

## SWPPP COMPLIANCE INSPECTION FORM



Project Name: LOT 19 RIVERS		3827 NI	givers Eda	Date:	1/1	/15	
Owner: NIISON Homes	Contractor (Gen/Sub):	Nilson	Homes '	Start time:	10	: 40	5
Site Contact: Paul Peterson	Phone:	(801) 39	2-8100	Stop time:	10	:5	0
UPDES Permit #: UTP369983 Exp	piration: Weather	er: Sunny Clou	dy Raining Snowi	ng Other:			
Date of last rain event:	Duration:	Approx	. Rainfall (in):				
Inspected By (Print): Kela Caro	Sen Local	Jurisdiction or County:	weber (	county			
Reason for Inspection: Scheduled	Complaint/Tip Random	Receiving Waters:		J			
Inspection SW sampling Inspector Cod	e (circle): (S) State Ty	pe Code (circle):	1 - Municipal 2 -	Industrial 3 - Sta	te		
	SEDIMENT AND HOUSEKE				YES	NO	N.
1. Is the SWPPP on site and accessible, or is the SW	PPP location posted in an obvious	place and reasonably	accessible (in a short time	e)?		X	
<ol> <li>Are erosion control, sediment control, and good ho</li> <li>Has the SWPPP been updated to reflect the currer</li> </ol>	usekeeping BMP's installed on the s	site as shown in the S	WPPP?			X	
MPs crossed off site map, new BMP details & spec's	s in SWPPP, SWPPP amendment I	og etc \?				X	
<ol> <li>Are on-site inspections being performed and record name &amp;qualifications, weather, problems/repairs, corr</li> </ol>	led by a qualified person on a week	y or biweekly basis, re	porting items required by	permit? (Inspector		1	
i. Have all corrective action items from previous inspe	ections been addressed and docum	ented within the time for	.) rame allotted by the inspe	ector?		<u>\( \)</u>	_
<ol><li>Are SW flows entering and leaving the construction</li></ol>	site controlled, managed, or diverte	ed around the site? (e	g. perimeter controls, ber	rms, silt fence,	. /	X	_
upgradient boundary diversion, down gradient boundary sediment control, etc.)							
<ul><li>7. Is there evidence of sediment discharge such as mud flows or soil deposits from the construction site in downstream locations?</li><li>8. Is there evidence of vehicles tracking soil off the construction site?</li></ul>						X	_
9. Is there soil, construction material, landscaping items, or other debris piled on impervious surfaces (roads, drives) that could be washed with SW to a						X	-
torm drain or water body?						X	
Is there a need to repair, maintain, or improve erospughening, pipe slope drain, dust control, etc)?				55 45		V	
1. Is there a need to repair, maintain, or improve sed	ment control BMPs (silt fence, chec	k dams, fiber rolls, se	diment trap/basin, inlet pr	otection, wattles,		^	
traw bails, curb cut-back, etc?  2. Is there a need to repair, maintain, or improve goo	d housekeening controls (clean trac	k out pad sweeping o	construction, motorials ma	ana amant		X	
ter/trash control, port-o-potties staked down, fueling a	areas, concrete wash out area, prop	er curb ramps, spill pr	evention, etc)?	5 89		X	
3. Are there disturbed areas that have not had constr	uction activities for 14 to 21 days wi	thout stabilization? (ex	cept snow or frozen grou	nd)?		X	
4. Are there places where BMPs are needed and sho	uld be installed or not needed and s  ITS AND CORRECTIVE ACT					X	
No SWPPP Incated	to install. Include the date wh	en corrections are made.					_
		***					
							_
							_
pector, please list all applicable SEV codes:				***************************************			-
rtify under penalty of law that this document and all attachmen nered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my rmation submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief t the and imprisonment for knowing violations.	inquiry of the person or persons who ma	nage the system or thos	a nersons directly resonneith	a for authoring the infe-			y
pector: Print Name)	n RCI	Xu	a Jap	lun_	7	4	
(interesting)		,	(Signature	(1)	(D	ate)	_
rator: Paul Petersen	Emailed to	D: Daula	nikanhar	Mes.com	١		
ified 8/12/10 (Print Name)	(Title)	1	(Signature	100.0017		ate)	_

(Signature)

(Date)