirements for subdivision lots, and other administrative

edits to support the same.

Agenda Date: Tuesday, May 28, 2019 Staff Report Date: Tuesday, May 21, 2019

Applicant: Hooper Irrigation Company; Agent: Greg Seegmiller

File Number: ZTA 2019-04

Staff Information

Report Presenter: Charlie Ewert

cewert@co.weber.ut.us

(801) 399-8763

Report Reviewer: RG

Applicable Ordinances

§101-1-7: Definitions

§106-1: Subdivision General Provisions

§106-2: Subdivision Standards

Legislative Decisions

Decision on this item is a legislative action. When the Planning Commission is acting on a legislative item it is acting as a recommending body to the County Commission. Legislative decisions have wide discretion. Examples of legislative actions are general plan, zoning map, and land use code amendments. Typically, the criterion for providing a recommendation on a legislative matter suggests a review for compatibility with the general plan and existing ordinances.

Summary and Background

The attached proposed ordinance amendment will address two inter-related issues. They are as follows:

- The current code provides very limited standards to which secondary water infrastructure should meet. Often, culinary water services from a local water system is conditioned on secondary water service to the property. Current code allows secondary water service to be by onsite shallow well or any other unspecified means. Some culinary water providers have expressed concerned that their condition of culinary service cannot be adequately enforced without better secondary water system standards. This amendment provides additional standards and oversight processes. However, it keeps the responsibility of verification of a functioning secondary system on the culinary provider that has conditioned their service. The county could get involved at the county engineer's discretion, but will not be required. This proposal also will require a connection to a local functioning secondary system if that system is within 300 feet multiplied by the number of lots from the subdivision boundary.
- Culinary water may be approved by means of a private well onsite. When a well is proposed, current code allows the approval and recordation of subdivision lots without proof of access to ground-water, and defers the responsibility of proving access to ground water onto the future owner/builder. There is inherent risk that the future owner/builder may not be able to access groundwater on the lot. This amendment will require a licensed engineer or geologist to assert that there is reasonable likelihood of accessing water by means of well onsite without an impact on others. It requires the engineer or geologist to review other wells in the area and local available hydrology and/or hydrogeology information.

Policy Analysis

Policy Considerations:

The proposed ordinance draft is attached as Exhibits A and B. The following is an analysis of the proposal based on the existing general plan and existing ordinances.

General plan.

The Ogden Valley General Plan offers this guidance regarding water availability:

Utilities and Public Services Principle 1.1: Although Weber County has no direct regulatory role, the County should support communication among water and sewer service providers to coordinate the planning for and delivery of culinary water and sewer services in a manner that pursues the possibility of an eventual valleywide sewer and water system plan.

Utilities and Public Services Principle 1.2: Weber County will require that adequate water and sewer services are available as a condition of approval of all future developments.

Utilities and Public Services Principle 1.3: Support conservation of water resources

Utilities and Public Services Implementation 1.3.3: Weber County will encourage the Weber Basin Conservancy District to verify that clear evidence exists that the impacts of an exchange application can be mitigated.

The West Central Weber County General Plan does not offer as specific guidance regarding the regulation of access to water, but between it and the Western Weber County Resource Management Plan it appears to indicate that an important role of Weber County Government is to assist in enhancing access to safe and clean drinking water.

Ordinance.

The current subdivision code contains a paragraph, Section 106-4-2(m), that is poorly written. Essentially, this paragraph is intended to require secondary water provisions to subdivisions lots when the culinary water provider requires a secondary system as a condition of their culinary service. The poor clarity of this section has resulted in multiple reasonable interpretations, which has generated conflict over its administration and application. It is incumbent on the county to provide ordinances that are clear and predictable. This proposal replaces the current standards with clearer requirements.

In addition to this conflict, the Hooper Irrigation Company is asking the county to add standards regarding secondary water service — when required by a culinary water provider. This includes expectations for how a system is constructed and operated. It also includes an expectation for a developer to connect to an existing local system, rather than gain secondary water by means of private well or the creation of a new secondary system, when the development is within 300 feet multiplied by the number of lots in the subdivision.

Another subject of this ordinance change is how the county should verify whether there is sufficient access to ground water when a lot is proposed to be served by a well. This subject was not specifically a part of the applicant's request, however has ties to the request that should be addressed with the proposed changes.

The problem boils down to the following key discussion points:

- Several culinary water companies make their service dependent on access to other water for secondary water service. However, the culinary water companies often have little or no control over the functionality of the secondary system.
- Who is or should be responsible and accountable to verify that newly installed secondary water infrastructure can satisfy a culinary provider's conditions of service?
- Who is or should be responsible when a secondary system fails or goes defunct, and what impact does that have on a culinary water providers ability to serve?
- If there is a requirement to hook into a nearby existing secondary system that is built to a specific standard, functions, and is appropriately managed, then conditioning culinary water service on a local secondary company becomes less risky.
- Should the county be allowing lots proposed to be served by a well if the well has not been dug and pumptested? If so, how can the county better assure that future purchasers might have access to water and that the access will not negatively affect others in the area?

Public culinary water and secondary water.

When a culinary water company conditions access to culinary water on a developer's ability to access secondary water, there seems to be some confusion regarding who is accountable for ensuring the secondary system is installed and functioning.

First, a "culinary water authority" is defined by UCA § 17-27a-103 as:

"... the department, agency, or public entity with responsibility to review and approve the feasibility of the culinary water system and sources for the subject property."

Then, UCA § 17-27a-603(2)(a), says:

"Subject to Subsections (3), (5), and (6), if the plat conforms to the county's ordinances and this part **and has been approved by the culinary water authority**, the sanitary sewer authority, and the local health department, as defined in Section 26A-1-102, if the local health department and the county consider the local health department's approval necessary, the county shall approve the plat." (Italics offered for emphasis.)

This section requires a final subdivision plat to be approved by a "culinary water authority" **before** the county can take action on approving the final plat. This provision essentially grants a culinary water authority at least equal authority as the county when it comes to approving a subdivision plat. When a culinary water authority conditions its service on a secondary system's performance, it makes the culinary service wholly dependent on the performance of the secondary system. If the culinary water service has no control over the secondary system, then the culinary authority has shifted their responsibility of providing culinary water onto an entity that is beyond their control. However, once the culinary service is established it cannot be shutoff, even if the secondary system fails to perform.

In order to verify they will not fail to perform, a few culinary service providers have requested that the county be the overseer of the functionality of the secondary systems, asserting that they do not have the administrative capacity to do so themselves. Because the county is not in the water rights/shares business, nor in the water distribution or service business, the county has limited control over whether a secondary system functions according to the needs of the culinary provider. To the extent the county can control the performance of the secondary system, it would not be advisable for the county to assume that responsibility since the county has no responsibility or accountability for the culinary water service. That accountability and responsibility should remain with the culinary water service provider, as they are best suited to understand their own needs and are the entity designated by state law to be the authority.

The proposed ordinance offers better standards to which a secondary system should be constructed and managed. It enables the county to verify adequacy of the secondary system as a public benefit and courtesy to the local water companies, but it does not require the county to accept responsibility for the system's construction or performance. Staff feel that this might strike a balance between the interests of the water company, the interests of the public, and the interest of the county without transferring liability and responsibility to the county for systems over which it has no authority.

Private wells.

The current code allows a subdivision with lots served by a private well to be recorded without ever requiring verification that there is access to groundwater from an onsite well. This creates a "dry subdivision." A purchaser of such a lot will have no way to verify that there is access to groundwater from the site without first drilling and testing a well. They take a risk when purchasing a dry lot. The code requires a notice to be recorded to the lot to notify a purchaser of this risk, but does little else to minimize the risk.

It might be in the best interest of the purchaser and the surrounding well owners for verified access to groundwater to exist prior to the plat being recorded. However, requiring the drilling of a well onsite prior to plat recordation poses a couple of challenges:

- A well is not necessary until an onsite use requires it usually a residential dwelling. It is not uncommon
 for a subdivision lot to sit vacant for a number of years before the landowner decides to build. Letting a well
 sit without continuous circulation can risk the health of the well water.
- It is difficult to get a construction loan to drill a well and construct a house without the lot being transferred
 to the new owner so the banks have something to lien. The lot ownership cannot be transferred until the
 plat is recorded.

Other counties have combated these challenges by allowing "dry subdivisions" to be recorded, but only after each proposed well has been vetted by a professional engineer or geologist for feasibility. The proposed ordinance offers similar new requirements. Even though this might not offer complete risk avoidance for the future lot owner or the surrounding well owners, it offers a layer of protection by means of expert review. If after the lot has been recorded a new well ends up affecting access to other well owner's entitled water, the state engineer has a protest process that neighbors may engage to seek reprieve. The planning commission might determine that this a sufficient compromise to a difficult problem.

Noticing Compliance

A hearing for this item before the Planning Commission has been posted for public notice in compliance with UCA §17-27a-205 and UCA §17-27a-502 in the following manners:

Posted on the County's Official Website

Posted on the Utah Public Notice Website

Published in a local newspaper

Staff Recommendation

If the planning commission is satisfied with the attached ordinance amendment, staff recommends the Planning Commission offer a favorable recommendation for them to the County Commission. This recommendation is based on the following findings:

- 1. The changes align with the directives of the Ogden Valley General Plan, West Central Weber County General Plan, and the Western Weber County Resource Management Plan.
- 2. The changes will provide additional clarity to the existing ordinance.
- 3. The changes will strengthen the administration of the ordinance.
- 4. The changes will better promote the health, safety, and general welfare of the public.

Exhibits

- A. Proposed Ordinance Changes Track Change Copy.
- B. Proposed Ordinance Changes Clean Copy.
- C. Application

Title 101 - GENERAL PROVISIONS

2 ...

1

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

3 Sec. 101-1-7. - Definitions.

4 ..

Variance. The term "variance" means a relaxation, by the board of adjustment, of the dimensional regulations of the Land Use Code where such action will not be contrary to the public interest and where, owing to conditions peculiar to the property and not the result of actions or the situation of the applicant or previous owners, a literal enforcement of the Code would result in unnecessary and undue hardship, other than an economic nature or self-imposed hardship. A self-imposed hardship created by a previous owner is considered to run with the land.

Water, secondary. The term "secondary water" means water typically used for crop or landscape irrigation and not usually treated for culinary drinking water purpose.

Yard. The term "yard" means an open space on a lot, other than a court, unoccupied and unobstructed from the ground upward by permanently parked vehicles, buildings or structures except as otherwise provided herein.

16 ..

- 17 Title 106 SUBDIVISIONS
- 18 CHAPTER 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

19 **..**.

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37 38

- 20 Sec. 106-1-4. Subdivision application requirements.
 - (a) Pre-application meeting required. Each person who proposes to subdivide land shall confer with the county planning staff before preparing any plats, charts, or plans in order to become familiar with the county subdivision requirements and existing general plans and to discuss the proposed development of the tract. Additional required submittal information will be identified during the pre-meeting, such as sensitive lands, slope analysis, wetlands, wells, taxes, state roads, and neighborhood circulation plan.
 - (b) Subdivision application submittal. Subdivision applications shall be submitted to the planning division, by appointment, and shall include:
 - (1) Application form. A completely filled out subdivision application form, signed by the property owners.
 - (2) Copies of preliminary plan. One 24-inch by 36-inch copy, one 11-inch by 17-inch copy, and one 8½-inch by 11-inch copy of the proposed preliminary plan meeting the requirements listed in this title. This shall also include one 24 inch by 36-inch copy of the phasing plan, if applicable.
 - (3) Electronic documents. All documents required by this title shall be accompanied by a PDF file of the respective document. All plans (including but not limited to subdivision plats, improvement drawings, architectural drawings, phasing plans, etc.), and subsequent submittals and revisions, shall be accompanied by a full-scale set of PDF files of the respective plans.

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50 51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60 61

62

63 64

65

66

67

68

69 70

71

72

73

74

- (4) Statement of culinary water feasibility. A written statement of feasibility, also known as a "will-serve letter," specifying culinary water provisions for each lot.
 - a. The statement shall come from the culinary water authority pursuant to UCA § 17-27a-603 as follows:
 - 1. The local health department for lots proposed to be served by a private well; or
 - 2. An existing culinary water service provider; or
 - 3. If the culinary water authority is being newly formed, the statement shall come from the manager of the newly formed water corporation. The applicant shall also submit a written notification from the Utah Department of Environmental Quality indicating their acknowledgement of the new culinary water authority and the proposed system, and offer any other relevant information necessary for demonstrating system feasibility.
 - b. The statement from the culinary water authority shall provide:
 - 1. An acknowledgment of the number of lots proposed to be served;
 - 2. An acknowledgement of all intended uses of the culinary water including, but not limited to, fire suppression appurtenances or applicable secondary water uses as provided for in Section 106-4-2;
 - 3. The method of culinary water delivery to each applicable proposed lot;
 - 4. From where the water rights or shares necessary to serve the lots are proposed to come;
 - 5. Any other requirement expected or necessary to attain the culinary water authority's approval of the final subdivision plat.
 - (5) Statement of sanitary sewer or septic system feasibility. A written statement of feasibility, also known as a "will-serve letter," specifying wastewater provisions for each lot.
 - a. The statement shall come from the sanitary sewer authority pursuant to UCA § 17-27a-603 as follows:
 - 1. The local health department for lots proposed to be served by a septic system;
 - 2. An existing sanitary sewer service provider; or
 - 3. If the sanitary sewer authority is being newly formed, the statement shall come from the body politic or manager of the system. The applicant shall also submit a written notification from the Utah State Department of Environmental Quality indicating their acknowledgement of the proposed system, and offer any other relevant information necessary for demonstrating system feasibility.b. The statement shall provide:
 - 1. An acknowledgment of the number of lots proposed to be served;
- The method of wastewater disposal for each applicable proposed lot;

- An assertion that there is sufficient capability for safe wastewater disposal using the proposed method; and
 - 4. Any other requirement expected or necessary to attain the sanitary sewer authority's approval of the final subdivision plat.
- (6) Application fee. Full payment of the application fee is required at the time of application submittal. The payment of a partial application fee, or the submittal of plans for a presubmittal review, does not constitute a complete application.

83 ...

76

77

78 79

80

81

82

84

85

86 87

89

90

91

92

93

94

95 96

97

98 99

100

101102

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

Sec. 106-1-8. - Final plat requirements and approval procedure.

(a) Preliminary approval required. Until all preliminary requirements outlined in the agencies' review are met, the subdivision shall not proceed to final approval. Final plat submittal will not be accepted until the conditions of preliminary approval are met.

88 ...

- (c) Final plat requirements.
 - (1) Digital copies shall be submitted until the county engineer and surveyor give their approval for a subdivision mylar to be submitted. The final plat shall be a sheet of mylar with dimensions of 24 by 36 inches and the border line of the plat shall be drawn in heavy lines leaving a space of a minimum of one-half-inch or a maximum of 1½-inch margin on all four sides of the sheet. The final plat shall be signed and stamped by a licensed land surveyor licensed in the state. All lines, dimensions and markings shall be made on the mylar with permanent ink meeting industry standards. The plat shall be made to a scale large enough to clearly show all details in any case not smaller than 100 feet to the inch, unless specified otherwise by the county surveyor, and the workmanship on the finished drawing shall be legible having a text size of not less than 0.10 of an inch (approximately 3/32 of an inch). The plat shall be signed by all parties mentioned in subsection (c)(1)h of this section, duly authorized and required to sign and shall contain the following information:

103 ...

- h. A signature block conforming to state code and county ordinances shall be included on the plat for the following:
 - 1. Description of land included in subdivision;
 - 2. Private licensed land surveyor's "certificate of survey";
 - 3. Owner's dedication certificate;
 - 4. Notary public's acknowledgment;
- County planning commission's certificate of approval, to be signed by the planning director for the chair;
- County engineer's certificate of approval;

113 7. County attorney's certificate of approval; 8. Board of county commissioners' certificate of acceptance; 114 115 9. County clerk's certificate of attest; 116 10. County surveyor's certificate of approval; 117 11. Local health department certificate of approval if required by the local health department; 118 12. Culinary water authority and sanitary sewer authority certificate of approval, if 119 required by the culinary water authority or sanitary sewer authority. 120 121 122 CHAPTER 4. - SUBDIVISION IMPROVEMENTS REQUIRED 123 Sec. 106-4-2. - Improvements required. 124 125 (a) Culinary water supply. (1) Private well. Unless required otherwise by part two (2) of this subsection 106-4-2(a), culinary water may be provided by private well. 126 127 a. Private well capacity assessment. The applicant shall provide the following capacity assessment verification prior to final plat approval. 128 1. Written verification from the Utah Division of Water Rights that a well permit has 129 been obtained for each lot proposed to be served by private well. 130 2. Written verification from Weber Basin Water Conservancy District that adequate 131 shares have been secured for each proposed well, or proof of sufficient culinary 132 133 water rights for each proposed well. 134 A signed, dated, and stamped written statement from a professional engineer or professional geologist licensed by the State of Utah. 135 136 The statement shall attest that a thorough review has been conducted in accordance with this part and that it is reasonably likely the proposed well will 137 138 offer adequate water flow and quality for all uses proposed. 139 ii. The statement shall offer an opinion that the proposed well location is unlikely to offer unreasonable impact on other wells. 140 iii. The review shall include a study of logs of three or more wells which are closest 141 to the proposed well and which, wherever possible, are likely to be affected by 142 similar hydrogeologic conditions as the proposed well. 143 144 iv. If this statement cannot be made upon review of other wells, a well shall be drilled and 145 pump-tested from which this review and statement can be offered. Inability to provide 146 this statement shall result in a denial of the subdivision unless another lawfully approved culinary water source can be provided. b. Unapproved well notice. 147 Except where an approved well exists on a lot at the time of plat recordation, together 148 with the recorded water rights or shares required, a notice shall be recorded to run 149

151

152

153154

155

156

157

158

159160

161

162

163

164

165166

167

168169

170

171172

173

174

175

176

177

178179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

with each lot proposed to be served by a well. The notice shall, at a minimum, specify that prior to the issuance of a building permit for a structure intended for human occupancy, a well shall be dug, pump-tested, and approved by the local health department for all water uses proposed in the subdivision approval including, but not limited to, applicable secondary water uses and fire suppression appurtenances. If a well cannot be approved, the building permit shall not be approved unless another lawfully approved culinary water source can be provided.

- (2) Public culinary water service provider.
 - a. Existing public culinary water service provider. A connection to an existing culinary water system is required where a subdivision is situated within 300 feet, multiplied by the number of lots in the subdivision, of any part of a currently operating culinary water service provider's system, and the service provider is willing and able to serve the subdivision. If multiple systems are available, connection to the system that will yield the best organization of culinary water infrastructure in the area is required. If conflict arises in making such a determination, the county engineer shall make the final determination. Overlapping culinary water infrastructure should be avoided whenever possible.
 - b. New public culinary water service provider. Where outside the connection distance of an existing culinary water service provider and where a private well will not be proposed or cannot be approved by the Health Department, a new culinary water service provider may be created pursuant to state law to serve the needs of the subdivision.
 - c. *Capacity assessment.* Prior to final plat approval, the applicant shall provide the county with written capacity assessment. Capacity assessment shall include:
 - 1. Written verification from the public culinary water service provider. The assessment shall verify:
 - That the system is, or will be at the time the subdivision improvements are complete, capable of serving the culinary water needs of each applicable subdivision lot;
 - ii. That adequate culinary water flow and culinary water storage is or will be available at the time the subdivision improvements are complete for all intended or proposed uses of culinary water including, but not limited to, applicable secondary water uses and fire suppression appurtenances; and
 - iii. The specific details regarding the requirements or conditions for the culinary service of which the county should be aware during the approval or construction process.
 - 2. Evidence that a state construct permit has been secured from the Utah Department of Environmental Quality's Division of Drinking Water.
 - d. Culinary water improvements required. The applicant shall submit to the county written approval of new culinary water infrastructure from the public culinary water

191

192

193194

195

196

197

198 199

200201

202

203

204

205

206207

208209

210211

212

214215

216

217

218219

220

221

222223

224

225

226

227

228

service provider prior to final acceptance of the subdivision's improvements by the county.

- 1. Culinary water infrastructure shall be provided to the furthest extent of the subdivision boundary within a public street right of way or a public utility easement and laterals shall be stubbed to each lot and/or irrigable parcel.
- Culinary infrastructure shall be designed with sufficient capacity for the system service area as determined by the culinary water service provider, or as may otherwise be required by the county engineer.
- 3. Unless authorized by the county engineer, culinary water system infrastructure shall be located outside of the asphalt area of a public street.
- 4. Water lines and fire hydrants shall be operational before building permits are issued for any structures.
- 5. Acceptance of the subdivision's improvements shall not constitute an obligation to the county for the ownership or operation of the water facilities.
- (3) Transfer of rights and penalty for removal. All necessary culinary water rights or shares required for each lot shall be transferred to the culinary water service provider, if required by the culinary water service provider. Otherwise, the rights or shares required shall be assigned to the lot or a governing homeowner's association at the time of subdivision recordation. Removal or reallocation of required rights or shares shall constitute a violation of this land use code, with all associated enforcement measures being at the county's disposal. The county is also authorized to void the recorded plat or withhold any further land use approvals for the affected lot or lots, as determined by the Planning Director.

213 ...

- (m) Secondary water. When acting as the culinary water authority pursuant to UCA § 17-27a-603, a culinary water service provider may require that a secondary water system serve some or all lots within the subdivision as a condition of committing to serve culinary water. The culinary water service provider shall be responsible for notifying the county, in writing, of this requirement at the time it commits to serve, along with any other specific requirement applied to secondary water provisions. Secondary water required under this provision shall comply with the following:
 - (1) *Private well.* Unless required otherwise by part two (2) of this Section 106-4-2(m), secondary water may be provided by private well.
 - Capacity assessment. Prior to final plat recording a signed, dated, and stamped written statement from a professional engineer or professional geologist licensed by the State of Utah shall be submitted.
 - 1. The statement shall attest that a thorough review has been conducted in accordance with this part and that it is reasonably likely the proposed well will offer adequate water flow and quality for all uses proposed.

- 2. The statement shall offer an opinion that the proposed well location is unlikely to offer unreasonable impact on other wells.
- The review shall include a study of logs of three or more wells which are closest to the proposed well and which, wherever possible, are likely to be affected by similar hydrogeologic conditions as the proposed well.
- 4. If this statement cannot be made upon review of other wells, a well shall be drilled and pump-tested from which this review and statement can be offered. Inability to provide this statement shall result in a denial of the subdivision unless another lawfully approved secondary water source can be provided.
- b. Unapproved well notice. Except where an approved well exists on a lot at the time of plat recordation, together with the recorded water rights or shares required, a notice shall be recorded to run with each lot proposed to be served by a well. The notice shall, at a minimum, specify that prior to the issuance of a building permit for a structure intended for human occupancy, a well shall be dug, pump-tested, and approved by the local health department for all water uses proposed in the subdivision approval including, but not limited to, applicable secondary water uses and fire suppression appurtenances. If a well cannot be approved, the building permit shall not be approved unless another lawfully approved culinary water source can be provided.
- (2) Secondary water service provider.
 - a. Existing secondary water service provider. A connection to an existing secondary water system is required where a subdivision is situated within 300 feet, multiplied by the number of lots in the subdivision, of any part of a currently operating secondary water service provider's system, and the service provider is willing and able to serve the subdivision. If multiple systems are available, connection to the system that will yield the best organization of secondary water infrastructure in the area is required, as determined by the county engineer.
 - b. New secondary water service provider. Where outside the connection distance of an existing secondary water service provider and where a private well will not be proposed or will not yield adequate flow as required by this subsection, a new secondary water service provider may be created to serve the needs of the subdivision.
 - c. Improvements required. Written approval of secondary water infrastructure shall be submitted to the county from the secondary water service provider and the culinary water service provider prior to final acceptance of the subdivision's improvements by the county.
 - 1. Secondary water infrastructure shall be provided to the furthest extent of the subdivision and laterals shall be stubbed to each lot and/or irrigable parcel.
 - 2. Infrastructure shall be designed with sufficient capacity for the system service area as determined by the secondary water service provider, or as may otherwise be required by the county engineer.

270

271272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289 290

291

292

293

294

295296

297

298

299

300 301

302

303 304

305

306

- 3. Unless authorized by the county engineer, secondary water system infrastructure shall be located outside of the asphalt area of a public street.
- d. Verification of capability to serve. The culinary water service provider requiring the secondary system bears full responsibility for verifying a secondary water system's capability to satisfy the conditions and requirements of offering the culinary service to the subdivision.
 - 1. Final plat approval by the culinary water authority indicates satisfaction of the proposal for secondary water services.
 - 2. The culinary water provider is encouraged to secure any financial guarantees necessary to ensure satisfactory performance from a secondary water provider. At the county engineer's discretion and when the culinary water provider declines, the county may require secondary water infrastructure to be a part of the county's financial guarantee for the subdivision, pursuant to Section 106-4-3.
 - 3. As a basis to establish capability to serve, the culinary water service provider or the county may determine adequacy of a secondary water system's source, storage, pumping, distribution, and administration.
 - Source considerations may include diversion structures, source flow measurement, screening of the water, adequate shares or rights deeded to the system provider or the county.
 - ii. Storage considerations may include adequate volume for daily demands (which may a week of storage depending upon water turns), chemical treatment capability for algae and mussels, accounting for evaporation, basin capable to accommodate groundwater table fluctuations, barrier to minimize infiltration or exfiltration, fencing for security, and maintenance of water quality including separation from storm water.
 - iii. Pumping considerations may include adequate power, pump capacity and variability for minimum flows to peak instantaneous flows of the future system, above-ground shelter, wet well, and ventilation.
 - iv. Distribution considerations may include consistent pipe materials, locating wires, sizing adequate for future peak day flows, service laterals including meters, draining and filling appurtenances, valves and installation of pipes only within public rights-of-way for accessibility.
 - v. Administration considerations may include ability to provide billing to users, enforcement of any watering restriction, maintenance ability, contingency funding for emergency repairs, annual reporting ability to the State Division of Water Rights, and management of Bluestakes.
- e. *Exactions and denials.* A culinary water service provider shall not use this part to require an unlawful exaction or an unlawful subdivision denial, pursuant to state law.

309

310

311312

313

314

315

316

317

318319

320

Requirements for secondary water shall be reasonable and in accordance with industry best practices.

- (3) Transfer of rights and penalty for removal. All necessary secondary or irrigation water rights or shares required for each lot shall be transferred to the secondary water service provider, if required by the secondary water service provider. Otherwise, the rights or shares required shall be assigned to the lot or a governing homeowner's association at or prior to subdivision recordation. Removal or reallocation of required rights or shares shall constitute a violation of this land use code, with all associated enforcement measures being at the county's disposal. The county is also authorized to void the recorded plat or withhold any further land use approvals for the affected lot or lots, as determined by the Planning Director.
- (n) Reserved. ...

Title 101 - GENERAL PROVISIONS

2 ..

1

4 5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

3 Sec. 101-1-7. - Definitions.

..

Variance. The term "variance" means a relaxation, by the board of adjustment, of the dimensional regulations of the Land Use Code where such action will not be contrary to the public interest and where, owing to conditions peculiar to the property and not the result of actions or the situation of the applicant or previous owners, a literal enforcement of the Code would result in unnecessary and undue hardship, other than an economic nature or self-imposed hardship. A self-imposed hardship created by a previous owner is considered to run with the land.

Water, secondary. The term "secondary water" means water typically used for crop or landscape irrigation and not usually treated for culinary drinking water purpose.

Yard. The term "yard" means an open space on a lot, other than a court, unoccupied and unobstructed from the ground upward by permanently parked vehicles, buildings or structures except as otherwise provided herein.

16 ..

Title 106 - SUBDIVISIONS

18 CHAPTER 1. - GENERAL PROVISIONS

19 ..

Sec. 106-1-4. - Subdivision application requirements.

- (a) Pre-application meeting required. Each person who proposes to subdivide land shall confer with the county planning staff before preparing any plats, charts, or plans in order to become familiar with the county subdivision requirements and existing general plans and to discuss the proposed development of the tract. Additional required submittal information will be identified during the pre-meeting, such as sensitive lands, slope analysis, wetlands, wells, taxes, state roads, and neighborhood circulation plan.
- (b) Subdivision application submittal. Subdivision applications shall be submitted to the planning division, by appointment, and shall include:
 - (1) <u>Application form.</u> A completely filled out subdivision application form, signed by the property owners.
 - (2) <u>Copies of preliminary plan.</u> Five full sizeOne 24-inch by 36:-inch copiescopy, and one reduced size-11-inch by 17-inch copy, and one reduced size-8½-inch by 11-inch copy of a-the proposed preliminary plan meeting the requirements listed in this title. This shall also includes two one 24 inch by 3636-inch copies copy of the phasing plan, if applicable. Once all preliminary requirements have been met, two 24 by 36 copies and a one digital copy shall be submitted to the planning division. This requirement shall be met prior to the submittal for final approval.
 - (3) <u>Electronic documents</u>. All documents <u>required by this title shall submitted in the subdivision application shall</u> be accompanied by a PDF file of the respective document.

40 41

42 43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59

60

61 62

63

64

65 66

67

68

69 70

71

72

73

74

- All plans (including but not limited to subdivision plats, improvement drawings, architectural drawings, phasing plans, etc.), and subsequent submittals and revisions, shall be accompanied by a full scale full-scale set of PDF files of the respective plans.
 - (4) <u>Statement of culinary water feasibility.</u> A written statement of feasibility, also known as a "will-serve letter," specifying culinary water provisions for each lot.
 - a. The statement shall come from the county or state culinary water authority pursuant to UCA § 17-27a-603 as follows:
 - The local health department which states for lots proposed to be served by a private well; or
 - 2. An existing culinary water service provider; or
 - 3. If the recommendation of culinary water authority is being newly formed, the statement shall come from the manager of the newly formed water corporation. The applicant shall also submit a the written notification from the Utah Department of Environmental Quality indicating their acknowledgement of the new culinary water authority and the proposed system, and offer any other relevant information necessary for demonstrating system feasibility.
 - b. The statement from the culinary water authority shall provide:
 - 1. An acknowledgment of the number of lots proposed to be served;
 - An acknowledgement of all intended uses of the culinary water including, but not limited to, fire suppression appurtenances or applicable secondary water uses as provided for in Section 106-4-2;
 - 3. The method of culinary water delivery to each applicable proposed lot;
 - From where the water rights or shares necessary to serve the lots are proposed to come;
 - Any other requirement expected or necessary to attain the culinary water authority's approval of the final subdivision plat.
 - (5) <u>Statement of sanitary sewer or septic system feasibility</u>. A written statement of feasibility, also known as a "will-serve letter," specifying wastewater provisions for each lot.
 - a. The statement shall come from the sanitary sewer authority pursuant to UCA § 17-27a-603 as follows:
 - The local health department regarding: for lots proposed to be served by a septic system;
 - a. Sanitary sewage disposal;
 - b. Culinary water availability; and
 - c. A project notification form
 - 2. An existing sanitary sewer service provider; or

- 3. If the sanitary sewer authority is being newly formed, the statement shall come from the body politic or manager of the system. The applicant shall also submit a written notification from the Utah State Department of Environmental Quality-Division of Drinking Water. indicating their acknowledgement of the proposed system, and offer any other relevant information necessary for demonstrating system feasibility.
- b. The statement shall provide:
 - 1. An acknowledgment of the number of lots proposed to be served;
 - 2. The method of wastewater disposal for each applicable proposed lot;
 - An assertion that there is sufficient capability for safe wastewater disposal using the proposed method; and
 - 4. Any other requirement expected or necessary to attain the sanitary sewer authority's approval of the final subdivision plat.
- (6) An aApplication fee. Full payment of the application fee is required at the time of application submittal. The payment of a partial application fee, or the submittal of plans for a pre-submittal review, does not constitute a complete application.
- Sec. 106-1-8. Final plat requirements and approval procedure.
- (a) Preliminary approval required. Until all preliminary requirements outlined in the agencies' review are met, the subdivision shall not proceed to final approval. Final plat submittal will not be accepted until the conditions of preliminary approval are met.
 - (c) Final plat requirements.
 - (1) Digital copies shall be submitted until the county engineer and surveyor give their approval for a subdivision mylar to be submitted. The final plat shall be a sheet of mylar with dimensions of 24 by 36 inches and the border line of the plat shall be drawn in heavy lines leaving a space of a minimum of one-half-inch or a maximum of 1½-inch margin on all four sides of the sheet. The final plat shall be signed and stamped by a licensed land surveyor licensed in the state. All lines, dimensions and markings shall be made on the mylar with permanent ink meeting industry standards. The plat shall be made to a scale large enough to clearly show all details in any case not smaller than 100 feet to the inch, unless specified otherwise by the county surveyor, and the workmanship on the finished drawing shall be legible having a text size of not less than 0.10 of an inch (approximately 3/32 of an inch). The plat shall be signed by all parties mentioned in subsection (c)(1)h of this section, duly authorized and required to sign and shall contain the following information:

5/8/2019 A signature block conforming to state code and county ordinances shall be included 113 on the plat for the following: 114 Description of land included in subdivision; 115 Private licensed land surveyor's "certificate of survey"; 116 2. 117 3. Owner's dedication certificate; 118 4. Notary public's acknowledgment; County planning commission's certificate of approval, to be signed by the planning 119 director for the chair; 120 County engineer's certificate of approval; 121 County attorney's certificate of approval; 7. 122 Board of county commissioners' certificate of acceptance; 123 124 9. County clerk's certificate of attest; 125 10. County surveyor's certificate of approval; Weber-Morgan Local Health health Department department certificate of 126 127 approval if required by the local health department; 128 12. Culinary water authority and sanitary sewer authority certificate of approval, if 129 required by the culinary water authority or sanitary sewer authority. 130 **CHAPTER 4. - SUBDIVISION IMPROVEMENTS REQUIRED** 131 132 Sec. 106-4-2. - Improvements required. 133 134 (a) Culinary <u>w</u>₩ater supply. 135 (1) Private well. Unless required otherwise by part two (2) of this subsection 106-4-2(a), 136 culinary water may be provided by private well. 137 Private well capacity assessment. The applicant shall provide the following capacity 138 assessment verification prior to final plat approval. Written verification from the Utah Division of Water Rights that a well permit has 139 been obtained for each lot proposed to be served by private well. 140 Written verification from Weber Basin Water Conservancy District that adequate 141 shares have been secured for each proposed well, or proof of sufficient culinary 142 143 water rights for each proposed well. A signed, dated, and stamped written statement from a professional engineer or 144 professional geologist licensed by the State of Utah. 145

- i. The statement shall attest that a thorough review has been conducted in accordance with this part and that it is reasonably likely the proposed well will offer adequate water flow and quality for all uses proposed.
- ii. The statement shall offer an opinion that the proposed well location is unlikely to offer unreasonable impact on other wells.
- iii. The review shall include a study of logs of three or more wells which are closest to the proposed well and which, wherever possible, are likely to be affected by similar hydrogeologic conditions as the proposed well.
- iv. If this statement cannot be made upon review of other wells, a well shall be drilled and pump-tested from which this review and statement can be offered. Inability to provide this statement shall result in a denial of the subdivision unless another lawfully approved culinary water source can be provided.
- b. Unapproved well notice. Except where an approved well exists on a lot at the time of plat recordation, together with the recorded water rights or shares required, a notice shall be recorded to run with each lot proposed to be served by a well. The notice shall, at a minimum, specify that prior to the issuance of a building permit for a structure intended for human occupancy, a well shall be dug, pump-tested, and approved by the local health department for all water uses proposed in the subdivision approval including, but not limited to, applicable secondary water uses and fire suppression appurtenances. If a well cannot be approved, the building permit shall not be approved unless another lawfully approved culinary water source can be provided.

(2) Public culinary water service provider.

- a. Existing public culinary water service provider. A connection to an existing culinary water system is required where a subdivision is situated within 300 feet, multiplied by the number of lots in the subdivision, of any part of a currently operating culinary water service provider's system, and the service provider is willing and able to serve the subdivision. If multiple systems are available, connection to the system that will yield the best organization of culinary water infrastructure in the area is required. If conflict arises in making such a determination, the county engineer shall make the final determination. Overlapping culinary water infrastructure should be avoided whenever possible.
- b. New public culinary water service provider. Where outside the connection distance of an existing culinary water service provider and where a private well will not be proposed or cannot be approved by the Health Department, a new culinary water service provider may be created pursuant to state law to serve the needs of the subdivision.
- c. Capacity assessment. Prior to final plat approval, the applicant shall provide the county with written capacity assessment. Capacity assessment shall include:
 - Written verification from the public culinary water service provider. The assessment shall verify:

186

187

188

189 190

191 192

193

194

195

196

197 198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211 212

213 214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

- That the system is, or will be at the time the subdivision improvements are complete, capable of serving the culinary water needs of each applicable subdivision lot;
- ii. That adequate culinary water flow and culinary water storage is or will be available at the time the subdivision improvements are complete for all intended or proposed uses of culinary water including, but not limited to, applicable secondary water uses and fire suppression appurtenances; and
- iii. The specific details regarding the requirements or conditions for the culinary service of which the county should be aware during the approval or construction process.
- Evidence that a state construct permit has been secured from the Utah Department of Environmental Quality's Division of Drinking Water.
- d. Culinary water improvements required. The applicant shall submit to the county written approval of new culinary water infrastructure from the public culinary water service provider prior to final acceptance of the subdivision's improvements by the county.
 - Culinary water infrastructure shall be provided to the furthest extent of the subdivision boundary within a public street right of way or a public utility easement and laterals shall be stubbed to each lot and/or irrigable parcel.
 - Culinary infrastructure shall be designed with sufficient capacity for the system service area as determined by the culinary water service provider, or as may otherwise be required by the county engineer.
 - Unless authorized by the county engineer, culinary water system infrastructure shall be located outside of the asphalt area of a public street.
 - Water lines and fire hydrants shall be operational before building permits are issued for any structures.
 - Acceptance of the subdivision's improvements shall not constitute an obligation to the county for the ownership or operation of the water facilities.
- (3) Transfer of rights and penalty for removal. All necessary culinary water rights or shares required for each lot shall be transferred to the culinary water service provider, if required by the culinary water service provider. Otherwise, the rights or shares required shall be assigned to the lot or a governing homeowner's association at the time of subdivision recordation. Removal or reallocation of required rights or shares shall constitute a violation of this land use code, with all associated enforcement measures being at the county's disposal. The county is also authorized to void the recorded plat or withhold any further land use approvals for the affected lot or lots, as determined by the Planning Director.
- (1) Public system.
- a. Where an approved public water supply is reasonably accessible or procurable, the applicant shall install water lines, or shall contract with the local water distributing agency to make the

228

229 230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246 247

248

249

250 251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260 261

- water supply available to each lot within the subdivision, including laterals to the property line
 of each lot. Water lines and fire hydrants shall be operational before building permits are
 issued for any structures.
 - b. Capacity assessment letter is required prior to final approval from the planning commission. A construct permit from the Utah State Department of Environmental Quality Division of Drinking Water for expansion of the water system and water lines serving the subdivision is required prior to the subdivision receiving final approval from the county commission.
 - (2) New system. Where an approved public water supply or system is not reasonably accessible nor procurable, the applicant shall install a water distribution system and provide a water supply to each lot from a source meeting the requirements of the Utah Division of Drinking Water and/or the Weber Morgan Health Department.
 - (3) Wells. If individual well permits are issued by the Utah State Division of Water Rights, one well permit must be obtained along with a letter of feasibility from the Division of Water Rights and the Weber Morgan Health Department, which states that well permits can be issued in the proposed area by the Division of Water Rights for exchange purposes. The owner of record of the proposed subdivision property shall record a covenant to run with the land which advises the new lot owner of the requirements to be fulfilled before a building permit can be obtained. This shall include but not be limited to:
 - a. That a well permit must be obtained;
 - b. The time it may take to obtain the permit;
 - c. The well must be drilled;
 - d. Water quality to be satisfactory; and
 - Water quantity to be sufficient as required by the Weber County Health Department, before a building permit can be obtained.
 - If well permits cannot be obtained, the lot will no longer be deemed a buildable lot.
 - (m) Secondary water. When acting as the culinary water authority pursuant to UCA § 17-27a-603, a culinary water service provider may require that a secondary water system serve some or all lots within the subdivision as a condition of committing to serve culinary water. The culinary water service provider shall be responsible for notifying the county, in writing, of this requirement at the time it commits to serve, along with any other specific requirement applied to secondary water provisions. Secondary water required under this provision shall comply with the following:
 - (1) Private well. Unless required otherwise by part two (2) of this Section 106-4-2(m), secondary water may be provided by private well.
 - a. Capacity assessment. Prior to final plat recording a signed, dated, and stamped written statement from a professional engineer or professional geologist licensed by the State of Utah shall be submitted.

263

264

265

266 267

268 269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282 283

284 285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

- The statement shall attest that a thorough review has been conducted in accordance with this part and that it is reasonably likely the proposed well will offer adequate water flow and quality for all uses proposed.
- 2. The statement shall -offer an opinion that the proposed well location is unlikely to offer unreasonable impact on other wells.
- The review shall include a study of logs of three or more wells which are closest to the proposed well and which, wherever possible, are likely to be affected by similar hydrogeologic conditions as the proposed well.
- 4. If this statement cannot be made upon review of other wells, a well shall be drilled and pump-tested from which this review and statement can be offered. Inability to provide this statement shall result in a denial of the subdivision unless another lawfully approved secondary water source can be provided.
- b. Unapproved well notice. Except where an approved well exists on a lot at the time of plat recordation, together with the recorded water rights or shares required, a notice shall be recorded to run with each lot proposed to be served by a well. The notice shall, at a minimum, specify that prior to the issuance of a building permit for a structure intended for human occupancy, a well shall be dug, pump-tested, and approved by the local health department for all water uses proposed in the subdivision approval including, but not limited to, applicable secondary water uses and fire suppression appurtenances. If a well cannot be approved, the building permit shall not be approved unless another lawfully approved culinary water source can be provided.
- (2) Secondary water service provider.
 - a. Existing secondary water service provider. A connection to an existing secondary water system is required where a subdivision is situated within 300 feet, multiplied by the number of lots in the subdivision, of any part of a currently operating secondary water service provider's system, and the service provider is willing and able to serve the subdivision. If multiple systems are available, connection to the system that will yield the best organization of secondary water infrastructure in the area is required, as determined by the county engineer.
 - b. New secondary water service provider. Where outside the connection distance of an existing secondary water service provider and where a private well will not be proposed or will not yield adequate flow as required by this subsection, a new secondary water service provider may be created to serve the needs of the subdivision.
 - c. Improvements required. Written approval of secondary water infrastructure shall be submitted to the county from the secondary water service provider and the culinary water service provider prior to final acceptance of the subdivision's improvements by the county.
 - 1. Secondary water infrastructure shall be provided to the furthest extent of the subdivision and laterals shall be stubbed to each lot and/or irrigable parcel.

303

304

305

306

307

308 309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321 322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329 330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

- 2. Infrastructure shall be designed with sufficient capacity for the system service area as determined by the secondary water service provider, or as may otherwise be required by the county engineer.
- 3. Unless authorized by the county engineer, secondary water system infrastructure shall be located outside of the asphalt area of a public street.
- d. Verification of capability to serve. The culinary water service provider requiring the secondary system bears full responsibility for verifying a secondary water system's capability to satisfy the conditions and requirements of offering the culinary service to the subdivision.
 - Final plat approval by the culinary water authority indicates satisfaction of the proposal for secondary water services.
 - The culinary water provider is encouraged to secure any financial guarantees
 necessary to ensure satisfactory performance from a secondary water provider.
 At the county engineer's discretion and when the culinary water provider
 declines, the county may require secondary water infrastructure to be a part of
 the county's financial guarantee for the subdivision, pursuant to Section 106-43.
 - As a basis to establish capability to serve, the culinary water service provider or the county may determine adequacy of a secondary water system's source, storage, pumping, distribution, and administration.
 - Source considerations may include diversion structures, source flow measurement, screening of the water, adequate shares or rights deeded to the system provider or the county.
 - ii. Storage considerations may include adequate volume for daily demands (which may a week of storage depending upon water turns), chemical treatment capability for algae and mussels, accounting for evaporation, basin capable to accommodate groundwater table fluctuations, barrier to minimize infiltration or exfiltration, fencing for security, and maintenance of water quality including separation from storm water.
 - <u>iii.</u> Pumping considerations may include adequate power, pump capacity and variability for minimum flows to peak instantaneous flows of the future system, above-ground shelter, wet well, and ventilation.
 - iv. Distribution considerations may include consistent pipe materials, locating wires, sizing adequate for future peak day flows, service laterals including meters, draining and filling appurtenances, valves and installation of pipes only within public rights-of-way for accessibility.
 - v. Administration considerations may include ability to provide billing to users, enforcement of any watering restriction, maintenance ability, contingency funding for emergency repairs, annual reporting ability to the State Division of Water Rights, and management of Bluestakes.

343

344

345

346

347

348

349 350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361 362

363

364

365

366

367

368 369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

- e. Exactions and denials. A culinary water service provider shall not use this part to require an unlawful exaction or an unlawful subdivision denial, pursuant to state law. Requirements for secondary water shall be reasonable and in accordance with industry best practices.
- (3) Transfer of rights and penalty for removal. All necessary secondary or irrigation water rights or shares required for each lot shall be transferred to the secondary water service provider, if required by the secondary water service provider. Otherwise, the rights or shares required shall be assigned to the lot or a governing homeowner's association at or prior to subdivision recordation. Removal or reallocation of required rights or shares shall constitute a violation of this land use code, with all associated enforcement measures being at the county's disposal. The county is also authorized to void the recorded plat or withhold any further land use approvals for the affected lot or lots, as determined by the Planning Director.

The term "secondary water" shall mean water furnished for other than culinary purposes. Where a subdivision is proposed within an existing culinary water district or service area of an existing water corporation or within a water district or water corporation service area created to serve such subdivision, the planning commission shall, as part of the approval of the subdivision, require the applicant to furnish adequate secondary water and install a secondary water delivery system to the lots in the subdivision sufficient to conform to the public works standards, if such water district or company files or has filed a written statement with the Weber County Planning Division which specifies that the policy of such water district or company is to the effect that its water is not to be used for other than culinary purposes and will not permit culinary water connections unless secondary water is provided by the applicant. A certified copy of the minutes of the board of trustees of such water district or company showing the enactment of such policy must be furnished to the planning commission. If secondary water is to be by shallow well, then a copy of the approved well permit shall be submitted, and the shallow well shall be pump tested with a copy of the test results submitted for review prior to the subdivision being recorded. When subdivisions are within the service area of a secondary water provider company or district, the applicant shall install a secondary water system in accordance with the provider's requirements or standards.

- (n) Reserved. Transfer of irrigation water rights. Where the county, on behalf of a culinary water agency, requires irrigation water to be provided to each lot in a subdivision as part of the required improvements, the applicant shall provide for the transfer of irrigation water rights by either of the following methods as determined by the planning commission.
- (1) The applicant shall form a lot owners association as a non-profit corporation for owning the irrigation water rights or stock for the lots in the subdivision. The applicant shall transfer to the association at the time of subdivision recording, sufficient rights or stock as required by the irrigation agency for the number of lots in the subdivision. The articles of incorporation of the association shall provide, in addition to the association owning the required water rights or shares on behalf of each and every lot owner, that each lot owner shall automatically be a member of the association, is entitled to a pro-rata share of irrigation water, is subject to a water distribution schedule and procedure established by the association, and is responsible

Commented [E1]: Moved to definitions section.

Commented [E2]: Moved to definitions section.

Commented [CE23]: These requirements simplified and moved to part (3) in the previous section.

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

for his share of the costs of ditch and system maintenance and assessments as made by the association from time to time; or

(2) The applicant shall provide the county with evidence that sufficient irrigation watererights or shares for all of the lots in the subdivision are held by the developer/property owner. At the time of recording the approved subdivision plat, the developer/property owner shall record a covenant to run with the land that these rights or shares will not be disposed of except to the lots in the subdivision and with the sale of each lot, a transfer at no cost, the required water rights or shares needed to properly irrigate the lot, to the lot purchaser who is to be responsible for the proper use of the water as outlined in the irrigation water district or company's distribution schedule and procedures.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.33"

Weber Count	ty Zoning Ma	p Amendme	nt App	lication	
Application submittals will be accepte	d by appointment only. (8	301) 399-8791, 2380 Was	hington Blvd.	Suite 240, Ogden, UT 84401	
Date Submitted		Received By (Office Use)	/a	Added to Map (Office Use)	
Property Owner Contact Information				11,100,100,100,100	
Name of Property Owner(s)		Mailing Address of Prop	erty Owner(s)		
Hooper Irrigation Co. Phone Fax 861-985-8429					
Email Address		D 6 114 d 1 614		1	
Hooper Invigation Co C MS	in, com	Preferred Method of Written Correspondence Email Fax Mail			
Authorized Representative Contact Inf					
Name of Person Authorized to Represent the Proper	ty Owner(s)	Mailing Address of Auth	orized Person		
Grea Seeawiller					
Phone 801-499-9977 Email Address g seegwller Gjub. co					
Email Address		Preferred Method of Wri	tton Correspon	dence	
a seegniller Cojub. co	us	Emall Fax		dence	
Property Information					
Project Name		Current Zoning		Proposed Zoning	
Troject Name		Current Zoning		1 Toposca Zonnig	
Approximate Address		Land Serial Number(s)			
T-114	Correction		To		
Total Acreage	Current Use		Proposed Us	Se .	
Project Narrative					
Describing the project vision.					
Text ammendment	to the Su	bdivision c	Code ?	Sec 106-4-Z.	
for secondary Water					
			100000		
from an existing sy	SIEWI.				









MEMORANDUM

DATE:

April 2, 2019

TO:

Weber County Planning and Engineering

CC:

Hooper Irrigation Company

FROM:

Greg Seegmiller, PE

SUBJECT: Secondary Water Systems in Weber County; J-U-B Project 55-19-009-000

In reviewing the Weber County Ordinance and in meeting with Weber County Planning and Engineering, concerns were raised about developers installing substandard secondary water systems that compete with larger systems. There are some reasons we would like to have Weber County consider when approving developments that want to do their own irrigation system. These benefits include:

- 1. **Treatment** A larger system has the ability to treat Algae and Mussels that get into the water. Smaller systems don't have the same means.
- 2. **Source Water** The water comes to the properties every 7.5 days. Until there is a full stream of water needed for a system (3cfs), this means that someone will need to be up sometimes in the middle of the night to turn on the water. That equates to around 500 connections.
- 3. **HOA Management** Smaller systems are typically ran by HOAs. HOAs are difficult to maintain for extended periods of time and often return to the Governing Jurisdiction. There is a critical mass to starting one up and keeping it running.
- 4. **Storage Requirements** The water storage for the development must be equal to a full week of usage in the peak of the summer.
- 5. **Evaporation** larger system are able to use deeper reservoirs and keep them fenced and safe from intrusion and minimize evaporation.
- 6. Environmentally Conscious Hooper Irrigation has started discussion with some of the Cities/Communities (Hooper Water Improvement District, and Taylor West Weber Improvement District) to return their Water Rights back to the land. The State allows this to be done in an effort to maximize the resource of water. Due to the tertiary treatment cost, this can't be done if several small systems are involved. That resource will be wasted if smaller systems are allowed.
- 7. **Recent State Legislation** The State is starting to push for meters on secondary water in an effort to conserve. A larger system has the ability to not only put into place the meter reading equipment but also make that information available to the users. As other legislation come, It makes sense to work with one system rather than multiple similar to Culinary Water systems.
- 8. **Master Planning** Hooper Irrigation has sized water reservoirs, pumps, and distribution lines for a certain areas and capacities. If this area is significantly reduced then our sizing is wrong and our reservoirs, pumps and pipes are less efficient.

- 9. **Loan Repayment** our loans with the State Board of Water Resources for building the system assume that our pre-defined service area will connect as the County grows. These connection fees are used to pay back the loans. If smaller systems are allowed, we run the risk of default.
- 10. **Blue Staking** if two secondary systems are in the same area we run the risk of contractors feeling confident that blue stakes are done once they see purple in the area, and then hitting the other pipe.
- 11. **Confusion in Maintenance** if a leak surfaces, which utility is it, Culinary, Roy Secondary, or Hooper Secondary? When drains are open in the fall, which drain belongs to which system? Which valve shuts off which main?
- 12. System Courtesy Hooper Irrigation Company currently has or is working on Boundary Agreements with Weber Basin Water, Davis and Weber Canal Company, and Roy Water Conservancy District for the reasons stated above. These boundary agreements has worked well in the past for the reasons stated above. If smaller systems are allowed, we would request that the county determine where those systems would be permitted so we can plan accordingly.

We request that Weber County modify their ordinance to require subdivisions to connect to existing secondary water systems. Please contact me if you have any questions.

The Weber County ordinance currently reads as follows:

Sec. 106-4-2. - Improvements required.

(m) Secondary water. The term "secondary water" shall mean water furnished for other than culinary purposes. Where a subdivision is proposed within an existing culinary water district or service area of an existing water corporation or within a water district or water corporation service area created to serve such subdivision, the planning commission shall, as part of the approval of the subdivision, require the applicant to furnish adequate secondary water and install a secondary water delivery system to the lots in the subdivision sufficient to conform to the public works standards, if such water district or company files or has filed a written statement with the Weber County Planning Division which specifies that the policy of such water district or company is to the effect that its water is not to be used for other than culinary purposes and will not permit culinary water connections unless secondary water is provided by the applicant. A certified copy of the minutes of the board of trustees of such water district or company showing the enactment of such policy must be furnished to the planning commission. If secondary water is to be by shallow well, then a copy of the approved well permit shall be submitted, and the shallow well shall be pump tested with a copy of the test results submitted for review prior to the subdivision being recorded. When subdivisions are within the service area of a secondary water provider company or district, the applicant shall install a secondary water system in accordance with the provider's requirements or standards.

We propose that the following text be added to the above paragraph (m):

The provider's requirements and standards shall consider and provide for Source, Storage, Pumping, Distribution and Administration. Source considerations shall include: diversion structures, source flow measurement, screening of the water, adequate shares or rights deeded to the system provider or the county. Storage considerations shall include: adequate volume for daily demands (which may a week of storage depending upon water turns), chemical treatment capability for algae and mussels, accounting for evaporation, basin capable to accommodate groundwater table fluctuations, barrier to minimize infiltration or exfiltration, fencing for security, and maintenance of water quality including separation from storm water. Pumping considerations shall include: Adequate power, pump capacity and variability for minimum flows to peak instantaneous flows of the future system, above-ground shelter, wet well, and ventilation. Distribution considerations shall include: consistent pipe materials, locating wires, sizing adequate for future peak day flows, service laterals including meters, draining and filling appurtenances, valves and installation of pipes only within public rights-of-way for accessibility. Administration considerations shall include: ability to provide billing to users, enforcement of any watering restriction, maintenance ability, contingency funding for emergency repairs, annual reporting ability to the State Division of Water Rights, and management of Bluestakes.

Where any part of a Development situated within the unincorporated areas of the county is within 300 feet of any part of a Secondary Water System currently operating, or where the lot is close enough in the determination of the County Engineer to require a connection, or when the development is within the distance calculated by the number of lots in the development (including future phases) multiplied by 300 feet, the applicant shall connect to the Secondary Water System. Said system shall have a declared service area boundary incorporating the

development or ability to expand its boundaries. The development shall provide adequate pipe lines to the furthest extent of the frontages of the development and laterals to each lot and/or irrigable parcel. Secondary Water pipes and appurtenances shall be approved by the Secondary Water Company, and connections shall comply with the Standards of the company.

Where the construction of a Secondary water transmission line is required to serve the development, the applicant shall be required to construct transmission lines in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the county and secondary water company. The new transmission line shall be designed with sufficient capacity for the system service area as determined by the County Engineer and/or the secondary water company. The development shall be required to produce adequate shares/rights, and pay other connection or impact fees in accordance with the connection policy of the secondary water company. The applicant may be entitled to reimbursement for the extension and oversize costs through Oversize Agreements, Pioneering Agreements, Development Agreements or Impact Fees within the service area as applicable by the provider.



| Weber County Corporation

Weber County Plant 2380 Washington Blvd Ogden UT 84401

Planning Commission Staff Report -- Culinary and Secondary Exhibit C: Application and

Vater Provisions to Page 30 or 30

Receipt Number 104447

Receipt Date

04/10/19

Received From:

Hooper Irrigation Co

Time: 14:10

			Clerk:	amorby
Description			Amount	
ZONING FEES	Zoning Map			\$600.00
	Payment Type	Quantity	Ref	Amount
	CHECK		6634	
		AMT TENDERED:	\$600.00	
	AMT APPLIED:		\$600.00	
		CHANGE:	\$0.00	