

SWPPP COMPLIANCE INSPECTION FORM



Project Name:	Wally Acre	es Lot 22	Addres	3465 W 2050 S			Date: 6/6/2012				
Owner:	Weber county		Contractor (Gen/Sub)	Scott's Home Construction			Start time: 10:25 AM				
Site Contact:	Michael Tuttle		Phone:		801-399-8054			Stop time: 10:45			.M
UPDES Permit #:	UTR359687 Expiration: 7/1/2012 Weather: Sunny Cloudy Raining Snowing Other:										
Date of last rain even	t:		Duration:		Approx. Ra	ninfall (in):					
Inspected By (Print): Michael Tuttle Local Jurisdiction or County: Weber County											
Reason for Inspection: Scheduled Complaint/Tip Random Receiving Waters: Weber River											
	W sampling	nspector Code (circle):	(S) State	Type Code (circ		1 - Municipa		***************************************			
Code (circle): SW	non-sampling "		(L) Local	Type Code (circ		- wamenpa					
SWPPP, EROSION, SEDIMENT AND HOUSEKEEPING BMP'S INFORMATION									YES	NO	N/A
1. Is the SWPPP on site and accessible, or is the SWPPP location posted in an obvious place and reasonably accessible (in a short time)?									Х		
2. Are erosion control, sediment control, and good housekeeping BMP's installed on the site as shown in the SWPPP? 3. Has the SWPPP been updated to reflect the current site conditions (modifications dated & initialed on site map, new BMPs on site map, discontinued										X	-
BMPs crossed off site map, new BMP details & spec's in SWPPP, SWPPP amendment Log, etc.)?										Х	
4. Are on-site inspections being performed and recorded by a qualified person on a weekly or biweekly basis, reporting items required by permit? (Inspector name &qualifications, weather, problems/repairs, corrective action, new BMPs, removed BMPs, discharges, etc.)											
5. Have all corrective action items from previous inspections been addressed and documented within the time frame allotted by the inspector?										Х	
6. Are SW flows entering and leaving the construction site controlled, managed, or diverted around the site? (e.g. perimeter controls, berms, silt fence,									х		
upgradient boundary diversion, down gradient boundary sediment control, etc.) 7. Is there evidence of sediment discharge such as mud flows or soil deposits from the construction site in downstream locations?										X	
8. Is there evidence of vehicles tracking soil off the construction site?										X	
9. Is there soil, construction material, landscaping items, or other debris piled on impervious surfaces (roads, drives) that could be washed with SW to a										Х	
storm drain or water body? 10. Is there a need to repair, maintain, or improve erosion control BMPs (temporary stabilization, erosion blankets, mulch, vegetated strips, rip rap, surface											-
roughening, pipe slope drain, dust control, etc)?									Х		
11. Is there a need to repair, maintain, or improve sediment control BMPs (silt fence, check dams, fiber rolls, sediment trap/basin, inlet protection, waddles, straw bails, curb cut-back, etc?										х	
12. Is there a need to repair, maintain, or improve good housekeeping controls (clean track out pad, sweeping, construction materials management,											
litter/trash control, port-o-potities staked down, fueling areas, concrete wash out area, proper curb ramps, spill prevention, etc)?									Х		
13. Are there disturbed areas that have not had construction activities for 14 to 21 days without stabilization? (except snow or frozen ground)? 14. Are there places where BMPs are needed and should be installed or not needed and should be removed?										X	-
COMMENTS AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS FOR SWPPP COMPLIANCE											
Identify the problem and its location. If appropriate, describe (in general terms) what needs to be completed. However, only if qualified (e.g., you are a designer) should you be mandating specific											
BMPs to install. Include the date when corrections are made.											

The swppp doesn't ha	ave all the required	information on it.									
It appears that some	one is doing inspec	tions/observations. It r	might be useful to use a	form to check s	pecific items						
The inlet protection a	ppears to be broke	n, and might be leaking	g some gravel into the	tormdrain.							
The notes appear tha	t they want to file fo	or an NOT, but the site	has not reached the re	vegetation requi	rements, so	it's not eligible	e for NOT yet.				
They may have sodde	ed it since the coun	nty went out for the insp	pection, at the time of t	e note it was no	t vegetated.						
Inspector, please li	st all applicable S	SEV codes:									
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the											
information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, lincluding the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.											
including the possibility of	of.fine and imprisonm	nent for knowing violations	i.			1/1	10		. /	,	
Inspector:	Michael	d Tuttle	c.	gineer		10	1-1	•	6/1	3/2	017
mapector.	(Print N			Title)			(Signature)		,	(Date))
	. 4	, ()	1,000	. 1	11						
Operator:	email.	led to ! W	el1945@	mall. a	ON						
modified 8/12/10	(Print N	Name)		Title)			(Signature)			(Date))