

# Staff Report to the Weber County Board of Adjustment

Weber County Planning Division

### Synopsis

**Application Information** 

Application Request: A request for ordinance interpretation from Scott Martini regarding Section 104-5-

6(18) to determine whether his desired land use complies with the ordinance.

Agenda Date: Thursday, August 25, 2016
Staff Report Date: Wednesday, August 17, 2016

**Applicant:** Scott Martini **File Number:** BOA2016-06

**Staff Information** 

Report Presenter: Charlie Ewert

cewert@co.weber.ut.us

(801) 399-8763

Report Reviewer: RG

## **Applicable Ordinances**

§104-5-6 (18) – A-1 Zone, Conditional Uses

## **Summary and Background**

The applicant is seeking an interpretation of the Weber County Land Use Code Section 104-5-6(18) to determine whether his desired land use complies with this provision.

Early this last spring Scott Martini discussed with the Western Weber Planning Commission his desire to conduct a dump truck storage-yard on the Northwest corner of the family farm at the intersection of 4700 West and 1800 South. The property is zoned A-1. At that time planning staff were struggling to find that the code allows for this request.

In attempt to help Mr. Martini explore the alternatives, and after further evaluation of the A-1 zone, staff has identified a section of the A-1 zone that may allow for this kind of use as a conditional use. It is not a very clear provision, and Mr. Martini would like to save the expense of applying for a conditional use permit and designing a site plan until he knows whether this proposed use would be in compliance with this provision of the ordinance.

A more complete analysis is provided below.

## **Board of Adjustment Review and Consideration Requirements**

The Board of Adjustment's review of this interpretation is governed by Weber County Land Use Code (LUC) Section 102-3-3, which states:

#### Sec. 102-3-3. - Duties and powers of the board.

The board of adjustment shall have the following duties and powers:

- (1) To act as the appeal authority from decisions applying and interpreting this Land Use Code and Zoning Maps.
- (2) To hear and decide variances from the requirements of the Land Use Code.

Given that there is no other direction in the Land Use Code on the matter it appears that the Board of Adjustment has broad discretion in their deliberation process.

## **Staff Review of the Interpretation**

Request. Mr. Martini would like a permit to operate a commercial truck storage yard. The proposal includes a building that can be used as a shop for repairs of the trucks (this should be limited to the trucks allowed on the site), and outdoor storage area for the trucks. The concept site plan shows a shop building, clean-off area, 10 paved parking stalls, 10 crushed rotomill parking stalls, and 9 truck parking stalls, drainage facilities, landscaping, and a paved drive approach.

Analysis. Land Use Code (LUC) Section §104-5-6 (18) provides for the following:

The use and storage of farm equipment and other related equipment such as a backhoe, frontend loader or up to a ten-wheel truck, to be used by a farm owner, farm employee and/or a contracted farm operator of a bona-fide farm operation consisting of five acres or more, for offfarm, non-agricultural related, construction work to supplement farm income.

Because this provision does not offer a clear on-its-face interpretation for the unique specificity of Mr. Martini's case – specifically as it relates to housing a commercial truck storage yard at the site – he is leery of investing significant funds into the complete designs for the site and building before having a sense of security that the ordinance can be interpreted in his favor. However, he has invested in a "conceptual" drawing that is intended to communicate the overall intent of the site layout (attached). He knows that there is more work that is needed before this plan is final, but wants to hear from the Board of Adjustment whether their scrutiny of the ordinance will fall in his favor before submitting more formalized plans.

To scrutinize whether this code provision is applicable to the proposed use, the Board of Adjustment should get a strong sense from the applicant that the following are true:

- 1. Is the applicant limiting the use to 10-wheel trucks (photos of trucks attached)?
- 2. Will the 10 wheel trucks be limited to use of a farm owner, farm employees, or contracted farm operators?
- 3. Is the farm owner, farm employees, or contracted farm operators involved in a bona-fide farm operation consisting of five acres or more?
- 4. Are the 10-wheel trucks being used for non-agriculture construction work in a manner that supplements farm income?

If the Board of Adjustment can find that each of these are facts in this proposal, then the proposal is allowed by Conditional Use Permit, and the applicant should proceed to completing site plan design in anticipation of formal Planning Commission review. During conditional use permit review the applicant will be required to demonstrate that the detrimental effects of the use in this location can be effectively mitigated.

When it comes to the interpretation and application of the Land Use Code, when conflicts or ambiguity are present the County should err in favor of the land owner.<sup>1</sup>

#### Staff Recommendation

Considering the ambiguities of the ordinance, and unless that Board of Adjustment can find that the proposal clearly violates the ordinance, staff recommends interpreting the ordinance in favor of the land owner.

The recommendation is offered with the following findings:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note from the courts regarding interpretation of Land Use Laws:

<sup>&</sup>quot;In interpreting the meaning of ... [o]rdinance[s], we are guided by the standard rules of statutory construction." Brown v. Sandy City Bd. of Adjustment, 957 P.2d 207, 210 (Utah Ct.App.1998) (omission and alterations in original) (quotations and citation omitted).

<sup>&</sup>quot;'[B]ecause zoning ordinances are in derogation of a property owner's common-law right to unrestricted use of his or her property, provisions therein restricting property uses should be strictly construed, and provisions permitting property uses should be liberally construed in favor of the property owner.' " Id. (quoting Patterson, 893 P.2d at 606).6 To guide our interpretation \*557 on this issue, we first turn to the ordinance's plain language and need not consult legislative history to determine legislative intent unless the ordinance is ambiguous. See Brown, 957 P.2d at 210–11.

- 1. Provided that the support wheels are not in use coming to and from the site, the trucks can be considered 10 wheel trucks.
- 2. The use of the 10 wheel trucks are being limited to the use of a farm owner and employees for off-site non-agriculture construction work.
- 3. The vehicles are currently being used for farm work, in conjunction with the off-site non-agriculture construction work.
- 4. If or when the applicant begins receiving farm income he will be involved in a bona-fide farm operation consisting of five acres or more.
- 5. The applicant claims that he will receive farm income prior to applying for a conditional use permit from the County.

## **Exhibits**

- A. Application for Interpretation.
- B. Concept site plan and related materials.

	Weber County Board of Adjustment Application						
	Application submittals wil	l be accepted by appointment only. (8	01) 399-8791. 2380 Washington Blvd.	Suite 240, Ogden, UT 84401			
,	Date Submitted / Completed	Fees (Office Use) \$225.00	Receipt Number (Office Uses)	File Number (Office Use)			
	Property Owner Contact Info	rmation					
×	Name of Property Owner(s)		Mailing Address of Property Owner(s)				
7	Phone (941 - 430- 603)	Fax					
	Email Address	xtmail.com	Preferred Method of Written Correspo Email Fax Mail	PHONE			
,	Authorized Representative C						
	lame of Person Authorized to Represent the Property Owner(s)		Mailing Address of Authorized Person				
	Phone	Fax					
	mail Address		Preferred Method of Written Correspondence  Email Fax Mail				
	Appeal Request						
	A hearing to decide appeal when	re it is alleged by appellant that there is a	n error in any order, requirement, decisi	on or refusal in enforcing of the Zoning			
	☐ A variance request:						
	Lot areaYard setbo		)ther:				
	A Special Exception to the Zonin Flag LotAccess by:		a location other than across the front lot line				
	An Interpretation of the Zoning						
	An Interpretation of the Zoning Map						
	Other:						
	Property Information						
	Approximate Address		Land Serial Number(s)				
X	( 4700 co. 180	$\infty$ $S'$					
	Current Zoning  Existing Measurements						
			Required Measurements (Office Use)				
	Lot Area	Lot Frontage/Width	Lot Size (Office Use)	Lot Frontage/Width (Office Use)			
	Front Yard Setback	Rear Yard Setback	Front Yard Setback (Office Use)	Rear Yard Setback (Office Use)			
	Side Yard Setback	Side Yard Setback	Side Yard Setback (Office Use)	Side Yard Setback (Office Use)			

		體質的行列的學會			
Applicant Narrative					
Please explain your request.					
Variance Request					
Evoluin how the variance will not	t substantially affect the compr	ehensive plan of zoning in the	e County and that adherence	e to the strict letter of the ordi	nance will
	t substantially affect the compr he imposition of which upon th	ehensive plan of zoning in th e petitioner is unnecessary in	e County and that adherenc order to carry out the gener	e to the strict letter of the ordi ral purpose of the plan.	nance will
Evoluin how the variance will not	t substantially affect the compr he imposition of which upon th	ehensive plan of zoning in th e petitioner is unnecessary in	e County and that adherenc order to carry out the gener	e to the strict letter of the ordinal purpose of the plan.	nance will
Evoluin how the variance will not	t substantially affect the compr he imposition of which upon th	ehensive plan of zoning in th e petitioner is unnecessary in	e County and that adherenc order to carry out the gener	e to the strict letter of the ordinal purpose of the plan.	nance will
Evoluin how the variance will not	t substantially affect the compr he imposition of which upon th	ehensive plan of zoning in th e petitioner is unnecessary in	e County and that adherenc order to carry out the gener	e to the strict letter of the ordinal purpose of the plan.	nance will
Evoluin how the variance will not	t substantially affect the comprise imposition of which upon th	ehensive plan of zoning in th e petitioner is unnecessary in	e County and that adherenc order to carry out the gener	e to the strict letter of the ordinal purpose of the plan.	nance will
Evoluin how the variance will not	t substantially affect the compr he imposition of which upon th	ehensive plan of zoning in th e petitioner is unnecessary in	e County and that adherence order to carry out the gener	e to the strict letter of the ordinal purpose of the plan.	
Evoluin how the variance will not	t substantially affect the compr he imposition of which upon th	ehensive plan of zoning in the petitioner is unnecessary in	e County and that adherence order to carry out the gener	rai purpose of the plan.	nance will
Evoluin how the variance will not	t substantially affect the compr he imposition of which upon th	ehensive plan of zoning in the petitioner is unnecessary in	e County and that adherenc order to carry out the gener	rai purpose of the plan.	
Evoluin how the variance will not	t substantially affect the comprise imposition of which upon th	ehensive plan of zoning in the petitioner is unnecessary in	e County and that adherenc order to carry out the gener	rai purpose of the plan.	
Evoluin how the variance will not	t substantially affect the comprise imposition of which upon th	ehensive plan of zoning in the petitioner is unnecessary in	e County and that adherence order to carry out the gener	rai purpose of the plan.	
Evoluin how the variance will not	t substantially affect the comprise imposition of which upon th	ehensive plan of zoning in the petitioner is unnecessary in	order to carry out the gener	rai purpose of the plan.	
Evoluin how the variance will not	t substantially affect the comprise imposition of which upon th	ehensive plan of zoning in the petitioner is unnecessary in	order to carry out the gener	rai purpose of the plan.	
Evoluin how the variance will not	t substantially affect the compr he imposition of which upon th	ehensive plan of zoning in the petitioner is unnecessary in	order to carry out the gener	rai purpose of the plan.	
Evoluin how the variance will not	t substantially affect the compr he imposition of which upon th	ehensive plan of zoning in the petitioner is unnecessary in	order to carry out the gener	rai purpose of the plan.	

Variance Request (continued)		international design of the second se			
List the special circumstances attached to the property covere	ed by the application v			operty in the same zon	e.
	•				
the state of the s	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	I I			
lased upon the previously stated special circumstances, clearly other properties in the same zone; and that the granting of properties in the same zone.	the variance is essen	roperty covered by this itial to the enjoyment o	application is depri of a substantial prop	ved of privileges poss perty right possessed	essea by oth
roperues in the same zone.					
			•		
•					

÷ 6

Variance Request (continued		ag eng siyas ing ees gina sees fi Siyas ing galagaa Siyas ka ee galagaa siyasa kacas	eriore de la companya de la company La companya de la co	and the second s	picture and the second
Explain how the previously listed special of					
Property Owner: Affidavit					
I (We), and that the statements herein containe	d, the information prov	, depose and say th vided in the attached	at I (we) am (are) the d plans and other ex	owner(s) of the property hibits are in all respects tr	identified in this application ue and correct to the best of
my (our) knowledge.					
		-			
(Property Owner)			(Property Owner)	)	
Subscribed and sworn to me this	day of	, 20,			
	•				
					(Notary)
Authorized Representative Affi	davit				
I (We).		, the owner(s) of the	real property descri	ibed in the attached appli	ication, do authorized as my
(our) representative(s), my (our) behalf before any administrati pertaining to the attached application.	ve or legislative body	, to in the County consi	o represent me (us) i dering this applicati	regarding the attached a on and to act in all respe	oplication and to appear on ects as our agent in matters
,					
(Property Owner)		-	(Property Owner)	) ,	
,	•				
Dated thisday of	20	norconally appears	d hafara ma		, the
signer(s) of the Representative Authoriza	tion Affidavit who duly	acknowledged to m	ne that they executed	d the same.	
					(Notary)

# August 16, 2016

To Whom It May Concern:

I, Scott Martini, am requesting permission to build a maintenance shop on a portion of farm acreage belonging to Martini Farms.

This shop will be used for the maintaining and storage of dump trucks and a backhoe, in addition to tractors and farm implements used by Martini Farms.

These dump trucks are used by Martini Farms for hauling fertilizer, hay, straw, grain, corn silage, dirt and road base for around the corrals, rotomill tailings for dust control on the unpaved roads, and to transport farm equipment.

The backhoe is used for the digging and cleaning of our irrigation ditches.

In addition to the above listed uses of the trucks and backhoe, the trucks will be used to supplement the farm income in non-agricultural construction work.

Scott Martini























