	vill be accepted by appointment o	only. (801) 399-8791. 2380 Washington Blv	/d. Suite 240, Ogden, UT 84401	
Pate Submitted / Completed	Fees (Office Use)	Receipt Number (Office Use)	File Number (Office Use)	
Property Owner Contact Inf	formation	124 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		
ame of Property Owner(s) Richard J. Vance, Jeffrey hone 801-971-0131 801-230-1511 801-759-1151	Pr. Callaban : Colby Kede 1 801-955-1393	Mailing Address of Property Owners 1031 N. Coyote Run, S 198 E. Bay View Circle, 6708 (ydamen Drib	caratoga Springs, UT 8404 Saratoga Springs, UT 8404 IE, Wast Jordan, UT 8404	
mail Address Scallahan 836		Preferred Method of Written Corres Email Fax Mail	ponacnee	
Authorized Representative	. • •			
ame of Person Authorized to Represent the Property Owner(s)		Mailing Address of Authorized Perso	on	
hone	Fax			
mail Address		Preferred Method of Written Correspondence Email Fax Mail		
Appeal Request				
		Other:		
1 1	ng Map	here is an error in any order, requirement, de	cision or refusal in enforcing of the Zon	
An Interpretation of the Zonir A hearing to decide appeal w	ng Map		cision or refusal in enforcing of the Zon	
An Interpretation of the Zonin A hearing to decide appeal w Ordinance Other:	ng Map		cision or refusal in enforcing of the Zon	
☐ An Interpretation of the Zonin ☐ A hearing to decide appeal will ☐ Ordinance	ng Map here it is alleged by appellant that t		cision or refusal in enforcing of the Zon	
An Interpretation of the Zonin A hearing to decide appeal will Ordinance Other: Property Information Approximate Address 940 \(\frac{2}{3} \) 942 \(\frac{2}{3} \) den (C Ozden, UT \(\frac{8!140}{3} \) Current Zoning	ng Map here it is alleged by appellant that the	Land Serial Number(s) 2001 9000 4 2001 90005		
An Interpretation of the Zonin A hearing to decide appeal will Ordinance Other: Property Information Approximate Address 940 \(\frac{942}{942} \) Osden (C) Osden, UT 811401 Current Zoning Existing	ng Map here it is alleged by appellant that the state of	Land Serial Number(s) 2001 9000 4 2001 90005 Required Mea	surements (Office Use)	
An Interpretation of the Zonin A hearing to decide appeal will Ordinance Other: Property Information Approximate Address 940 2942 05000 0 Ogden, UT 8!1400 Current Zoning	ng Map here it is alleged by appellant that the	Land Serial Number(s) 2001 90003 2001 90005 Required Mea	surements (Office Use) Lot Frontage/Width (Office Use)	
An Interpretation of the Zonin A hearing to decide appeal will Ordinance Other: Property Information Approximate Address 940 \(\frac{942}{942} \) Os dea (Osder), UT \$\frac{9140}{140} \) Current Zoning Existing 1	ng Map here it is alleged by appellant that the state of	Land Serial Number(s) 2001 9000 4 2001 90005 Required Mea	surements (Office Use)	
An Interpretation of the Zonin A hearing to decide appeal will Ordinance Other: Property Information Approximate Address 940 \ 942 Osden C Osden, UT 8!1401 Current Zoning Existing 1	ng Map here it is alleged by appellant that the state of	Land Serial Number(s) 2001 90003 2001 90005 Required Mea	surements (Office Use) Lot Frontage/Width (Office Use)	

Applicant Narrative
Please explain your request.
See explanation letter
1 rectiff
Variance Request
The Board of Adjustment may grant a variance only if the following five criteria are met. Please explain how this variance request meets the following five criteria:
1. Literal enforcement of the ordinance would cause an unreasonable hardship for the applicant that is not necessary to carry out the general purpose of the Zoning Ordinance.
a. In determining whether or not enforcement of the land use ordinance would cause unreasonable hardship, the appeal authority may not find an unreasonable hardship unless the alleged hardship is located on or associated with the property for which the variance is sought, and comes from circumstances peculiar to the property, not from conditions that are general to the neighborhood.
b. In determining whether or not enforcement of the land use ordinance would cause unreasonable hardship, the appeal authority may not find an unreasonable hardship if the hardship is self-imposed or economic.
See Letter attached

Variance Request (continued)					
2. There are special circumstances attached to the property	that do not generally apply to	the other properties in the sa	me zone.		
a. In determining whether there are special circumstances attached to the property, the appeal authority may find that special circumstances exist only if the special circumstances relate to the hardship complained of, and deprive the property of privileges granted to other properties in the same zone.					
Please describe the special circumstances attached to the property that do not generally apply to the other properties in the same zone:					
See attac	hed letters				
3. Granting the variance is essential to the enjoyment of a su	bstantial property right poss	essed by other property in the	same zone.		
(0	hed letters				
Jee attac	hed letters				

Variance Request (continued)
4. The variance will not substantially affect the general plan and will not be contrary to the public interest.
See attached letters
5. The spirit of the land use ordinance is observed and substantial justice done.
See attached letters
Property Owner Affidavit
I (We), Jefrey M Callabor, Richard J Vance, and Say that I (we) am (are) the owner(s) of the property identified in this application and that the statements herein contained, the information provided in the attached plans and other exhibits are in all respects true and correct to the best of my (our) knowledge. (Property Owner) (Property Owner) AARON JAY GOODRICH NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF UTAM COMMISSION NO. 682662 COMM. EXP. 05/05/2019 (Notary)
Authorized Representative Affidavit
I (We),, the owner(s) of the real property described in the attached application, do authorized as my (our) representative(s),, to represent me (us) regarding the attached application and to appear on my (our) behalf before any administrative or legislative body in the County considering this application and to act in all respects as our agent in matters pertaining to the attached application.
(Property Owner) (Property Owner)
Dated thisday of, 20, personally appeared before me, the signer(s) of the Representative Authorization Affidavit who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same.
(Notary)
(Notaly)

Narrative)

Our request for variance(s) on our parcels, ID#200190003, ID#200190004, and ID#200190005 is for the sole purpose of recognizing the parcel as a valid approved lot so we can obtain a rebuild letter. Parcel ID#200190003 is owned by Jeffrey Callahan and Richard Vance. Parcels ID#200190004 and ID#200190005 are owned by Coldy Keddington. Currently they are considered to be the same property. We are <u>not</u> seeking a permit for improvements or additions. The intent of the rebuild letter is to allow a valid appraisal to be done and approved which is necessary to obtain a mortgage lien on the property. Our parcels are currently not recognized as their own parcels. They do not enjoy the same rights and privileges that the neighboring properties do. The use and purpose are the exact same as the other properties, but they are not legally recognized as so. Since construction in the 1920's, this community has passed through different ownership. Each new owner has done their own plats and surveys and have adjusted property lines and/or parcels to their liking. Reviewing the history of the area, there has never been any consistency in the surveys, plats, or parcel lines. We had a meeting with Charlie Ewert in the Weber County Planning Commission, and even he is confused with what is going on in this area. The Planning Commission has different information than the Recorder's Office, and the Assessor's Office has additional information that contradicts what the other two departments have. Granting of our variance will FINALLY bring clarity to the issues of this community. The intent of this variance is to recognize these homes and parcels as individual, independent parcels. We have responded to the five criteria necessary for a variance below. Please review them for more details.

Question 1) Literal enforcement of the ordinance would cause an unreasonable hardship for the applicant that is not necessary to carry out the general purpose of the Zoning Ordinance.

Response 1)

Literal enforcement causes an unreasonable hardship on the property in such that we are unable to obtain a rebuild letter due to the property not being considered a valid parcel. The enforcement significantly reduces the value in the property as per the property can't obtain permits for improvements or repairs in the event of a loss or catastrophe. It will not be allowed to have mortgage liens taken against it which burdens the sale of the property and it would not be allowed to obtain permits to repair the structure if it were damaged. The adjoining properties in the community enjoy the right to being recognized as individual, approved parcels and therefore have the availability to obtain permits and/or rebuild letters. The neighboring properties are similar to this property and are used for the exact same purposes as our property. Granting the variance allows this property to enjoy all of the same rights the neighboring parcels do. Granting the variance will not affect the purpose of this zoning ordinance. The request is not to change anything on the property, but to merely legally recognize what currently exists on the property. In fact, it would be beneficial to the community as a whole.

Question 2) There are special circumstances attached to the property that do not generally apply to the other properties in the same zone.

Response 2)

As mentioned above, this property's circumstances are unique in that it is not recognized as it's on unique parcel. It is shared with two different homes that are owned independently. One is owned by Mr. Colby Keddington and the other by Mr. Jeffrey Callahan and Mr. Richard Vance. All of the property in this community had problems and concerns years ago with property lines, parcels, and zoning. For some reason, when the county approved a subdivision these two homes were not subdivided like the others. Therefore, it left the property in a unique situation unlike the neighboring parcels.

Question 3) Granting the variance is essential to the enjoyment of a substantial property right possessed by other property in the same zone.

Response 3)

Granting of the variance is essential to the enjoyment of this property so it has <u>all</u> of the same rights that as the remaining property in the neighborhood. The other property owners have the right to permits, rebuild letters, and have the ability to take mortgage liens against their properties when this property currently does not possess the same rights.

Question 4) The variance will not substantially affect the general plan and will not be contrary to the public interest.

Response 4)

The variance will not affect the general plan of the neighborhood and will not be contrary to the public interest. It will only allow the property the same rights that the other neighborhood properties currently enjoy. In fact, it might possibly benefit the public interest in the instance that the property value increases and the can have mortgage liens taken against it. This allows for more enjoyment for the homeowner which in turn increases pride of ownership which follows up with better care of the property. We have worked very hard on turning this home and property (which was an unsightly thing to see a few years ago) into a beautiful piece of land which benefits the community as a whole.

Question 5) The spirit of the land use ordinance is observed and substantial justice done.

Response 5)

The spirit of the land use ordinance will be observed and substantial justice will be done. The land will be granted all of the same rights as the neighboring property and the property is a beautiful addition to an already amazing community. It is a win/win for everyone.

WEBER COUNTY TAXPAYER REPORT

LSN: 20-019-0003

ADDRESS:

UNIT#

SITE NAME:

BUSINESS NAME:

940 OGDEN CYN

CITY: **OGDEN**

Wilcox Camp

STATUS: A ACCT TYPE: IMPROVED

TTL AC: 0.22

REG: 1

PPT: 117

NPPT: 117 - RECREATIONAL IMP

IMPROVEMENTS

IMPROVEMENT

IMP COST TYP:

Residential

CB

QUALITY:

QUALITY:

Semi-Modern

Metal Fireplace

Average

IMP#: 1 IMP SF:

IMP DESC:

1914

CONDITION: % COMPLETE: 100%

Average

OCCUPANCY

111

FOUNDATION: Conventional

1.0

PCT CMPLT: 100%

OCC CODE:

OCC DESC: Sngl Fam Res

ABST CODE: RS

BUILT AS

CLASS: **EXTERIOR:**

STORIES:

Frame Siding

STRY HGT: BLTAS SF:

YEAR BLT:

EFF YR BLT:

8 1914 1935

1984

BLT AS CODE: 91 BLT AS DESC: CB

HVAC %:

HVAC TYP:

UNITS:

1

294

24

240

1

Other 100%

SPRINKLER SF: ROOF COVER:

ROOF TYPE:

FLOOR COVER:

BLT AS#: Formed Seam Metal 1

1

IMP#:

Gable

DESC DETACHED:

Allowance

DETAILS

DETAIL TYPE: Appliance Appliance

DESCRIPTION:

Allowance Fireplace Single

Bath Full **Fixture** Garage Attached Porch Open Slab Porch Wood Deck

Rough In Rough In ADD ONS

CODE:

DESCRIPTION: Storage - Shed

UNITS:

YEAR BUILT:

QUALITY:

%CMPLT:

Add On 1123 Add On 1137

Masonry Trim

120 1

199

Average

100

ADDITIONAL IMPROVEMENTS

#: DESC:

TYPE

QUALITY: CONDITION: % C: ABST: CODE:

BA DESC:

CLS:

EXTERIOR:

YB: EYB: HT: WT: LT:

WEBER COUNTY TAXPAYER REPORT

LSN: 20-019-0004

ADDRESS:

UNIT#

LOT # SITE NAME:

BUSINESS NAME:

IMP#

1 942 W OGDEN CYN

OGDEN

CITY:

Wilcox Camp

STATUS: A ACCT TYPE: LAND

TTL AC: 0.02

REG: <u>1</u>

PPT: <u>999</u> NPPT: <u>999 - UNDEV</u>

IMPROVEMENTS

ADDITIONAL IMPROVEMENTS

HT: WT: LT: YB: EYB: DESC: QUALITY: CONDITION: % C: ABST: CODE: BA DESC: CLS: EXTERIOR: #:

WEBER COUNTY TAXPAYER REPORT

LSN: 20-019-0005

LOT# SITE NAME: **BUSINESS NAME:** IMP# CITY: ADDRESS: UNIT# **OGDEN** Wilcox Camp 946 OGDEN CYN NPPT: 997 - MULTIPLE RESIDENTAL STATUS: A ACCT TYPE: IMPROVED TTL AC: 0.18 REG: 1 **PPT:** 117 IMPROVEMENTS IMPROVEMENT Residential QUALITY: Average IMP COST TYP: IMP DESC: CB CONDITION: **Below Average** IMP#: 484 % COMPLETE: 100% IMP SF: OCCUPANCY PCT CMPLT: 100% ABST CODE: RS OCC CODE: 111 OCC DESC: Sngl Fam Res IMP#: 1 BUILT AS SPRINKLER SF: BLT AS#: 8 BLT AS CODE: 91 STRY HGT: CLASS: Formed Seam Metal **ROOF COVER:** Frame Siding BLTAS SF: 484 BLT AS DESC: CB **EXTERIOR:** 1924 **ROOF TYPE:** Gable **HVAC TYP:** Other FOUNDATION: Conventional YEAR BLT: Allowance 100% FLOOR COVER: 1983 1.0 HVAC %: STORIES: EFF YR BLT: **DETAILS** UNITS: QUALITY: **DESC DETACHED: DETAIL TYPE: DESCRIPTION:** Semi-Modern **Appliance** Allowance **Appliance** Fireplace Single Metal Fireplace **Fixture** Bath Full Porch **Encl Solid Wall** 48 Semi-Modern Rough In Rough In ADD ONS UNITS: YEAR BUILT: QUALITY: %CMPLT: CODE: **DESCRIPTION:** TYPE IMPROVEMENT IMP COST TYP: Residential QUALITY: Fair CONDITION: **Below Average** IMP DESC: CB IMP#: % COMPLETE: 100% IMP SF: 490 OCCUPANCY PCT CMPLT: 100% ABST CODE: RS OCC CODE: 111 OCC DESC: Sngl Fam Res 2 IMP#: **BUILT AS** O BLT AS#: BLT AS CODE: 91 SPRINKLER SF: 8 **CLASS:** STRY HGT: Composition Shingle ROOF COVER: 490 **EXTERIOR:** Frame Siding **BLTAS SF:** BLT AS DESC: CB Gable 1921 HVAC TYP: Other **ROOF TYPE:** FOUNDATION: Conventional YEAR BLT: Allowance 1980 100% FLOOR COVER: 1.0 HVAC %: **EFF YR BLT:** STORIES: **DETAILS DESC DETACHED:** UNITS: QUALITY: **DESCRIPTION: DETAIL TYPE:** Basic-Old/SY 1 Appliance Allowance METAL FP Fireplace Single 1 Appliance Bath Full 1 **Fixture** 90 Porch Open Slab Rough In 1 Rough In **ADD ONS UNITS:** YEAR BUILT: **QUALITY:** %CMPLT: **TYPE** CODE: **DESCRIPTION:**

ADDITIONAL IMPROVEMENTS

#: DESC: QUALITY: CONDITION: % C: ABST: CODE: BA DESC: CLS: EXTERIOR: HT: WT: LT: YB: EYB: