

7100 West & 900 South

Stormwater Drainage Analysis

Reeve & Associates, Inc.

Solutions You Can Build On

Vaquero Village Cluster Subdivision, Phase 1

7100 West & 900 South Odgen, UT



submitted to

Weber County Engineering Division 2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 240 Ogden, UT 84401 PH: (801) 399-8374

April 18, 2017

Reeve & Associates, Inc.

Contact: Nate Reeve, PE 5160 South 1500 West Riverdale, Utah 84405 801-621-3100 Fax 801-621-2666 www. reeve-assoc.com

Ref: 5799-451

Reeve & Associates, Inc.



Table of Contents

Vaquero Village Cluster Subdivision, Phase 1

7100 West & 900 South

Ogden, UT

Section

Item

Introduction1Drainage System and Description2Drainage Analysis and Design Criteria3Summary and Conclusion4Appendix5

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Property Location and Introduction

At the request of the County of Salt Lake, Reeve & Associates has prepared the following Storm Drainage Analysis for the proposed subdivision located with entrance at 7100 West & 900 South in Ogden, Utah. Figure #1 contains a vicinity map that shows the proposed location and surrounding properties. The proposed site includes 13 residential lots and large open spaces for recreational use.

The drainage design was determined using the Rational Method. All drainage calculations and detention basin sizing were completed utilizing information for the 10-yr and 100-yr storm events for the Reese, UT area.

The following report outlines the objectives and procedures followed to determine the drainage design. A complete overview of both the hydrologic and hydraulic designs and calculations are presented in detail.

1.2 Property Description

The site sits on 12.42 acres. The proposed site will contain 94,005 s.f. of hard surface area, 178,983 s.f. of landscaped area and 30,000 s.f. of roof area.

The existing site is currently undeveloped. The site is flat with existing ditches on the north and south of the site. The property is bordered to the north, east, and west by undeveloped property. The property is bordered by private residences and 900 South on the south.









2.0 Drainage System Description

2.1 Existing Drainage Conditions

The existing topography is mostly flat with a general slight slope from east to west. The FEMA flood maps show that this is an area of minimal flood hazard.

2.2 Proposed Drainage System Description

The proposed drainage system is to sheet flow the storm water to strategically located catch basins throughout the site that direct the storm water into an above ground detention basin pond; sized to retain the 100-year storm event. Storm water is discharged from the basin at a rate of 0.1 cfs per acre into the filled and piped irrigation ditch on the north of the site. The size and details of the detention pond are described later in the report. The proposed site plan with topography is laid out in Figure 2.

In the case of a storm event greater than a 100-year storm, storm water will overtop the baffle wall in the control box. Also, an emergency spillway at the top of the basin will direct water to the ditch to the north.



Figure 2 - Proposed Site Layout





3.0 Drainage Analysis and Design Criteria

3.1 Regulations and Development Criteria

The Rational Method was used to determine the amount of runoff (Q) in cubic feet per second that the proposed project would generate upon completion. The Rational Method is used to predict the runoff for small basins by utilizing runoff coefficients based off land use. According to Weber County design standards, the Rational Method is used for small areas of 30 acres or less. The proposed development site sits on 12.42 acres.

Rainfall intensity data was obtained from data compiled by NOAA Atlas 14. An analysis was performed for the 10-year event for collection and conveyance systems and the 100-year storm event for detention sizing.

The runoff on site will be detained in the above ground detention basin before being discharged at the maximum post development runoff rate of 0.1 cfs/acre. A portion of the site equal to 14,100 s.f. on the north of the site bypasses the onsite detention system and drains directly to the ditch which is to be piped. Per verbal discussion with the county engineer this discharge is acceptable due to the site grading requirements and the large capacity of the ditch system.

3.2 Hydrologic Criteria

3.2.1 Rainfall Intensity

The rainfall intensities for the project were determined using data compiled by NOAA Atlas 14. The rainfall intensity for the 10-year storm is shown in Table 1 below. The rainfall intensity for the 100-year storm is shown in Table 2 below.

Time(min)	Intensity (inches/hour)
5	3.28
10	2.50
15	2.06
30	1.39
60	0.86
120	0.50
1440	0.09

Table 1 – Rainfall Intensity – 10-year storm event

Source: NOAA Atlas 14



Table 2	– Rainfall Int	ensity – 100-year storm event
	Time(min)	Intensity (inches/hour)
	5	6.59
	10	5.00
	15	4.14
	30	2.79
	60	1.72
	120	0.94
	1440	0.12

Source: NOAA Atlas 14

3.2.2 On-Site Retention Analysis

The next step is to determine the weighted runoff coefficient for a fully developed scenario based upon the developed surface types and areas.

The amount of paved area being retained is to be 79,905 square feet (with 14,100 square feet excepted from 94,005 square feet), with 178,983 square feet of landscaping, and 30,000 square feet of roof.

The weighted runoff coefficient was found by determining the runoff coefficient for each surface area within the designated area and determining the weighted average. See the Table 3 below for the weighted runoff coefficient results.

Table 3 – Runoff Coe	efficient	
Surface Type	Area (ft ²)	Coefficient
Paved Area	79,905	0.9
Landscaped Area	178,983	0.2
Roof	30,000	0.9
Weighted	l Coefficient	0.47

To determine the amount of water that would need to be retained during a 100year storm, for each time interval the difference of volume between existing conditions and proposed conditions was reviewed. The highest difference is what the system should be able to retain, see appendix for complete calculations. The total volume required to retain on-site is listed in Table 4 – see appendix for complete calculations.

Table 4 – Required Volum	e for On-Site Detention
Retention System	Volume (cf)
Basin	16.921



Based on the calculations and results demonstrated above, and according to our professional opinion, we propose that the on-site detention system shall have the capacity to hold up to 16,921 cubic feet. The proposed site plan will provide a total of 19,966 cubic feet in volume at the high water elevation which is greater than the required amount. Refer to the appendix for calculations that size the required volume.

3.3 Hydraulic Criteria

3.3.1 Detention Basin Design

To retain the total 100-year storm, a volume of 16,921 cubic feet is needed to be detained. It is proposed that this is to be done with an above ground holding pond. The location and layout of the detention system can be found in the appendix.

On-site Pond

Calculations that size the holding pond were performed through the use of AutoCAD Civil3D 2016 tools. Outputs for the calculations can be seen in Table 5 below.

Table $3 - 1$	Detention Dasin	i Stage Stora	ge
Elevation	Area (sq. ft.)	Depth (ft.)	Total Volume (cu.ft.)
4218.40	0	0.00	0
4218.65	512	0.25	65
4219.65	6630	1.25	3059
4220.65	8609	2.25	10657
4221.65	10028	3.25	19966

Table 5 – Detention Basin Stage Storage

19,966 c.f. > 16,921 c.f. req'd

In the event of a storm event greater than the 100-yr storm, storm water will overtop the baffle wall in the control box as well as flow into an emergency channel to the ditch in the north.

3.3.2 Pipe Sizing Requirements

The conveyance and collection system is required to be sized for the 10 year storm. In addition, the pipes on the north and south of the site where the existing ditches are to be filled and piped must have capacity for the existing flow. Calculations for the capacity of each existing ditch are included in the appendix. The required and calculated capacities for each pipe are shown in Table 6 below – see appendix for calculations for each pipe. Please note that pipe 8 is a combination of pipes 5 through 7. As calculations for these individual segments have been included, pipe 8 has been omitted from the table and calculations.



Pipe #	Location	Size (In.)	Slope (%)	Manning n	Required Capacity (cfs)	Calculated Capacity (cfs)
1	CB4-CB3	15	0.39	0.013	2.17	4.03
2	CB3-Control Box	15	1.26	0.013	4.33	7.25
3	Control Box-SDMH3	12	0.25	0.013	0.66	1.78
4	SDMH3-SDMH1	12	0.22	0.013	0.66	1.67
5	SDMH1-CB1	24	0.20	0.013	7.35	10.12
6	CB1-CB2	24	0.20	0.013	7.15	10.12
7	CB2-Exist Pipe	24	0.20	0.013	6.95	10.12
9	900 South	24	0.61	0.012	8.96	17.67

 Table 6 – Calculated Pipe Flow



4.0 Summary and Conclusions

The overall intent of this report was to ensure that the generated runoff from the proposed development did not have any adverse effects to the existing drainage patterns of the area and to ensure that the onsite drainage system and detention basin were sized for the required 100-year storm.

In our professional opinion, the on-site detention system will be able to detain the water accumulated during a 100-year storm event and release at the approved rate.



Appendix



Storm Runoff Calculations

Vaquero

1/19/2017 кнн

The following runoff calculations are based on the Rainfall - Intensity - Duration Frequency Curve for the Reese, UT area taken from NOAA Atlas 14 using a 10 year storm for collection and a 100 year storm for storage. Storage facilities have been designed per requirements provided by the City for a regional detention pond. A majority of water run off collected from the property will be diverted into a holding pond and released at a reduced rate as part of the detention pond. Design calculations here are presented for the overall property development.

The calculations	are as follows:					
1. Drainage Are	ea:					
Total	Total Area = I Collected Area	12.42 6.31	acres or	541,203 288,888	ft ²	
Developed Rund	off Coefficient					
	Runoff Coefficien	ts				
Single Fa	mily Residence P	aved Area		79,905	C =	0.9
	La	andscaped A	rea	178,983	C =	0.2
	R	001		30,000	C =	0.9
	Weighted Runoff	Coefficient			C =	0.47
2. Time of Cond	centration:					
	Use:			30	min.	
	Estimate	ed from storm	i water runoff ov	erland flow time		
3. Rainfall Inter	nsities:					
			10-yr 30-mi	n (conveyance)	1.39	in/hr
4. Peak Run-off	f:					
	Runoff Coefficien	t	C =	0.47		0.9
	Rainfall Intensity		i =	1.39	IN./HR.	1.39
	Acreage		A =	0.03	ACRES	0.32
	Runoff Quantity		Q =	200,000 CiA	IL .	
	Q (max at pond i	iternal)	Q =	4.30	ft ³ /s	0.40
5. Allowable Di	scharge:	-				
	Typical allowable	discharge	Q = (0.	1 x acres)		
	Allowable Disch	arge =	Q =	0.66	ft³/s	
6. Volume of R	un-off for 100-yea	r 24-Hour St	orm Event:			
C =	0.47					
A =	288,888 ft	2				
Q(out) =	0.66 ft	²/s				
time	time	i (Q	Vol. in	Vol. out	Difference
(min)	(sec)	(in./hr.)	(cfs)	(ct)	(cf)	(ct)
5	300	6 59	20.55	6 165	199	5 966
10	600	5.00	15.59	9,355	398	8,957
15	900	4.14	12.91	11,619	597	11,022
30	1800	2.79	8.70	15,660	1,194	14,466
60	3600	1.72	5.36	19,309	2,388	16,921
120	7200	0.94	2.94	21,195	4,775	16,420
180	10800	0.64	2.00	21,621	7,163	14,459
360	21600	0.36	1.12	24,113	14,325	9,788
720 1440	43200 86400	0.22	0.69 0.39	29,636 33 408	28,650 57,300	986 -23 892
1110		otention Ve	0.00	46 024	£1,000	20,002
			luine	10,921	n.	
7. Orifice Sizing	g Area: Given:	0 =	0.66	cfs		
	Given.	2a =	64 4	ft/s ²		
		 H =	2.50	ft		
		Cd =	0.7		for circular ope	enings
		R =	SQRT(Q/(0.7*)	oi*(64.4*H)^0.5))	1	-
		R =	0.15 fee	et		
		D =	1.85 inc 3 70 inc	ches		
		U –	3.70 10	51163		
OLIMANA DYA						

The required volume of the detention basin is	16,921.1	ft ³
Orifice Diameter at Outlet is	3.70	inches

Cross Section for Trapezoidal Channel - 1

Project Description		
Friction Method Solve For	Manning Formula Discharge	
Input Data		
Roughness Coefficient Channel Slope Normal Depth	0.030 0.00140 0.50	ft/ft ft
Right Side Slope Bottom Width	0.94 0.94 12.00	ft/ft (H:V) ft/ft
Discharge	6.95	ft³/s

Cross Section Image



V: 1 📐

Cross Section for Trapezoidal Channel - 2

Friction Method Manning Formula Solve For Discharge Input Data 0.030 Roughness Coefficient 0.03020 Channel Slope 0.00320 ft/ft Normal Depth 0.50 ft Left Side Slope 1.67 ft/ft (H:V)
Input DataRoughness Coefficient0.030Channel Slope0.00320ft/ftNormal Depth0.50Left Side Slope1.67ft/ft (H:V)
Roughness Coefficient0.030Channel Slope0.00320Normal Depth0.50Left Side Slope1.67ft/ft (H:V)
Channel Slope 0.00320 ft/ft Normal Depth 0.50 ft Left Side Slope 1.67 ft/ft (H:V)
Normal Depth 0.50 ft Left Side Slope 1.67 ft/ft (H:V)
Left Side Slope 1.67 ft/ft (H:V)
Right Side Slope1.67ft/ft (H:V)
Bottom Width 10.00 ft
Discharge 8.96 ft ³ /s

Cross Section Image





Project Description

Friction Method Solve For	Manning Formula Discharge		
Input Data			
Roughness Coefficient	0.0	013	
Channel Slope	0.003	390	ft/ft
Normal Depth	1	.25	ft
Diameter	1	.25	ft
Discharge	4	.03	ft³/s

Cross Section Image



V:1 1

Project Description

Input DataRoughness Coefficient0.013Channel Slope0.01260ft/ftNormal Depth1.25ftDiameter1.25ftDischarge7.25ft³/s	Friction Method Solve For	Manning Formula Discharge		
Roughness Coefficient0.013Channel Slope0.01260ft/ftNormal Depth1.25ftDiameter1.25ftDischarge7.25ft³/s	Input Data			
Channel Slope0.01260ft/ftNormal Depth1.25ftDiameter1.25ftDischarge7.25ft³/s	Roughness Coefficient		0.013	
Normal Depth1.25ftDiameter1.25ftDischarge7.25ft³/s	Channel Slope	0	.01260	ft/ft
Diameter 1.25 ft Discharge 7.25 ft³/s	Normal Depth		1.25	ft
Discharge 7.25 ft ³ /s	Diameter		1.25	ft
	Discharge		7.25	ft³/s

Cross Section Image



V:1 1

Project Description

Friction Method Solve For	Manning Formula Discharge	
Input Data		
Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.00250	ft/ft
Normal Depth	1.00	ft
Diameter	1.00	ft
Discharge	1.78	ft³/s

Cross Section Image



V:1 N:1

Project Description

Friction Method Solve For	Manning Formula Discharge	
Input Data		
Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.00220	ft/ft
Normal Depth	1.00	ft
Diameter	1.00	ft
Discharge	1.67	ft³/s

Cross Section Image



V:1 N

Project Description

Friction Method Solve For	Manning Formula Discharge	
Input Data		
Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.00200	ft/ft
Normal Depth	2.00	ft
Diameter	2.00	ft
Discharge	10.12	ft³/s

Cross Section Image



V:1 1

Project Description

Friction Method Solve For	Manning Formula Discharge	
Input Data		
Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.00200	ft/ft
Normal Depth	2.00	ft
Diameter	2.00	ft
Discharge	10.12	ft³/s

Cross Section Image



V:1 1

Project Description

Friction Method	Manning Formula
Solve For	Full Flow Capacity

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.00200	ft/ft
Normal Depth	2.00	ft
Diameter	2.00	ft
Discharge	10.12	ft³/s

Cross Section Image



V:1 L H:1

Project Description

Friction Method Solve For	Manning Formula Discharge	
Input Data		
Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.00610	ft/ft
Normal Depth	2.00	ft
Diameter	2.00	ft
Discharge	17.67	ft³/s

Cross Section Image



V:1 N

Reeve & Associates, Inc.

5160 South 1500 West Riverdale, Utah 84405 801-621-3100 Fax 801-621-2666 website: www. reeve-assoc.com