

West Haven, Utah 84401 (801) 731-4075 Office

May 31, 2018

Weber County 2380 Washington Blvd Ogden, Utah 84401

RE: Review comments on Hesselgesser-Hatton Subdivision 2nd Amendment, being renamed as "Mark and Susan Lackey Subdivision"

To whom it May Concern:

Regarding surveying comments, the attached are written responses to the comment notes as found on the referenced subdivision plat. I am only submitting this letter at this time because the only change that I have made is the address of the lot. Once the surveying office has reviewed this letter and my responses please provide notification to print the Mylar for submission.

Regarding Planning and Engineering's comments see the attached comments.

Thank you.

Respectfully, Ernest D. Rowley, PLS, CFedS

Principle Owner - Landmark Surveying, Inc. ernest@LandmarkSurveyUtah.com

3815 Survey review Response\_05-31-2018.docx

RE: Review comments on Hesselgesser-Hatton Subdivision 2nd Amendment, being renamed as "Mark and Susan Lackey Subdivision" Landmark Surveying, Inc. - A Complete Land Surveying Service

Page 1 of 3

- Subdivision Design - City/County Approvals - Land Planning - Site Plans - Construction Surveying - FEMA Flood Insurance Surveys

- Boundary Consulting - Property Surveys - ALTA Surveys

## SURVEYING 2nd REVIEW COMMENT RESPONSES:

from review drawing file "20180530\_Hesselgesser\_Mark\_and\_Susan\_Lackey\_2nd\_Redline.pdf"

1. should it be 5941 E?

RESPONSE: This comment is in reference to the lot address. I have changed the address to what you indicated. Note that the address I assigned would fit in the continuous flow of the addresses on Snowbasin Road. This road was re-addressed with county emergency services several years ago and there is a document in your office that will provide a listing of the addresses that emergency services wanted for the parcels. The goal was to eventually convert all of the lot addresses so that when an emergency vehicle enters the road off of Highway 39 the numbers would decrease in sequence to the USFS boundary and terminate. This would mean that emergency vehicles would not have to wonder if the address they were looking for is further up the road or behind them. Something to consider.

- Add missing lines. A legend shall be included which clearly identifies the lines, symbols, and other markings used to create the survey map, or plat. WCO 45-3-3(d)
   RESPONSE: This item was taken care of in the first review. See comment 12 in my previous letter. Also, if you believe that there are lines not included please be more specific. Just a comment regarding the adequacy of legend information. In drafting school I was taught that the standard associated with both the engineering and surveying profession does not require specific linetypes or symbols to be in a legend when such are labeled on the graphic portion of the plat. This standard has been complied with.
- The location, widths, and other dimensions of proposed utility easements with proper labeling of spaces to be dedicated to public or designated as private. WCO 106-1-5(a)(7).
   RESPONSE: This comment was not made in the first review and I'm not sure why it is being added to this review. All easements have been labeled, dimensioned and depicted on the plat.
- 4. Remaining comments regarding the narrative and monument details. RESPONSE: Both of these items were addressed in the first letter as follows:

" All of these items are dealt with in the narrative found on the Dutson Subdivision - 1st Amendment plat which is cited in the narrative. By citing such document all of the narrative information contained in that plat are made a part of this document. Duplicating such is unnecessary."

" The notes referencing each monument location state, "per WCo. records,". Again, this is incorporating such records and documents into this plat making those Weber County Surveyor tie sheet records part of this document and duplicating such information shown on those records is unnecessary."

If you have concerns with the reference to a document of record being incorporated into this document by reference I would suggest that you ask the county attorney what legal significance of such is and how citing such documents makes duplication unnecessary.

## ENGINEERING 1st REVIEW COMMENTS:

1. Please add PUE'S 10' in width from the street to the rear of the lot (both side boundaries) and along the rear boundary.

RE: Review comments on Hesselgesser-Hatton Subdivision 2nd Amendment, being renamed as "Mark and Susan Lackey Subdivision" Page 2 of 3 RESPONSE: A 10' PUE has been added per engineering comment revisions.

## PLANNING 1st REVIEW COMMENTS:

1. The current lot number may not be re-used when amending a subdivision; however, when renaming a subdivision the same lot number may be used. The lot number shall be followed by the letter 'N' (Ex: Lot 2-N) to indicate its location within a natural hazards study area.

RESPONSE: The subdivision is being renamed and the lot number has been updated to include the 'N'. Approval Conditions:

- The test pit for the Hesselgesser-Hatton Subdivision, 2nd Amendment, as well as all soil test requirements set forth by the Weber Morgan Health Department must be shown on the final plat. RESPONSE: This response is directed to the health department. I have sent an inquiry to the health department requesting the soil test results but have not received them. The pit location is shown on the plat.
- The current lot number may be used, as the subdivision name will be changed, with recording of the final plat, to Mark and Susan Lackey Subdivision ; the lot number shall be followed by the letter 'N' (Ex: Lot 2-N) to indicate its location within a natural hazards study area. RESPONSE: Previously responded to above.
- 3. Prior to issuing any building permits associated with this subdivision, the applicant must submit both a geotechnical reconnaissance, as well as a geologic survey, addressing all geologic hazards as well as mitigation measures to ensure compliance with Weber County Land Use Code (LUC § 108-22-3). RESPONSE: The owner will be made aware.