1. Canal, canal ownership, and easement need to be addressed.- There is no existing easement for the canal, a 20’ wide easement will be created with this subdivision as shown.
2. Recommend showing “no access” line for property frontage along SR-39, except at approved shared driveway location. A note was added to the plat stating that there is no access except within shown shared access
3. Suggest labeling frontage PUE/pathway easement separate, otherwise all easements around property are pathway also. Changed as requested
4. The note on the plat requiring shared access needs to be shown again. Noted as requested
5. Driveway shall be constructed per UDOT requirements and via permit.  Culvert should be sized to meet UDOT requirements. Noted as requested
6. PUE and set back line needs to be shown through Lot 3 now.  Dimension easements as they do not appear to have a consistent width. Note added to plat and dimensions have been made consistent.
7. Recommend dimensioning width of area to be dedicated for SR-39, assumed to be constant width. Noted as requested