

SWPPP COMPLIANCE INSPECTION FORM



Project Name:	The Sanctuary		Address:		1151	1151 Main Road		Date: 10/10/2012		
Owner:	Timothy Charlwood		Contractor (Gen/Sub):		Pat Bre	Pat Brennen (Dig-It)		Start time: 2:15 PM		Л
Site Contact:	ntact: Pat Brennen		Phone:		801	801.430.7217		Stop time: 3:00 PM		
UPDES Permit	#: UTR3	61343 Expiration:	10/30/2012	Weather:	Sunny Cloudy	y Raining S	Snowing Other:			
Date of last rain	event:		Duration:			Rainfall (in):				
Inspected By (P	2011-3445	Michael Tuttle		Local Juri	sdiction or County:		Weber County			
			int/Tin Pan	2						
Reason for Insp Inspection	SW sampling	duled Compla	(S) State	dom R	eceiving Waters:		Pineview Reservoir			
Code (circle):	SW non-sampling	Inspector Code (circle):	(L) Local	Туре	Code (circle):	1 - Municipal	2 - Industrial 3 - St	ate		
	SWPPP	, EROSION, SEDIM	ENT AND H	OUSEKEER	PING BMP's INF	ORMATION		YES	NO	N/A
1. Is the SWPPF	on site and accessil	ble, or is the SWPPP loca	ation posted in a	n obvious pla	ce and reasonably a	ccessible (in a sho	ort time)?	х		
 Are erosion control, sediment control, and good housekeeping BMP's installed on the site as shown in the SWPPP? Has the SWPPP been updated to reflect the current site conditions (modifications dated & initialed on site map, new BMPs on site map, discontinued 										
		reflect the current site cor or details & spec's in SWP				p, new BMPs on s	site map, discontinued		X	
		rmed and recorded by a				oorting items requ	ired by permit?		v	
		ther, problems/repairs, co							X	
5. Have all corrective action items from previous inspections been addressed and documented within the time frame allotted by the inspector?										Х
6. Are SW flows entering and leaving the construction site controlled, managed, or diverted around the site? (e.g. perimeter controls, berms, silt fence, upgradient boundary diversion, down gradient boundary sediment control, etc.)										
7. Is there evidence of sediment discharge such as mud flows or soil deposits from the construction site in downstream locations?									х	
8. Is there evidence of vehicles tracking soil off the construction site?									х	
9. Is there soil, construction material, landscaping items, or other debris piled on impervious surfaces (roads, drives) that could be washed with SW to a									х	
storm drain or water body? 10. Is there a need to repair, maintain, or improve erosion control BMPs (temporary stabilization, erosion blankets, mulch, vegetated strips, rip rap, surface									^	
roughening, pipe slope drain, dust control, etc.)?									Х	
11. Is there a need to repair, maintain, or improve sediment control BMPs (silt fence, check dams, fiber rolls, sediment trap/basin, inlet protection, waddles, straw bails, curb cut-back, etc? 12. Is there a need to repair, maintain, or improve good housekeeping controls (clean track out pad, sweeping, construction, materials management,								x		
							rials management,		x	
litter/trash control, port-o-potties staked down, fueling areas, concrete wash out area, proper curb ramps, spill prevention, etc)? 13. Are there disturbed areas that have not had construction activities for 14 to 21 days without stabilization? (except snow or frozen ground)?										х
		needed and should be in						X		
		COMMENTS AN	D COPPECT	IUE ACTIO	ONS FOR SWPPI	D COMPLIAN	CE			
Identify the prob	lem and its location. If a	ppropriate, describe (in gene	eral terms) what ne	eds to be com		qualified (e.g., you	are a designer) should you b	e manda	ting spe	cific
The last inspect	ion in the SWPPP wa	as done in 2008. Since the	en they have pull	led a new NC	I, and the inspector,	Matt Meyer, said t	hat he was going to e-ma	il me th	e	
inspections inste	ead of just putting the	m on site. I haven't seen	any since they st	tarted work a	gain this past month.					
Some of the silt	fence that was install	ed is being overtopped by	y material. In talk	king with Pat	Brennen he said that	they were planning	ng on just using a sedime	nt basir	1	
down lower. I tol	d him that they need	to update the SWPPP to	reflect any chan	ges like that.			· ************************************			
	o initial and initial			900 ////0 (/////////////////////////////						-
U 16 4b.	that ha	wall had wale on those fo	- 14 to 01 do	with and atabil	KDSHSSS					
rm not sure if th	ere are areas that have	ven't had work on them fo	14 to 21 days \	without stabil	zation.					
						and a transfer of the				
If Pat is correct a	and they are using the	e Sediment Basin in place	e of the silt fence	then why is	the silt fence still ins	talled?				_
Also note: we ar	e getting close to the	winter time. It may be tim	ne to start thinkin	ng about how	the site is going to be	e stabilized.				
	se list all applicable									
							esigned to assure that qualifi responsible for gathering the			
information submit	tted is, to the best of my	knowledge and belief true, a					mitting false information, incl			
of.fine and impriso	nment for knowing viola	tions.				1)	Maria III		
					- see Jane 19 and 19 an	7/x 16	-6			
Inspector:		ael Tuttle		Engineer	3	V		10)/11/20	
	2	nt Name)		(Title)		(2	Signature)		(Date)	le .
		arlwood@gmail.com, ovalley.net,			6					
Operator:	matthewmeye	rpe@hotmail.com								
modified 8/12/10	(Prin	nt Name)		(Title)		(5	Signature)		(Date)	1