



Dana Schuler
Weber County Engineering Division
2380 Washington Blvd. #240
Ogden, UT 84401

RE: Summit at Powder Mountain Phase 1D, Development Parcel D
Response to Engineering Comments

Ms. Shuler,

The following are comments and responses pertaining to the civil engineering plans from your review and received on December 19, 2013.

Plat set specifics

1. Sheet 1 of 4
 1. Plat notes to be revised based on discussion at 12/11/13 meeting.
Response: Plat notes have been updated

2. Sheet 2 of 4
 1. Development Parcel D needs to be a restricted lot.
Response: The development team would like to discuss this with Weber County further. Since it is a development parcel, not a lot, we are not sure how this should be properly addressed.

 2. Missing “Salt” in title block (sheets 2-4).
Response: “Salt” added to title block.

3. Sheet 3 of 4
 1. Recommend running spell check.
Response: Spelling has been reviewed. Please identify specific errors if any remain.

Improvement Plan set specifics

1. Provide copies of easements for all improvements outside of property boundary (propane tanks, gas line, lift station, sewer lines, driveway to lift station, grading/rock walls for lift station driveway, grading anywhere outside of boundary).
Response: Drafts of all easements for this phase are in process and will be provided upon completion.

2. Sheet 1.02 – Driveway hatching covers up improvement drawings.
Response: Hatching has been fixed. Utility improvements have been removed from driveways as much as possible. Laterals have been relocated in this plan set and in the

OFFICES NATIONWIDE

Phase 1D plan set. Those relocated laterals will appear on the next submittal of Phase 1D.

3. Sheet 1.02 – Gas main – to be installed by this contractor? If so, provide pipe specs.
Response: Gas main to be installed by others. A note has been added.
4. Sheet 1.02 – No water service is shown to unit #19.
Response: A water service lateral has been added.
5. Sheet 5.10 – Lift station – Site plan does not match site plan shown on E-2. Please clarify.
Response: The electrical site plan E-2 has been updated and the current drawings are part of this resubmittal.
6. Sheet 5.10 – Response to previous review states “There will be no generator at this site.” Electrical plans indicate different. Please reconcile.
Response: It has been determined that a backup generator will be necessary. It is showing on sheet 1.02 and 5.10.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Regards,



Ryan Cathey, PE
Engineering Manager

CC: Jared Andersen, PE- Weber County Engineer
Rick Everson, PE- Watts Enterprises, Inc.-Land Owner's Representative

NIVIS

OFFICES NATIONWIDE