## DRAINAGE REPORT

### Winston Park

## Project: 1607138

Prepared For

Jay Rice

Prepared October 31, 2017

Prepared By





## BENCHMARK ENGINEERING & LAND SURVEYING, LLC

9138 South State Street, #100 Sandy, Utah 84070 Phone 801.542.7192 Fax 801.542.7195

## 1. General Location and Description

The purpose of this report is to discuss the existing and proposed hydrologic and hydraulic conditions for the new Winston Park Subdivision and associated improvements. The site is located at 3908 West 1800 South in Weber County and consists of 38.88 acres. The intent of this report is to accompany the proposed civil plans for the subdivision by Benchmark Engineering for Weber County review and approval.

The project consists of two roads that both connect to 1800 south and stub off at the property line on the south and south-west. The site will be subdivided into 54 new residential lots and 18 new parcels for agricultural preservation. Improvements in the road and driveways will be constructed up to the buildable area for each lot. The site is bounded on the east by rural parcels with homes, the west and south by empty agricultural parcels, and the north by 1800 south.

#### Vicinity Map:



Currently, the projects site is a cleared parcel with natural vegetation and non-engineered fills. Runoff from the site generally travels to the north-west following the natural grade. The runoff then goes into the drainage ditch along 1800 south. Tailwater ditches along south and west property lines will be preserved and maintained by HOA.

According to the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the predominant native soils consist of Airport silt loam, Leland-Saltair complex, and Warm springs fine sandy loam. From the Geotech report provided,

the top 1.5-ft. of the site is top soil and approximately 13-ft. below that was found to be natural soil consisting of lean clay with silt and silty sand layers. The groundwater across the site ranged from 4.5-7 feet below the existing ground surface.

## 2. Drainage Basin

Runoff from the site generally travels to the north-west following the natural grade. The runoff then falls into a drainage ditch along the north boundary of the property, which flows west along 1800 south.

Benchmark Engineering does not have access to previous drainage studies.

This property is not part of any master plan.

Per FEMA, this site is located in Zone X, which is described as an area of minimal flood hazard.

## 3. Proposed Drainage Plan

The drainage of the site is composed of two drainage areas. All storm water falling on paved areas will be collected into the new storm drain system and piped to a baffled cleanout box with an orifice, and detention pond for its respective drainage area before being released, at a rate of 0.1 cfs/acre, into the existing drainage ditch along 1800 south that is to be piped. When developed, the runoff from the front yards of lots, portions of roofs sloped towards the road, and any other landscaped areas that direct water towards the road will be collected by these two systems. A minimal amount of storage will also be provided by some of the installed storm drain pipe and catch basins.

The site has been designed to detain a 100-year, 24-hour storm event with rainfall numbers provided by NOAA Atlas 14. A release rate of 0.1 cfs/acre was implemented, as required by Weber County.

The drainage area consists of several storm drain inlet boxes which collect surface water to be piped through 15", 18", and 21" RCP storm drain pipe into the new detention ponds located at the northern portion of the new subdivision. Before entering the new storm drain system along the south of 1800 south, the water will be cleaned with a snout. The detained water will release at the designate rate of 0.1cfs to the new storm drain system along the south of 1800 south. Storage volumes of 50,741 cf for the west drainage area and 14,765 cf for the east drainage area have been provided.

The details of the stormwater infrastructure, including the detention ponds and the piping of the existing drainage ditch along 1800 south, are shown on sheet CGD.01.

## 4. Stormwater Quality

The Utah Department of Environmental Quality (UTDEQ) is responsible for administering the state storm water management program. The Utah storm water program is closely modeled after the federal National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program, which requires storm water be treated to the maximum extent practicable (MEP). The UTDEQ water program establishes permitting requirements for construction sites disturbing more than one acre, industrial sites, and Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s). All MS4s should currently be

permitted, or in the permit process. Each permitted MS4 will be responsible for establishing a Storm Water Management Program (SWMP).

Before entering the existing storm drain system, storm water will be treated with a snout. An orifice plate will maintain the rate of runoff released from the site at 0.1 cfs/acre.

## 5. Analysis

### 5.1. Hydrologic

As stated in Section 3, the site has been designed to detain a 100-year, 24-hour storm event with rainfall provided by NOAA Atlas 14. The rainfall intensities generated from this process produced the maximum volumes to detain listed in the attached drainage calculations.

#### **Rational Method**

The Rational method was used in determining the peak discharge from storm drains into the detention basins per the grading and drainage plan.

$$Q = CiA$$

Where:

Q = the peak discharge C = the coefficient of runoff i = rainfall intensity A = tributary area

The maximum storm detention required for a 24 hour period is calculated by determining the time of concentration, which is the time it takes for runoff to travel from the hydraulically most distant part of the watershed sub-basin to its outfall point. It is computed by summing the time it takes water to travel through the different components of the drainage system. The soil type, ground cover, slope and flow lengths are used to determine the time of concentration for a specific detention basin area. Once the time of concentration is obtained, the rainfall intensity curves are used to determine the required storage.

### **Detention Location and Sizing**

Detention for storm water is provided by two detention ponds that are located in the northern portion of the project site. The volumes of detention provided by the west and east ponds are 50,741 and 14,765 c.f., respectively. Minimal storage is provided by nearby pipes and catch basins.

The stormwater runoff routing is represented on sheet CGD.01.

### 5.2. Hydraulic

The Rational Method was used to determine the flow rate from the contributing areas. The intensity of rainfall was determined by referencing NOAA Atlas 14 Precipitation Frequency

Estimates for the surrounding area. The variable value of C was determined using a weighted average of the percentage of permeable and non-permeable surfaces.

The expected flow obtained by the rational method was then used in Manning's Equation, shown below, to solve for the required minimum pipe radius.

Manning's Equation:  
$$Q = \frac{k}{n} * A * R_h^{\frac{2}{3}} * S^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Q = the peak discharge k = 1.49; conversion factor R = hydraulic radius of the pipe A = area of the pipe S = slope of the pipe n = coefficient of roughness for the pipe material

In the event that a 100-yr storm is exceeded, overflow from detention ponds will overtop the baffles in the 5' x 5' & 4' x 4' cleanout boxes adjacent to the detention ponds and flow unrestricted into the piped drainage ditch along 1800 south.

## 6. Conclusions

The drainage system for this site will consist of overland sheet flow, catch basins, cleanout boxes, RCP storm drain pipes, and two detention ponds. The increase in drainage requirements due to onsite improvements will be collected and routed through the above mentioned facilities to the new detention areas. The flow from the development will be restricted by orifice plates and treated for pollutants using snouts before flowing into the piped drainage ditch along 1800 south.

The storm water drainage and detention system designed for this site meets the requirements of a 100-year, 24-hour storm released at 0.1 cfs/acre as required by Weber County.

# 7. Appendix

	I	JKAINA	AGE A	KEA #J	L	
	Rat	ional M	lethod	(Q=CIA	<b>A</b> )	
Area	Identificatio	n (A)		Rational Coefficient (C)	1	C*A
Roof=		117,500		0.9		105750 S.F.
*Pavement	=	161,425		0.9		145283 S.F.
andscaping	=	355,828		0.2		71166 S.F.
um:		634753 S.F.			Sum:	322198 S.F.
OAA ATL	AS 14 (100 Y	YEAR STOR	<b>M</b> )	Allowable Di	scharge =	.10cfs/acre
Time	Intensity	Rainfall	Rainfall Excess	Allowed Discharge	Volume	to Detain
(min)	(in/hr)	(inches)	(cu.ft.)	(cu.ft)	(c	u.ft)
15	4.56	1.140	30609	1311	29	9297
30	3.07	1.535	41215	2623	38	3592
60	1.90	1.900	51015	5246	45	5769
120	1.09	2.180	58533	10492	48	3041
180	0.75	2.250	60412	15738	44	1674
360	0.41	2.484	66695	31475	33	5220 2888
/20	0.25	3.048	81838	62951	18	0
Assumed 2	0.14 500 sq. ft. p	3.432 er home	92149	123901		0
ASSUIDED /	,500 5 <b>q</b> . m. p					
* Assumed 2	400 sq. ft. pe	er driveway				
* Assumed 2 * Assumed etention Ca	400 sq. ft. pe llculations	er driveway				
* Assumed 2 * Assumed etention Ca ond Volum	400 sq. ft. pe ilculations <u>e</u> Civil 3D =	48.766 cf				
* Assumed etention Ca ond Volum ond 1	400 sq. ft. pe alculations <u>re</u> Civil 3D =	48,766 cf				_
* Assumed etention Ca <u>ond Volum</u> ond 1 there adequ	400 sq. ft. pe Ilculations <u>Re</u> Civil 3D = uate storage?	48,766 cf	Stora	ge Provided =	48,766 ci	f
* Assumed 2 etention Ca ond Volum ond 1 there adequ	400 sq. ft. pe elculations Civil 3D = uate storage?	48,766 cf	Stora R	ge Provided = eq. Storage =	48,766 ct 48,041 ct	f f YES
* Assumed etention Ca ond Volum ond 1 there adequ	400 sq. ft. pe Ilculations <u>Re</u> Civil 3D = uate storage? Orifice Desig	48,766 cf	Stora; R	ge Provided = eq. Storage =	48,766 ct 48,041 ct	f f YES
* Assumed etention Ca ond Volum ond 1 there adequ	400 sq. ft. pe leulations <u>Re</u> Civil 3D = uate storage? Orifice Desig The storm ru	r driveway <b>48,766 cf</b> gn: unoff will be d	Stora R etained at 0.	ge Provided = eq. Storage = 1 cfs/acre	48,766 ci 48,041 ci	f f YES
* Assumed 2 etention Ca ond Volum ond 1 there adequ	400 sq. ft. pe alculations <u>e</u> Civil 3D = uate storage? Orifice Desig The storm ru	$48,766  ext{ cf}$ gn: unoff will be d $Q = C_d A$	Storag R etained at 0. $a_0\sqrt{2gh}$	ge Provided = .eq. Storage = 1 cfs/acre	48,766 c: 48,041 ci	f f YES
* Assumed 2 etention Ca ond Volum ond 1 there adequ	400 sq. ft. pe leulations <u>Re</u> Civil 3D = uate storage? Orifice Desig The storm ru	48,766  cf gn: unoff will be d $Q = C_d A$ e of developm	Storaget Storaget Storaget Storaget R stora	ge Provided = eq. Storage = 1 cfs/acre 14.57 acres	48,766 c 48,041 c	f f YES
Assumed 2 * Assumed etention Ca ond Volum ond 1 s there adeq	400 sq. ft. pe leulations <u>Re</u> Civil 3D = uate storage? Orifice Desig The storm ru Total acreag Allowable di	$48,766 \text{ cf}$ $gn:$ $Q = C_d A$ $e \text{ of developm}$ $ischarge:$	Stora, R etained at 0. $l_0\sqrt{2gh}$ ent:	ge Provided = teq. Storage = 1 cfs/acre 14.57 acres 0.1 cfs/acre	48,766 ct 48,041 ct	f f YES

STORM DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS DRAINAGE AREA #2							
<b>Rational Method (Q=CIA)</b>							
Area Identification (A)				Rational Coefficient (C)	)	C*A	
*Roof=		22,500		0.9		20250 S.F.	
**Pavemen	t =	47,439		0.9		42695 S.F.	
Landscapin	g =	85,633		0.2		17127 S.F.	
Sum:		2E+05 S.F.			Sum:	80072 S.F.	
NOAA AT	LAS 14 (100	YEAR STO	ORM)	Allowable Di	scharge =	.10cfs/acre	
Time	Intensity	Rainfall	Rainfall Excess	Allowed Discharge	Volume	e to Detain	
(min)	(in/hr)	(inches)	(cu.ft.)	(cu.ft)	(0	u.ft)	
15	4.56	1.140	7607	321	7	285	
30	3.07	1.535	10243	643	9	600	
60	1.90	1.900	12678	1286	1	1392	
120	1.09	2.180	14546	2571	1	1975	
180	0.75	2.250	15013	3857	I.	0(1	
360	0.41	2.484	16575	15420	8	861	
1440	720 0.25 3.048		20338	15429	4910		
1440 * Assumed	2 500 sq. ft	3.432 ner home	22901	50857		0	
** Assumed	* Assumed 2,500 sq. ft. per home ** Assumed 400 sq. ft. per driveway						
Detention C	Calculations						
Pond Volu	<u>me</u>	10.005 0					
Pond 1	$C_{1V1}I_{3D} =$	12,085 cf					
Is there ade	quate storage	??	Stor	age Provided = Req. Storage =	12,085 c 11,975 c	f f YES	
	Orifice Des	ign:					
	The storm r	unoff will be	detained at	0.1 cfs/acre			
$Q = C_d A_0 \sqrt{2gh}$							
	Total acreage of development:				•		
Allowable discharge:				0.1 cfs/acre			
Max head: 0.86 ft							
<b>Design diameter for new orifice:</b> 3.8 inch							

	GRADING AND DRAINAGE KEY NOTES REFERENCE				
NO.	DESCRIPTION	DETAIL			
	GRADE SITE TO ELEVATIONS SHOWN ON PLAN				
2	7.5" ORIFICE PLATE (SEE DETAIL 'A')	5A/CDT.04			
3	3.8" ORIFICE PLATE (SEE DETAIL 'B')	5B/CDT.04			
$\langle 4 \rangle$	STORM DRAIN INLET BOX	4/CDT.01			
(5)	5'X5' SDCO W/ BAFFLE (SEE DETAIL 'A')	6A/CDT.04			
6	STORM DRAIN CLEANOUT	4/CDT.01			
$\overline{7}$	STORM DRAIN COMBO BOX	3/CDT.04			
8	FLARED END SECTION	4/CDT.04			
9	REVERSED STORM DRAIN COMBO BOX				
10	SNOUT	3/CDT.03			
1	4" PVC FOUNDATION DRAIN LATERAL PER WEBER COUNTY ENGINEERING STDS. (0.5% MIN. SLOPE)				
(12)	4'X4' SDCO W/ BAFFLE (SEE DETAIL 'B')	6B/CDT.04			
(13)	BACKFLOW PREVENTER VALVE (J & S VALVE OR APPROVED EQUAL)				













LEGEN	D:	
~	Topsoil; lean clay to silty sand, slightly moist to moist, brown to gray, roots and organics.	NOTES:
	Lean Clay {CL}; small to moderate amounts of sand, soft to stiff, moist to wet, brown to gray.	<ol> <li>The test pits were excavated on September 12 and 13, 2016 with a rubber-tired backhoe.</li> </ol>
	Silt (ML); small amounts of sand, slightly porous, stiff, slightly moist, light brown to light gray.	<ol><li>Locations of the test pits were measured approximately by pacing from features shown on the site plan provided.</li></ol>
	Silty Sand (SM); small to moderate amounts of silt, occasional poorly-graded sand with silt, occasional thin lean clay layers, medium dense, moist to wet, brown.	<ol> <li>Elevations of the test pits were measured by automatic level and refer to the bench mark shown on Figure 1.</li> </ol>
	Interlayered Lean Clay and Silty Sand (CL/SM); medium stiff/medium dense, wet, brown.	<ol> <li>The test pit locations and elevations should be considered accurate only to the degree implied by the method used.</li> </ol>
	Poorly-graded Sand with Silt (SP-SM); medium dense, moist to wet, brown.	<ol> <li>The lines between materials shown on the logs represent the approximate boundaries between material types and the transitions may be gradual.</li> <li>Water level readings shown on the logs were made at the time and under the conditions indicated. Fluctuations in the water level will occur with time.</li> </ol>
P	Indicates relatively undisturbed hand drive sample taken.	<ul> <li>7. WC = Water Content (%);</li> <li>DD = Dry Density (pcf);</li> <li>-200 = Percent Passing the No. 200 Sieve;</li> </ul>
[_]	Indicates disturbed sample taken.	UC = Unconfined Compressive Strength (psf).
	Indicates relatively undisturbed block sample taken.	
	Indicates slotted 1 $\%$ inch PVC pipe installed in the test pit to the depth shown.	
9	Indicates the depth to free water and the number of days after excavation the measurement was taken.	

1160708

**J37A** 



USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

MAP LEGEND			MAP INFORMATION	
Area of Interest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI)	erest (AOI)	Spoil Area Stony Spot	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:15,800.	
Soils		Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.	
Soil Map U	Init Polygons	Wet Spot	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cau	
Soil Map U	Init Lines	Other	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of	
Son Map C		Special Line Features	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more det	
Blowout	Water I	Features		
Borrow Pit	~	Streams and Canals	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.	
🛁 Clay Spot	Transp	ortation Rails	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service	
Closed De	pression	Interstate Highways	Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)	
Gravel Pit	~	US Routes	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mer	
Gravelly S	pot 🥪	Major Roads	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts	
🔇 Landfill	$\sim$	Local Roads	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more	
👗 🛛 Lava Flow	Backgi	round	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.	
Marsh or s	wamp 🔛	Aerial Photography	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified d. of the version date(s) listed below.	
Mine or Qu	larry		Soil Survey Area: Davis-Weber Area, Utah	
Miscellane	ous Water		Survey Area Data: Version 10, Sep 9, 2016	
Perennial	Water		Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales	
V Rock Outc	rop		Data(a) aprial images were photographed: May 22, 2005	
+ Saline Spo	t		13, 2016	
Sandy Spo	t		The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines w	
Severely E	roded Spot		compiled and digitized probably differs from the background	
Sinkhole			shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.	
Slide or Sl	р			
ø Sodic Spo				



## Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI		
Ac	Airport silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	6.2	16.2%		
LS	Leland-Saltair complex, 0 to 1 percent slopes	18.8	48.8%		
WgA	Warm Springs fine sandy loam, saline, sodic, 0 to 1 percent slopes	13.5	35.0%		
Totals for Area of Interest		38.5	100.0%		

