



GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING AND GEOLOGIC STUDY

Proposed Hansen Property Development

About 1000 North 7300 East
Huntsville, Weber County, Utah
CMT PROJECT NO. 900125

FOR:
CW Land
122 West Legacy Crossing Boulevard #6
Centerville, Utah 84014

March 19, 2021

March 19, 2021

Mr. Greg Day
CW Land
122 West Legacy Crossing Boulevard #6
Centerville, Utah 84014

Subject: Geotechnical Engineering and Geologic Study
Proposed Hansen Property Development
About 1000 North 7300 East
Huntsville, Weber County, Utah
CMT Project No. 900125

Mr. Day:

Submitted herewith is the report of our geotechnical engineering and geologic study for the subject site. This report contains the results of our findings and an interpretation of the results with respect to the available Project characteristics. It also contains recommendations to aid in the design and construction of the earth related phases of this project.

On February 22-23, 2021 CMT Engineering Laboratories (CMT) personnel were on-site and supervised the excavation of 13 test pits extending to depths of 7.7 to 15.0 feet below the existing ground surface. Soil samples were obtained during the field operations and subsequently transported to our laboratory for further testing and observation. Based on the findings of the subsurface explorations, conventional spread and continuous footings may be utilized to support the proposed residence, provided the recommendations in this report are followed. A detailed discussion of design and construction criteria is presented in this report.

We appreciate the opportunity to work with you on this project. CMT offers a full range of Geotechnical Engineering, Geological, Material Testing, Special Inspection services, and Phase I and II Environmental Site Assessments. With four offices throughout Northern Utah, and in Arizona, our staff is capable of efficiently serving your project needs. If we can be of further assistance or if you have any questions regarding the Project, please do not hesitate to contact us at (801) 870-6730. To schedule materials testing, please call (801) 381-5141.

Sincerely,
CMT Engineering Laboratories



Bill D. Black, P.G.
State of Utah No. 5224898-2250
Engineering Geologist



Bryan N. Roberts, P.E.
State of Utah No. 276476
Senior Geotechnical Engineer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 | General..... | 1 |
| 1.2 | Objectives, Scope and Authorization | 1 |
| 1.3 | Description of Proposed Construction | 1 |
| 1.4 | Executive Summary | 2 |
| 2.0 | FIELD EXPLORATION..... | 2 |
| 3.0 | SITE CONDITIONS | 3 |
| 3.1 | Surface Conditions..... | 3 |
| 3.2 | Subsurface Soils..... | 3 |
| 3.4 | Groundwater | 3 |
| 3.5 | Site Subsurface Variations | 4 |
| 4.0 | ENGINEERING GEOLOGY | 4 |
| 4.1 | Seismotectonic Setting..... | 4 |
| 4.2 | Surficial Geology | 5 |
| 4.3 | Lake Bonneville History | 11 |
| 4.4 | Seismic Hazards..... | 12 |
| 4.4.1 | Strong Ground Motions | 12 |
| 4.4.2 | Site Class..... | 12 |
| 4.4.3 | Seismic Design Category | 12 |
| 4.4.4 | Surface Faulting | 13 |
| 4.4.5 | Liquefaction | 13 |
| 4.4.6 | Tectonic Subsidence | 13 |
| 4.5 | Landslide and Slump Deposits..... | 13 |
| 4.6 | Other Geologic Hazards..... | 14 |
| 4.6.1 | Sloping Surfaces | 14 |
| 4.6.2 | Alluvial Fan Flooding | 14 |
| 4.6.3 | Stream Flooding Hazards..... | 14 |
| 4.6.4 | Rockfall and Avalanche Hazards | 14 |
| 5.0 | LABORATORY TESTING | 15 |
| 5.1 | General..... | 15 |
| 5.2 | Lab Summary..... | 15 |
| 6.0 | SITE PREPARATION AND GRADING | 15 |
| 6.1 | General..... | 15 |
| 6.2 | Temporary Excavations | 16 |
| 6.3 | Fill Material | 16 |
| 6.4 | Fill Placement and Compaction | 17 |
| 6.5 | Utility Trenches | 18 |
| 6.6 | Soil Stabilization..... | 19 |
| 7.0 | FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS | 19 |
| 7.1 | Foundation Recommendations..... | 19 |
| 7.2 | Installation | 19 |
| 7.3 | Estimated Settlement | 20 |
| 7.4 | Lateral Resistance | 20 |
| 8.0 | LATERAL EARTH PRESSURES..... | 20 |
| 9.0 | FLOOR SLABS..... | 21 |
| 10.0 | DRAINAGE RECOMMENDATIONS | 21 |
| 10.1 | Surface Drainage..... | 21 |
| 11.0 | PAVEMENTS | 22 |
| 12.0 | QUALITY CONTROL..... | 23 |
| 13.0 | LIMITATIONS | 23 |
| 14.0 | REFERENCES | 24 |

APPENDIX

Figure 1: Vicinity Map

Figure 2: Geologic Map

Figure 3: LiDAR Analysis

Figure 4: Site Evaluation

Figures 5A-D: Geologic Test pit Logs

Figures 6-14: Geotechnical Test Pit Logs

Figure 15: Key to Geotechnical Test Pit Logs

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

CMT Engineering Laboratories (CMT) was authorized by Mr. Greg Day to conduct a design-level geotechnical engineering and geologic study for the Proposed Hansen Property located in the S1/2 Section 6 and N1/2 Section 7, Township 6 North, Range 2 East (Salt Lake Base Line and Meridian). The property currently includes 72.49 acres of undeveloped farmland proposed for development of an 11-lot residential subdivision with lot sizes of from 3.00 to 10.77 acres. Elevation of the site ranges from about 4,912 to 4,952 feet above sea level. The Project location is shown on **Figure 1, Vicinity Map**. Regional geology of the Project and nearby area is provided on **Figure 2, Geologic Map**. Slope-terrain information is provided on **Figure 3, LIDAR Analysis**. Locations of the test pits excavated for our subsurface investigation are shown on **Figure 4, Site Evaluation**.

1.2 Objectives, Scope and Authorization

The objectives and scope of our study were planned in discussions between Mr. Day and Mr. Andrew Harris of CMT Engineering Laboratories (CMT), and are outlined in our proposal dated February 10, 2021.

Our objectives and scope of work included:

1. Perform a site-specific geologic study, in accordance with Section 108-22 Natural Hazard Areas guidelines and standards of the Weber County Code of Ordinances (October 28, 2019), to assess whether all or parts of the site are exposed to natural hazards including, but not limited to: Surface-Fault Rupture, Landslides, Tectonic Subsidence, Rock Falls, Debris Flows, Liquefaction and Flooding.
2. Define and evaluate site conditions, including: (a) a field program consisting of surficial observation and excavation, logging, and sampling of 13 test pits to evaluate subsurface conditions; (b) a laboratory soils testing program; and (c) an office program consisting of data compilation and correlation, applicable engineering and geological analyses, and preparation of this report summarizing our findings.

Based on the above, recommendations are provided herein to be utilized in appropriate site development and home design and construction.

1.3 Description of Proposed Construction

Structures are to be of wood-framed construction and founded on spread footings with basements (if conditions allow). Maximum continuous wall and column loads are anticipated to be 1 to 3 kips per lineal foot and 10 to 50 kips, respectively.

1.4 Executive Summary

Structures can be supported upon conventional spread and continuous wall foundations established on suitable natural soils or on structural fill extending to suitable natural soils. The most significant geotechnical/geological aspects of the site are:

1. The site is in an area mapped by the Utah Geological Survey (UGS) as being underlain by Late Pleistocene-age lacustrine deposits from Lake Bonneville and a sequence of post-Lake Bonneville alluvial deposits. Slopes at the site dip to the southwest at an overall rough 70:1 (horizontal:vertical; or 1.4%, 0.82 degrees). Only localized small areas have slopes steeper than 25%. Test pits conducted at the site exposed sandy to gravelly alluvium with cobbles, and lacustrine sand with thin clay interbeds in some areas. Groundwater was observed at a depth of 12 feet below the ground surface (bgs) in test pit TP-13 in the south part of the site, but was not observed in the remaining test pits to their explored depths. Groundwater at the site is estimated to be at a depth of from 10 to 20 feet, but depths may vary seasonally and locally.
2. At some of the test pits a relatively thick sequence of topsoil was present (up to about 2 feet) with organics to about 1 foot. Also, up to 1 foot of fill/disturbed soils were observed in some locations.
3. Natural undisturbed soils encountered at the site that are free of significant organics are suitable for bearing foundations and slabs; collapse and expansive potentials are considered low to negligible for this site.

A geotechnical engineer/geologist from CMT must be allowed to verify that all topsoil and undocumented fill materials have been completely removed from beneath proposed structures, and suitable natural soils encountered prior to the placement of structural fills, floor slabs, footings, foundations, or concrete flatwork.

In the following sections, detailed discussions pertaining to proposed construction, field exploration, the geologic setting and mapped hazards, geoseismic setting of the site, earthwork, foundations, lateral pressure and resistance, floor slabs, and subdrains are provided.

2.0 FIELD EXPLORATION

Subsurface soil conditions at the site were explored by excavating 13 test pits located as shown on **Figure 4**. The test pits were excavated using a track-mounted excavator to depths of 7.7 to 15.0 feet bgs for geologic/geotechnical logging and sampling. The excavation locations were measured using a handheld GPS unit and by trend and distance methods. During the course of the excavation operations, a continuous log of the subsurface conditions encountered was maintained. Disturbed bulk samples of representative soils encountered in the test pits were obtained for subsequent laboratory testing and examination. The representative soil samples were placed in sealed plastic bags and containers prior to transport to the laboratory.

The collected samples were logged and described in general accordance with ASTM D-2488, packaged, and transported to our laboratory. The soils were classified in the field based upon visual and textural examination. These classifications were supplemented by subsequent inspection and testing in our laboratory. The subsurface conditions encountered in the field exploration are discussed below in **Section 3.2**. Geologic logs for test pits TP-1 through TP-4, following methodology in McCalpin (1996), are illustrated on **Figures 5A-D, Test Pit Logs**. Geotechnical logs for test pits TP-5 through TP-13 are provided on **Figures 6 through 14**. Location, trend, and other pertinent data and observations are provided on the logs. A key to geotechnical test pit logs is provided on **Figure 15**.

When backfilling the excavations, only minimal effort was made to compact the backfill and no compaction testing was performed. Thus, the backfill must be considered as non-engineered and settlement of the backfill in the test pits over time must be anticipated.

3.0 SITE CONDITIONS

3.1 Surface Conditions

The site conditions and site geology were interpreted through an integrated compilation of data, including a review of literature and mapping from previous studies conducted in the area (Coogan and King, 2016); GIS analyses of elevation and geoprocessed 2016 LIDAR terrain data as shown on **Figure 3**; photogeologic analyses of 2012 imagery shown on **Figure 4**; field reconnaissance of the general site area; and interpretation of the test pits conducted at the site as part of our field program (**Figures 5A-D and 6 through 14**). Seismic hazards information was developed from United States Geologic Survey (USGS) databases (Peterson and others, 2008).

As shown on **Figure 3**, slopes at the site dip gently to the southwest at gradients mainly less than 15% (unshaded). Only localized areas are steeper than 15% (shaded in yellow and red). The site is currently vacant farmland.

3.2 Subsurface Soils

Thirteen test pits were excavated at the site to evaluate subsurface soil conditions, as located on **Figure 4**. Test pits TP-1 through TP-4 (**Figures 5A-D**) were logged for geologic and geotechnical conditions, whereas test pits TP-5 through TP-13 (**Figures 6-14**) were logged and sampled for geotechnical conditions. The test pits mainly exposed sandy to gravelly alluvium with cobbles, and lacustrine sand with thin clay interbeds. Detailed stratigraphic unit descriptions are shown on the logs. Groundwater was encountered in test pit TP-13 at a depth of 12.0 feet bgs, but was not encountered in any of the other excavations to their explored depths of 7.7 to 15 feet bgs.

3.4 Groundwater

As indicated in Section 3.2 above, groundwater was only encountered in one of the test pits at the site (TP-13, **Figure 4**). Based on the site setting and the explored depths of the test pits, we anticipate groundwater is likely

about 10 to 20 feet deep. However, groundwater depths may vary locally, annually from climatic fluctuations, and seasonally from snow-melt runoff or from man-made sources such as irrigation and septic systems. The detailed evaluation of these and other factors, which may be responsible for ground water fluctuations, is beyond the scope of this study.

3.5 Site Subsurface Variations

Based on the results of the subsurface explorations and our experience, variations in the continuity and nature of subsurface conditions should be anticipated. Due to the heterogeneous characteristics of natural soils, care should be taken in interpolating or extrapolating subsurface conditions between or beyond the exploratory locations.

Again, when backfilling the excavations, only minimal effort was made to compact the backfill and no compaction testing was performed. Thus, the backfill must be considered as non-engineered and settlement of the backfill in the test pits over time must be anticipated.

4.0 ENGINEERING GEOLOGY

4.1 Seismotectonic Setting

The property is located in Ogden Valley, a roughly 40-square mile back valley described by Gilbert (1928) as a structural trough similar to Cache and Morgan Valleys to the north and south, respectively. The back valleys of the northern Wasatch Range are in a transition zone between the Basin and Range and Middle Rocky Mountains physiographic provinces (Stokes, 1977, 1986). The Basin and Range is characterized by a series of generally north-trending elongate mountain ranges, separated by predominately alluvial and lacustrine sediment-filled valleys and typically bounded on one or both sides by major normal faults (Stewart, 1978). The boundary between the Basin and Range and Middle Rocky Mountains provinces is marked by the Wasatch fault zone at the base of the Wasatch Range. Late Cenozoic normal faulting, a characteristic of the Basin and Range, began between about 17 and 10 million years ago in the Nevada (Stewart, 1980) and Utah (Anderson, 1989) portions of the province. The faulting is a result of a roughly east-west directed, regional extensional stress regime that has continued to the present (Zoback and Zoback, 1989; Zoback, 1989). The back valleys are morphologically similar to valleys in the Basin and Range, but exhibit less structural relief (Sullivan and others 1988).

Ogden Valley occupies a structural trough created by up to 2,000 feet of vertical displacement on normal faults bounding the northeastern and southwestern margins of the valley. Coogan and King (2016) and the Utah Geological Survey Quaternary Fault Database (Black and others, 2003; January 2017 update) show these faults about 3.2 and 1.7 miles to the northeast and west, respectively. Both faults were most-recently active more than 10,000 years ago (Sullivan and others, 1986). The nearest active (Holocene-age) fault to the site is the Weber segment of the Wasatch fault zone about 8.8 miles to the west.

The site is also in the central portion of the Intermountain Seismic Belt (ISB), a generally north-south trending zone of historical seismicity along the eastern margin of the Basin and Range province extending from northern Arizona to northwestern Montana (Sbar and others, 1972; Smith and Sbar, 1974). At least 16 earthquakes of magnitude 6.0 or greater have occurred within the ISB since 1850; the largest of these earthquakes was a M 7.5 event in 1959 near Hebgen Lake, Montana. None of these earthquakes occurred along the Wasatch fault or other known late Quaternary faults (Arabasz and others, 1992; Smith and Arabasz, 1991). The closest event was the 1934 Hansel Valley (M 6.6) event north of the Great Salt Lake. The March 18, 2020 M 5.7 earthquake (<https://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/eventpage/uu60363602/executive>) near Magna, Utah reportedly showed a style, location, and slip depth consistent with an earthquake on the Wasatch fault system. Despite being less than magnitude 6.0, this earthquake damaged multiple buildings and was felt from southern Idaho to south-central Utah (<https://www.ksl.com/article/46731630/>). The University of Utah Seismograph Stations (<https://earthquakes.utah.gov/magna-quake/#>) indicates the Magna earthquake was weakly felt in Ogden Valley, with a peak acceleration of about 0.005 g and an instrument intensity of II-III (on a Roman numeral scale of I-X).

4.2 Surficial Geology

The site is located in Ogden Valley in an area mapped by Coogan and King (2016) as being underlain by Historical alluvial and lacustrine deposits (unit Qlamh), Holocene stream alluvium (unit Qal), latest Pleistocene to Holocene alluvium over lacustrine deltaic deposits (units Qay/Qdlb and Qap/Qdlb), and late Pleistocene lacustrine sand from Lake Bonneville (unit Qlsb) (**Figure 2**). Site-specific geologic mapping at a scale of 1 inch equals 250 feet (1:3,000) is provided on Figure 4. Coogan and King (2016) describe surficial geologic units in the site area on **Figure 2** as follows:

Qlamh – *Lacustrine, marsh, and alluvial deposits, undivided (Historical)*. Sand, silt, and clay mapped where streams enter Pineview Reservoir, and reservoir levels fluctuate such that lacustrine, marsh, and alluvial deposits are intermixed; thickness uncertain.

Qa2, Qa2?, Qay – *Younger alluvium (mostly Holocene)*. Like undivided alluvium, with Qay at to slightly above present drainages, unconsolidated, and not incised by active drainages; likely mostly Holocene in age and postdates late Pleistocene Provo shoreline of Lake Bonneville; height above present drainages is low and is within certain limits, with suffix 1 (not present on this map) being the youngest and being at to slightly (<10 feet [3 m]) above drainages and suffix 2 being slightly higher and older, with y suffix where ages 1 and 2 cannot be separated; Qa2 is up to about 20 feet (6 m) above drainage on south side of Round Valley indicating unit includes slightly older post Provo-shoreline alluvium; generally 6 to 20 feet (2-6 m) thick. Mapped as Qa2 (queried) where about 20 feet (6 m) above incised stream in Stephens Canyon (Devils Slide quadrangle).

Qal, Qal1, Qal2, Qal2? – *Stream alluvium and floodplain deposits (Holocene and uppermost Pleistocene)*. Sand, silt, clay, and gravel in channels, floodplains, and terraces typically less than 16 feet (5 m) above river and stream level; moderately sorted; unconsolidated; along the same drainage Qal2 is lower than Qat2 and has likely been subject to flooding, at least prior to dam building; present in broad plains along the Bear, Ogden, and Weber Rivers and larger tributaries like Deep, Cottonwood, East Canyon, Lost, and Saleratus Creeks, along Box Elder, Heiners, and Yellow Creeks, and in narrower plains of larger tributary streams;

locally includes muddy, organic overbank and oxbow lake deposits; composition depends on source area, so in back valleys typically contains many quartzite cobbles recycled from the Wasatch Formation; mostly Holocene, but deposited after regression of Lake Bonneville from the late Pleistocene Provo shoreline; width in Morgan Valley is combined floodplain of Weber River and East Canyon and Deep Creeks; 6 to 20 feet (2-6 m) thick and possibly as much as 50 feet (15 m) along Weber River and thinner in the Kaysville quadrangle; greater thicknesses (>50 feet [15 m]) are reported in Morgan Valley (Utah Division of Water Rights, well drilling database), but likely include Lake Bonneville and older Pleistocene deposits.

Suffixes 1 and 2 indicate ages where they can be separated, with 1 including active channels and 2 including low terraces 10 to 20 feet (3-6 m) above the Weber and Ogden Rivers, and the South Fork Ogden River that may have been in the floodplain prior to damming of these waterways. Qal2 queried in low terraces above Bear River, Saleratus Creek, and Dry Creek where deposits may not be in the floodplain.

Qaf1, Qaf2, Qaf2?, Qafy, Qafy? – *Younger alluvial-fan deposits (Holocene and uppermost Pleistocene)*. Like undivided alluvial fans, but all of these fans are unconsolidated and should be considered active; height above present drainages is low and is within certain limits; generally less than 40 feet (12 m) thick; near former Lake Bonneville, fans are shown as Qafy where Qaf1 and Qaf2 cannot be separated, and all contain well-rounded recycled Lake Bonneville gravel. Younger alluvial fan deposits are queried where relative age is uncertain (see Qaf for details).

Qaf1 fans are active because they impinge on and deflect present-day drainages. Qaf2 fans appear to underlie Qaf1 fans but may be active. Qafy fans are active, impinge on present-day floodplains, divert active streams, overlie low terraces, and/or cap alluvial deposits (Qap) related to the Provo and regressive shorelines. Therefore, Qafy fans are younger than the Provo shoreline and likely mostly Holocene in age, but may be as old as latest Pleistocene and may be partly older than Qaf1 fans.

Qla, Qla? – *Lake Bonneville lacustrine deposits and post- and pre-Lake Bonneville alluvial deposits, undivided (Holocene and upper? Pleistocene)*. Mostly poorly sorted and poorly bedded sand, silt, and clay, with some gravel; mapped where Lake Bonneville deposits are reworked by later stream action or covered by thin stream and fan deposits, and where lake deposits are thin and overlie older alluvial deposits; unit queried where may be dominantly alluvium; deposits typically eroded from shallow Norwood Formation; mostly mapped near Bonneville shoreline; also mapped in Peterson quadrangle along upper Deep Creek above Bonneville shoreline where lake deposits seem to indicate landslide dam of creek; thickness uncertain.

Qa, Qa? – *Alluvium, undivided (Holocene and Pleistocene)*. Sand, silt, clay, and gravel in stream and alluvial-fan deposits near late Pleistocene Lake Bonneville and are geographically in the Ogden and Weber River, and lower Bear River drainages; composition depends on source area; variably sorted; variably consolidated; deposits lack fan shape of Qaf and are distinguished from terraces (Qat) based on upper surface sloping toward adjacent streams from sides of drainage, or are shown where fans and terraces are too small to show separately at map scale; Qa with no suffix used where age uncertain or alluvium of different ages cannot be shown separately at map scale; Qa queried where relative age uncertain, generally due to height not fitting into ranges in table 1 and/or typical order of surfaces contradicts height-derived age (see following paragraphs); generally 6 to 20 feet (2-6 m) thick.

Where possible, alluvium is subdivided into relative ages, indicated by number and letter suffixes. This alluvium is listed and described separately below. The relative ages of alluvium, including terraces and fans, are in part based on deposit heights above present adjacent drainages in Morgan and Round Valleys, and this subdivision apparently works in and is applied in Ogden, Henefer, and Lost Creek Valleys and above the North, Middle, and South Forks of Ogden River (see table 1 and 2). Alluvial deposits mapped in the Henefer quadrangle (Coogan, 2010b) and Lost Creek drainage (Coogan, 2004a-c) were revised during mapping of the Devils Slide quadrangle (see table 2). Comparable alluvium along Box Elder Creek in the northwest part of the map area (Mantua quadrangle) seems to be slightly higher than in Morgan Valley. Units Qa2, Qay, Qap, Qab, Qapb, Qao, and Qaoe described below are near Lake Bonneville. Their relative age is queried where age uncertain, generally due to height not fitting into ranges in table 1 and/or typical order of surfaces contradicts height-derived age.

Qms, Qms?, Qmsy, Qmsy?, Qmso, Qmso? - *Landslide deposits (Holocene and upper and middle? Pleistocene)*. Poorly sorted clay- to boulder sized material; includes slides, slumps, and locally flows and floods; generally characterized by hummocky topography, main and internal scarps, and chaotic bedding in displaced blocks; composition depends on local sources; morphology becomes more subdued with time and amount of water in material during emplacement; Qms may be in contact with Qms when landslides are different/ distinct; thickness highly variable, up to about 20 to 30 feet (6-9 m) for small slides, and 80 to 100 feet (25-30 m) thick for larger landslides. Qmsy and Qmso queried where relative age uncertain; Qms queried where classification uncertain. Numerous landslides are too small to show at map scale and more detailed maps shown in the index to geologic mapping should be examined.

Qms without a suffix is mapped where the age is uncertain (though likely Holocene and/or late Pleistocene), where portions of slide complexes have different ages but cannot be shown separately at map scale, or where boundaries between slides of different ages are not distinct. Estimated time of emplacement is indicated by relative-age letter suffixes with: Qmsy mapped where landslides deflect streams or failures are in Lake Bonneville deposits, and scarps are variably vegetated; Qmso typically mapped where deposits are “perched” above present drainages, rumpled morphology typical of mass movements has been diminished, and/or younger surficial deposits cover or cut Qmso. Lower perched Qmso deposits are at Qao heights above drainages (95 ka and older) and the higher perched deposits may correlate with high level alluvium (QTa_) (likely older than 780 ka) (see table 1). Suffixes y and o indicate probable Holocene and Pleistocene ages, respectively, with all Qmso likely emplaced before Lake Bonneville transgression. These older deposits are as unstable as other slides, and are easily reactivated with the addition of water, be it irrigation or septic tank drain fields.

Qlf, Qlf?, Qlfb, Qlfb? – *Fine-grained lacustrine deposits (Holocene and upper Pleistocene)*. Mostly silt, clay, and fine-grained sand deposited near- and off-shore in Lake Bonneville; typically mapped as Qlf below the Provo shoreline (P) because older transgressive (Qlfb) deposits are indistinguishable from younger regressive deposits; mapped as Qlfb above the Provo shoreline because these deposits can only be related to the Bonneville shoreline (B) and transgression; grades upslope with more sand into Qls or Qlsp; typically eroded from shallow Norwood Formation in Ogden and Morgan Valleys and at least 12 feet (4 m) thick near Mountain Green. Qlf and Qlfb queried where grain size is uncertain.

In the Kaysville quadrangle, Qlf deposits that are below the Gilbert (G) shoreline are at least partly the same age as this shoreline (Holocene-latest Pleistocene) and post-date late Pleistocene Lake Bonneville. Qlf deposits below the Holocene (H) highstand shoreline are Holocene. Both ages of deposits are generally less than 15 feet (5 m) thick.

Deeper water fine-grained deposits overlie older shoreline and delta gravels (Qlf/Qdlb) at the mouths of several drainages along the Weber River. These gravels were deposited above the Provo shoreline during transgression of Lake Bonneville to the Bonneville shoreline (see unit Qdlb).

Qmc - *Landslide and colluvial deposits, undivided (Holocene and Pleistocene)*. Poorly sorted to unsorted clay- to boulder-sized material; mapped where landslide deposits are difficult to distinguish from colluvium (slope wash and soil creep) and where mapping separate, small, intermingled areas of landslide and colluvial deposits is not possible at map scale; locally includes talus and debris flow and flood deposits; typically mapped where landslides are thin (“shallow”); also mapped where the blocky or rumpled morphology that is characteristic of landslides has been diminished (“smoothed”) by slope wash and soil creep; composition depends on local sources; 6 to 40 feet (2-12 m) thick. These deposits are as unstable as other landslide units (Qms, Qmsy, Qmso).

Qac – *Alluvium and colluvium (Holocene and Pleistocene)*. Unsorted to variably sorted gravel, sand, silt, and clay in variable proportions; includes stream and fan alluvium, colluvium, and, locally, mass-movement deposits too small to show at map scale; typically mapped along smaller drainages that lack flat bottoms; more extensive east of Henefer where Wasatch Formation (Tw) strata easily weather to debris that “chokes” drainages; 6 to 20 feet (2-6 m) thick.

Some deposits are “perched” on benches 80 feet (25 m) and more above present-day drainages like Left Fork Heiners Creek (Heiners Creek quadrangle) and Harris Canyon (Henefer quadrangle). In the Devils Slide quadrangle, some deposits are “perched” on benches about 60 to 130 feet (18-40 m) above Quarry Cottonwood Canyon indicating the alluvium is at least partly Lake Bonneville age and older (see Qab and Qao in tables 1 and 2).

Qcg – *Gravelly colluvial deposits (Holocene and Pleistocene)*. Gravelly materials present downslope from gravel-rich deposits of various ages (for example units Keh, Tw, Tcg, Thv, QTaf, QTa, Qafoe, Qaoe, Qafo, and Qa); may contain residual deposits; typically differentiated from colluvium and residual gravel (Qc, Qng) by prominent stripes trending downhill on aerial photographs; stripes are concentrations of gravel up to boulder size; generally 6 to 20 feet (2-6 m) thick.

Qafp, Qafp?, Qafb, Qafb?, Qafpb, Qafpb? – *Lake Bonneville-age alluvial-fan deposits (upper Pleistocene)*. Like undivided alluvial fans, but height above present drainages appears to be related to shorelines of Lake Bonneville and is within certain limits (see table 1); these fans are inactive, unconsolidated to weakly consolidated, and locally dissected; fans labeled Qafp and Qafb are related to the Provo (and slightly lower) and Bonneville shorelines of late Pleistocene Lake Bonneville, respectively, while unit Qafpb is used where fans may be related to the Provo or Bonneville shoreline (for example Qafpb is ~40 feet [12 m] above Lost Creek Valley), or where fans of different ages cannot be shown separately at map scale; Qafp fans typically contain well-rounded, recycled Lake Bonneville gravel and sand and are moderately well sorted; generally

10 to less than 60 feet (3-18 m) thick. Lake Bonneville-age fans are queried where relative age is uncertain (see Qaf for details); fans labeled Qafpb? are above the Bonneville shoreline and might be Qafo or like Qafm; see the note under Qao about two possible ages of older alluvium (Qao, Qato, and Qafo).

Most of the Lake Bonneville-age fans in the James Peak quadrangle are far from the Bonneville shoreline and their age is inferred from their stratigraphic relationship(s) to coeval Pinedale glacial outwash (see age equality in Table 3).

The channels (Qafp/Qdlb) on the Weber River delta and Lake Bonneville fines (Qafp on Qlfb) probably record scour and fill during the rapid drawdown of the lake as it fell from the Bonneville shoreline to the Provo shoreline.

Qap, Qap?, Qab, Qab?, Qapb – Lake Bonneville-age alluvium (upper Pleistocene) – Like undivided alluvium but height above present drainages appears to be related to shorelines of Lake Bonneville and is within certain limits, and unconsolidated to weakly consolidated; alluvium labeled Qap and Qab is related to Provo (and slightly lower) and Bonneville shorelines of Lake Bonneville (at ~4800 to 4840 feet [1463-1475 m] and 5180 feet [1580 m] in Morgan Valley), respectively; suffixes partly based on heights above adjacent drainages near Morgan Valley (see tables 1 and 2); Qap is typically about 15 to 40 feet (5-12 m) above present adjacent drainages, but is locally 45 feet (12 m) above; Qapb is used where more exact age cannot be determined, typically away from Lake Bonneville, or where alluvium of different ages cannot be shown separately at map scale; Qap is up to about 50 feet (15 m) thick, with Qapb and Qab, at least locally up to 40 and 90 feet (12 and 27 m) thick, respectively. Queried where classification or relative age uncertain (see Qa).

A prominent surface (“bench”) is present on Qap and Qatp at about 4900 feet (1494 m) elevation and about 25 to 40 feet (8-12 m) above the Weber River in Morgan Valley and along the South Fork Ogden River.

In the Devils Slide quadrangle, the Qab that is mapped about 80 to 95 feet (24-29 m) above Round Valley and 40 to 50 feet (12-15 m) above adjacent drainages at the mouth of Geary Hollow appears unique. Based on heights above adjacent drainages, these deposits would be Qao (see table 1), but similar alluvial deposits to the east near Phil Shop Hollow have a Bonneville shoreline cut in them and are much thinner than 40 feet (12 m). The lack of a Bonneville shoreline, and small thickness and heights above drainages indicate the deposits could be a Bonneville shoreline fan-delta.

Qdlb, Qdlb? – *Transgressive and Bonneville-shoreline deltaic and lacustrine deposits (upper Pleistocene).* Mostly sand, silty sand, and gravelly sand deposited near shore in Lake Bonneville; extensive at mouth of Weber Canyon; related to transgression to and occupation of the Bonneville shoreline with lacustrine deposits covering deltaic deposits; in Morgan Valley and near mouth of Coldwater Canyon (North Ogden quadrangle) contain more cobbles and overall more gravel; 0 to at least 40 feet (12 m) thick in Ogden and Morgan Valleys; about 400 feet (120 m) thick in bluff at the mouth of Weber Canyon. These deposits are prone to slope failures.

Ql, Ql? – *Lake Bonneville deposits, undivided (upper Pleistocene).* Silt, clay, sand, and cobbly gravel in variable proportions; mapped where grain size is mixed, deposits of different materials cannot be shown separately

at map scale, or surface weathering obscures grain size and deposits are not exposed in scarps or construction cuts; thickness uncertain.

Qls, Qls?, Qlsp, Qlsb, Qlsb? - *Lake Bonneville sand (upper Pleistocene)*. Mostly sand with some silt and gravel deposited nearshore below and near the Provo shoreline (Qlsp) and between the Provo and Bonneville shorelines (Qlsb); Qls mapped downslope from slope break below Provo shoreline beach deposits where thin Lake Bonneville regressional sand may overlie transgressional sand; grades downslope into unit Qlf with decreasing sand content and laterally with more gravel into units Qdlp, Qdlb, and upslope with more gravel into unit Qlgb; Qls and Qlsb queried where grain size or unit identification uncertain; may be as much as 75 feet (25 m) thick, and thickest near Ogden; typically less than 20 feet (6 m) thick in Morgan Valley; may include small deltas and deltas that lack typical delta shape.

Thv? – *Fanglomerate of Huntsville area(?) (Pliocene and/or Miocene)*. Brown to reddish-brown weathering sand, silt, and gravel (pebbles to boulders) on flat area near 7313-foot [2230 m] elevation hill on eastern margin of Mantua quadrangle; queried due to uncertain origin; located on Rendezvous Peak erosion surface of Williams (1948), so uncertain age (compare Williams, 1948 to 1958); similar patches on topographic highs to north and south are mapped as Salt Lake Formation conglomerate (Tslc); reddish color may be from erosion of Wasatch Formation and/or terra rossa development on underlying karstic carbonate rocks; may be post- or late-Salt Lake Formation age, like Thv on Durst Mountain.

Tcg, Tcg? – *Unnamed Tertiary conglomeratic rocks (Oligocene?)*. Characterized by rounded, cobble- to boulder-sized, quartzite-clast conglomerate with pebbles and less than 10 percent to more than 50 percent gray, tan, or reddish-gray to reddish-tan matrix; conglomerate clasts locally angular to subangular Tintic Quartzite and angular to rounded lower Paleozoic carbonate rocks; interbedded with tan, gray, and reddish-brown, pebble-bearing mudstone to sandstone and some claystone (altered tuff); most beds poorly indurated and poorly exposed; mudstone likely constitutes matrix of conglomeratic beds; in Morgan and Durst Mountain quadrangles, about 500 to 700 feet (150-210 m) thick and thickening northward to possibly 3000 feet (900 m), though faulting may make this estimate too large.

Reddish-hued Tcg strata mostly contain recycled Wasatch Formation clasts (quartzite and carbonate) with a distinct reddish patina in a reddish matrix. Some non-conglomeratic beds in Tcg look like gray upper Norwood Formation (Tn) and are locally tuffaceous, indicating the units are interbedded. Further, some Tcg pebble beds have carbonate and chert clasts (like the Norwood) and lesser quartzite clasts, and Tcg conglomerate includes rare altered tuff clasts from the Norwood Formation. Despite tuffaceous matrix, unit Tcg seems to be less prone to mass movements than Norwood strata.

Zkc, Zkc? – *Kelley Canyon Formation (Neoproterozoic)*. Dark-gray to black, gray to olive-gray-weathering argillite to phyllite, with rare metacarbonate (for example basal meta-dolomite); grades into overlying Caddy Canyon quartzite with increasing quartzite; gradational interval mapped as Papoose Creek Formation (Zpc); 1000 feet (300 m) thick in Mantua quadrangle (this report), where Papoose Creek Formation is mapped separately, and reportedly 2000 feet (600 m) thick near Huntsville (Crittenden and others, 1971, figure 7), but only shown as about 1600 feet (500 m) thick to Papoose Creek transition zone by Crittenden (1972). The Kelley Canyon Formation is prone to slope failures.

Zmcg, Zmcg? – Maple Canyon Formation, Lower (green arkose) member (Neoproterozoic). Grayish-green, fine-grained arkosic (feldspathic) meta-sandstone and sandy argillite (meta-graywacke), with local quartzite lenses up to 200 feet (60 m) thick; weathers darker gray to brown to greenish-gray and greenish-brown; 500 to 1000 feet (150-305 m) thick and lower thickness would eliminate the need for faulting in southwest part of Huntsville quadrangle. This unit is prone to slope failures.

Zpu, Zpu? – Formation of Perry Canyon, upper member (Neoproterozoic) – Olive drab to gray, thin-bedded slate to argillite to phyllite to micaceous meta-siltstone to meta-graywacke to meta-sandstone in variable proportions such that unit looks like both the “greywacke-sandstone” and “mudstone” members of previous workers; unit identification based on underlying diamictite in Mantua quadrangle; rare meta-gritstone and meta-diamictite (actually conglomerate?); locally schistose; meta-sandstone contains poorly sorted lithic, quartz, and feldspar grains in silty to micaceous matrix; meta-sandstone is quartzose in outcrops on west margin of Mantua quadrangle (Crittenden and Sorensen, 1985a) and medial zone of sandstone is feldspathic east of Ogden Valley, where mapped and described as argillite member of Maple Canyon Formation by Crittenden (1972) and Sorensen and Crittenden (1979); thickness uncertain, but appears to be about 600 feet (180 m) thick on west flank of Grizzly Peak in the Mantua quadrangle and about 1000 feet (300 m) thick between Ogden Canyon and North Ogden divide. In Ogden Valley typically non-resistant and tan weathering such that gray to green to dark-gray fresh color is seldom seen except in cut slopes and excavations. This unit is prone to slope failures.

Citations, tables, and/or figures referenced above are not provided herein but are in Coogan and King (2016).

4.3 Lake Bonneville History

Lakes occupied nearly 100 basins in the western United States during late-Quaternary time, the largest of which was Lake Bonneville in northwestern Utah. The Bonneville basin consists of several topographically closed basins created by regional extension in the Basin and Range (Gwynn, 1980; Miller, 1990), and has been an area of internal drainage for much of the past 15 million years. Lake Bonneville consisted of numerous topographically closed basins, including the Salt Lake and Cache Valleys (Oviatt and others, 1992). Portions of Ogden Valley were inundated by Lake Bonneville at its highstand and sediments from Lake Bonneville underlie the site on **Figure 2**.

Timing of events related to the transgression and regression of Lake Bonneville is indicated by calendar age estimates of significant radiocarbon dates in the Bonneville Basin (Oviatt, 2015). Approximately 30,000 years ago, Lake Bonneville began a slow transgression (rise) to its highest level of 5,160 to 5,200 feet above mean sea level. The lake rise eventually slowed as water levels approached an external basin threshold in northern Cache Valley at Red Rock Pass near Zenda, Idaho. Lake Bonneville reached the Red Rock Pass threshold and occupied its highest shoreline, termed the Bonneville beach, around 18,000 years ago. During the transgression and highstand, major drainages that emanate from within the Wasatch Range (such as the Weber River) formed large deltaic complexes in the lake at their canyon mouths. Headward erosion of the Snake River-Bonneville basin drainage divide then caused a catastrophic incision of the threshold and the lake level lowered by roughly 360 feet in fewer than two months (Jarrett and Malde, 1987; O’Conner, 1993). The site is below the highest (Bonneville) shoreline, which is mapped on **Figure 2** to the east (blue line denoted by B).

Following the Bonneville flood, the lake stabilized and formed a lower shoreline referred to as the Provo shoreline between about 16,500 and 15,000 years ago. Climatic factors then caused the lake to regress rapidly from the Provo shoreline, and by about 13,000 years ago the lake had eventually dropped below historic levels of Great Salt Lake. Drainages that fed Lake Bonneville began downcutting through stranded deltaic complexes and near-shore deposits as the lake receded from the Provo shoreline. Oviatt and others (1992) deem this low stage the end of the Bonneville lake cycle. Great Salt Lake then experienced a brief transgression around 11,600 years ago to the Gilbert level at about 4,250 feet before receding to and remaining within about 20 feet of its historic average level (Lund, 1990).

4.4 Seismic Hazards

4.4.1 Strong Ground Motions

Strong ground motion is likely to present a significant risk during moderate to large earthquakes located within a 60-mile radius of the Project area (Boore and others, 1993). Seismic sources include mapped active faults, as well as a random or “floating” earthquake source on faults not evident at the surface. The Utah Geological Survey Quaternary Fault Database (Black and others, 2003; January 2017 update) shows numerous class A faults within 60 miles of the Project that may pose potential seismic sources. Strong ground motions originating from the Wasatch fault or other near-by seismic sources are capable of impacting the site. The Wasatch fault zone is considered active and capable of generating earthquakes as large as magnitude 7.3 (Arabasz and others, 1992).

4.4.2 Site Class

Utah has adopted the 2018 International Building Code (IBC), which determines the seismic hazard for a site based upon 2014 mapping of bedrock accelerations prepared by the United States Geologic Survey (USGS) and the soil site class. The USGS values are presented on maps incorporated into the IBC code and are also available based on latitude and longitude coordinates (grid points). For site class definitions, IBC 2018 Section 1613.2.2 refers to Chapter 20, Site Classification Procedure for Seismic Design, of ASCE1 7-16. Given the subsurface soils exposed in the test pits at the site, it is our opinion the site best fits Site Class D – Stiff Soil, which we recommend for seismic structural design.

4.4.3 Seismic Design Category

The 2014 USGS mapping utilized by the IBC provides values of peak ground, short period and long period accelerations for the Site Class B/C boundary and the Maximum Considered Earthquake (MCE). This Site Class B/C boundary represents average bedrock values for the Western United States and must be corrected for local soil conditions. The Seismic Design Categories in the International Residential Code (IRC 2018 Table R301.2.2.1.1) are based upon the Site Class discussed in the previous section. For Site Class D at site grid coordinates of 41.278051 degrees north latitude and 111.769841 degrees west longitude, S_{DS} is 0.638 and the **Seismic Design Category** is D₀.

¹ American Society of Civil Engineers

4.4.4 Surface Faulting

Movement along faults at depth generates earthquakes. During earthquakes larger than Richter magnitude 6.5, ruptures along normal faults in the intermountain region generally propagate to the surface (Smith and Arabasz, 1991) as one side of the fault is uplifted and the other side down dropped. The resulting fault scarp has a near-vertical slope. The surface rupture may be expressed as a large singular rupture or several smaller ruptures in a broad zone. Ground displacement from surface fault rupture can cause significant damage or even collapse to structures located on an active fault.

No evidence of active surface faulting is mapped or was evident at the site. The nearest active (Holocene-age) fault to the site is the Weber segment of the Wasatch fault zone about 8.8 miles to the west. Surface faulting is not therefore considered to pose a risk to the proposed development.

4.4.5 Liquefaction

Liquefaction is a phenomenon whereby loose, saturated, granular soil units lose a significant portion of their shear strength due to excess pore water pressure buildup resulting from dynamic loading, such as that caused by an earthquake. Among other effects, liquefaction can result in densification of such deposits causing settlements of overlying layers after an earthquake as excess pore water pressures are dissipated. Horizontally continuous liquefied layers may also have a potential to spread laterally where sufficient slope or free-face conditions exist. The primary factors affecting liquefaction potential of a soil deposit are: (1) magnitude and duration of seismic ground motions; (2) soil type and consistency; and (3) occurrence and depth to groundwater.

Liquefaction potential has not been studied or mapped for the Project area, but subsurface data from the test pits suggest the risk from liquefaction is likely low, but may vary locally. Weber County hazard mapping shows the site is in an area of very low liquefaction potential (Code 1).

4.4.6 Tectonic Subsidence

Tectonic subsidence is surface tilting subsidence that occurs along the boundaries of normal faults in response to surface-faulting earthquakes (Keaton, 1986). The site is not located on the downthrown side of and near any active earthquake faults, and tectonic subsidence is not therefore considered to pose a risk.

4.5 Landslide and Slump Deposits

Landslides, slumps, and other mass movements are gravity-induced downslope movements of rock or soil. Such failures may be both deep and shallow seated. Deep-seated failures include rotational and translational slides and associated earthflows where the failure plane is more than 10 feet deep (Varnes, 1978; Cruden and Varnes, 1996). Landslides can develop in moderate to steep slopes where a slope has been disturbed, the head of a slope loaded, or where increased groundwater pore pressures result in driving forces within the slope exceeding restraining forces.

No landslides are mapped at the site and, except for localized areas, slopes at the site are very gentle. No evidence for recent or ongoing slope instability or landsliding was observed during the field investigation. Given the above, landslides are not considered to pose a risk to the proposed development.

4.6 Other Geologic Hazards

Other potential geologic hazards at the site are addressed in the following subsections.

4.6.1 Sloping Surfaces

Surface slopes at the Project developed from our LIDAR analysis, as shown on **Figure 3**, are mainly gentler than 15% (unshaded). Only localized areas have slopes greater than 15% (shaded in yellow and red). Slopes at the site dip to the southwest at an overall roughly 70:1 (horizontal:vertical; or 1.4%, 0.82 degrees).

4.6.2 Alluvial Fan Flooding

Alluvial-fan flooding refers to a continuum of processes that includes debris slides, debris flows, debris floods, and flash flooding on alluvial fans (National Research Council, 1996). Debris flows and related sediment-enriched floods and flows are fast moving flow-type landslides comprised of a slurry of rock, mud, organic matter, and water that move down drainage-basin channels onto alluvial fans (Giraud, 2005). Debris flow hazards are commonly associated with areas underlain by Holocene alluvial-fan deposits at the mouths of range-front drainages, such as those along the Wasatch Range.

No debris flow deposits were observed in the test pits conducted at the site, and no debris-flow channels, levees, or other debris-flow features were observed during the field investigation. Debris flows and floods are not therefore considered to pose a risk to the proposed development.

4.6.3 Stream Flooding Hazards

No perennial, intermittent or ephemeral drainage courses are mapped or were observed crossing the site. Federal Emergency Management Agency flood insurance rate mapping (Map Numbers 49057C0242F and 49057C0244F, effective June 2015) classifies most of the Project in "Zone X - Areas of Minimal Flood Hazard". A small area of Zone AE extends into the northwest site corner associated with Dry Hollow Creek, as shown on **Figure 4**. AE flood zones are areas that present a 1% annual chance of flooding and a 26% chance over the life of a 30-year mortgage, according to FEMA.

4.6.4 Rockfall and Avalanche Hazards

The site is not located downslope from steep slopes with source areas where rockfalls and avalanches may originate.

5.0 LABORATORY TESTING

5.1 General

Selected samples of the subsurface soils were subjected to various laboratory tests to assess pertinent engineering properties, as follows:

1. Moisture Content, ASTM D-2216, Percent moisture representative of field conditions
2. Dry Density, ASTM D-2937, Dry unit weight representing field conditions
3. Atterberg Limits, ASTM D-4318, Plasticity and workability
4. Gradation Analysis, ASTM D-1140/C-117, Grain Size Analysis

5.2 Lab Summary

Laboratory test results are presented in the following Lab Summary Table:

LAB SUMMARY TABLE

| Test Pit No | Depth (feet) | Soil Class | Sample Type | Moisture Content (%) | Dry Density (pcf) | Gradation | | | Atterberg Limits | | |
|-------------|--------------|------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------|------|-------|------------------|----|----|
| | | | | | | Grav | Sand | Fines | LL | PL | PI |
| TP-5 | 3 | SM | bag | 12 | | | | 33 | | | NP |
| | 7 | SM | bag | 9 | | 9 | 72 | 19 | | | |
| TP-6 | 4 | SM | bag | 10 | | | | 32 | | | |
| TP-8 | 3 | CL | bag | 22 | | | | 94 | 35 | 20 | 15 |
| TP-9 | 4 | CL-ML | bag | 18 | | | | 85 | 24 | 18 | 6 |
| TP-10 | 8 | GM | bag | 8 | | 62 | 17 | 21 | | | |
| TP-11 | 5 | GP-GM | bag | 5 | | 63 | 27 | 10 | | | |
| TP-13 | 2 | SC-CL | bag | 16 | | | | 56 | 22 | 14 | 8 |
| | 10 | CL | bag | 28 | | | | 97 | 32 | 18 | 14 |

6.0 SITE PREPARATION AND GRADING

6.1 General

All deleterious materials, loose or disturbed soil should be stripped from the site prior to commencement of construction activities. This includes topsoil, vegetation, etc. Site stripping shall extend out at least 3 feet beyond new structures and 2 feet beyond flatwork. Based upon the conditions observed in the test pits there is topsoil with organics on the surface of the site which we estimated to be up to about one foot in thickness.

Non-engineered fills, if/where encountered must be removed below the new home structures down to suitable natural soils.

Prior to constructing roadways it is recommended that the exposed subgrade be scarified 8 inches, moisture prepared to near optimum and recompacted to the requirements outlined in section 7.4 Fill Placement and

Compaction. The site should be examined by a CMT geotechnical engineer/geologist to assess that any unsuitable soil or deleterious materials have been removed and that suitable natural soils have been exposed, prior to placing site grading/structural fills, footings, slabs, and pavements.

6.2 Temporary Excavations

Excavations deeper than about 10 feet are not anticipated at the site.

The natural soils encountered at this site predominantly consisted of silty and fine sandy CLAY(CL) and SAND with varying fines (SC/SM) to the full depth penetrated, about 15 feet. In clayey (cohesive) soils, temporary construction excavations not exceeding 4 feet in depth may be constructed with near-vertical side slopes. Temporary excavations up to 10 feet deep, above or below groundwater, may be constructed with side slopes no steeper than one-half horizontal to one vertical (0.5H:1V).

For sandy/gravelly (cohesionless) soils, temporary construction excavations not exceeding 4 feet in depth and above the groundwater should be no steeper than one-half horizontal to one vertical (0.5H:1V). For excavations up to 10 feet and above groundwater, side slopes should be no steeper than one horizontal to one vertical (1H:1V). Excavations encountering saturated cohesionless soils will be very difficult to maintain, and will require very flat side slopes and/or shoring, bracing and dewatering.

All excavations must be inspected periodically by qualified personnel. If any signs of instability or excessive sloughing are noted, immediate remedial action must be initiated. All excavations should be made following OSHA safety guidelines.

6.3 Fill Material

Structural fill is defined as all fill which will ultimately be subjected to structural loadings, such as imposed by footings, floor slabs, pavements, etc. All structural fill must be free of sod, rubbish, topsoil, frozen soil, and other deleterious materials.

Following are our recommendations for the various fill types we anticipate will be used at this site:

| Fill Material Type | Description/Recommended Specification |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Structural Fill/Replacement Fill | Placed below structures, flatwork and pavement. Imported structural fill should consist of well-graded sand/gravel mixture, with maximum particle size of 4 inches, a minimum 70% passing 3/4-inch sieve, between 15 and 30% passing the No. 200 sieve, and a maximum Plasticity Index of 10. |
| Site Grading Fill | Placed over larger areas to raise the site grade. Sandy to gravelly soil, with a maximum particle size of 6 inches, a minimum 70% passing 3/4-inch sieve, and a maximum 40% passing No. 200 sieve. |
| Non-Structural Fill | Placed below non-structural areas, such as landscaping. On-site soils or imported soils, with a maximum particle size of 8 inches, including silt/clay soils not containing excessive amounts of degradable/organic material. |
| Stabilization Fill | Placed to stabilize soft areas prior to placing structural fill and/or site grading fill. Coarse angular gravels and cobbles 1 inch to 8 inches in size. May also use 1.5- to 2.0-inch gravel placed on stabilization fabric, such as Mirafi RS280i, or equivalent (see Section 7.6). |

On-site sand and gravel soils appear suitable for use as structural site grading fill, if processed to meet the requirements given above. On-site fine-grained soils may be utilized as non-engineered fill. All fill material should be approved by a CMT geotechnical engineer prior to placement.

6.4 Fill Placement and Compaction

The various types of compaction equipment available have their limitations as to the maximum lift thickness that can be compacted. For example, hand operated equipment is limited to lifts of about 4 inches and most “trench compactors” have a maximum, consistent compaction depth of about 6 inches. Large rollers, depending on soil and moisture conditions, can achieve compaction at 8 to 12 inches. The full thickness of each lift should be compacted to at least the following percentages of the maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D-1557 (or AASHTO² T-180) in accordance with the following recommendations:

² American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials

| Location | Total Fill Thickness (feet) | Minimum Percentage of Maximum Dry Density |
|---|-----------------------------|---|
| Beneath an area extending at least 3 feet beyond the perimeter of structures and 2 feet beyond flatwork and pavement (applies to structural fill and site grading fill) | 0 to 5 5 to 10 | 95 97 |
| Site grading fill outside area defined above | 0 to 5 5 to 10 | 92 95 |
| Utility trenches within structural areas | -- | 96 |
| Subgrade preparation below roadways | 8 inches | 92 |
| Roadbase and subbase | - | 96 |
| Non-structural fill | 0 to 5 5 to 10 | 90 92 |

Structural fills greater than 10 feet thick are not anticipated at the site. Onsite soils may be utilized as structural site grading fill if free of deleterious materials and if the appropriate compaction can be achieved. For best compaction results, we recommend that the moisture content for structural fill/backfill be within 2% of optimum. Field density tests should be performed on each lift as necessary to verify that proper compaction is being achieved. Please note that fine grained soils (silts/clays) are inherently difficult to adequately moisture prepare and compact to the requirements for structural fill and near impossible to do so during wet and cold periods of the year.

6.5 Utility Trenches

For the bedding zone around the utility, we recommend utilizing sand bedding fill material that meets current APWA³ requirements.

All utility trench backfill material below structurally loaded facilities (foundations, floor slabs, flatwork, parking lots/drive areas, etc.) should be placed at the same density requirements established for structural fill in the previous section.

Most utility companies and City-County governments are now requiring that Type A-1a or A-1b (AASHTO Designation – basically granular soils with limited fines) soils be used as backfill over utilities. Processed natural on-site soil may meet these requirements.

Where the utility does not underlie structurally loaded facilities and public rights of way, on-site soils may be utilized as trench backfill above the bedding layer, provided they are properly moisture conditioned and compacted to the minimum requirements stated above in **Section 6.4**.

³ American Public Works Association

6.6 Soil Stabilization

To stabilize soft subgrade conditions (if encountered), a mixture of coarse, clean, angular gravels and cobbles and/or 1.5- to 2.0-inch clean gravel should be utilized, as indicated above in **Section 7.3**. Often the amount of gravelly material can be reduced with the use of a geotextile fabric such as Mirafi RS280i or equivalent. Its use will also help avoid mixing of the subgrade soils with the gravelly material. After excavating the soft/disturbed soils, the fabric should be spread across the bottom of the excavation and up the sides a minimum of 18 inches. Otherwise, it should be placed in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendation, including proper overlaps. The gravel material can then be placed over the fabric in compacted lifts as described above.

7.0 FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations have been developed on the basis of the previously described Project characteristics, including the maximum loads discussed in **Section 1.3**, the subsurface conditions observed in the field and the laboratory test data, and standard geotechnical engineering practice.

7.1 Foundation Recommendations

Based on our geotechnical engineering analyses, the proposed residential structure may be supported upon conventional spread and/or continuous wall foundations placed on suitable natural soils or structural fill extending to suitable natural soils. The natural fine-grained soils will govern foundation design. Footings may be designed using a net bearing pressure of 1,500 psf or 2,000 psf if established over a minimum of 18 inches of natural granular soil (sand and gravels) or 18 inches of granular structural fill. The term "net bearing pressure" refers to the pressure imposed by the portion of the structure located above lowest adjacent final grade, thus the weight of the footing and backfill to lowest adjacent final grade need not be considered. The allowable bearing pressure may be increased by 1/2 for temporary loads such as wind and seismic forces.

We also recommend the following:

1. Exterior footings subject to frost should be placed at least 36 inches below final grade.
2. Interior footings not subject to frost should be placed at least 16 inches below grade.
3. Continuous footing widths should be maintained at a minimum of 18 inches.
4. Spot footings should be a minimum of 24 inches wide.

7.2 Installation

Under no circumstances shall foundations be placed on undocumented fill, topsoil with organics, sod, rubbish, construction debris, other deleterious materials, frozen soils, or within ponded water.

Deep, large roots may be encountered where trees and larger bushes are located or were previously located at the site; such large roots should be removed. If unsuitable soils are encountered, they must be completely

removed and replaced with properly compacted structural fill. Excavation bottoms should be examined by a qualified geotechnical engineer to confirm that suitable bearing materials soils have been exposed.

All structural fill should meet the requirements for such, and should be placed and compacted in accordance with **Section 7** above. The width of structural replacement fill below footings should be equal to the width of the footing plus 1 foot for each foot of fill thickness. For instance, if the footing width is 2 feet and the structural fill depth beneath the footing is 1.5 feet, the fill replacement width should be 3.5 feet, centered beneath the footing.

7.3 Estimated Settlement

Foundations designed and constructed in accordance with our recommendations could experience some settlement, but we anticipate that total settlements of footings founded as recommended above will not exceed 1 inch. We expect approximately 50% of the total settlement to initially take place during construction.

7.4 Lateral Resistance

Lateral loads imposed upon foundations due to wind or seismic forces may be resisted by the development of passive earth pressures and friction between the base of the footings and the supporting soils. In determining frictional resistance, a coefficient of 0.30 for natural silt/clay soils or 0.40 for natural granular soils or structural fill, may be utilized for design. Passive resistance provided by properly placed and compacted structural fill above the water table may be considered equivalent to a fluid with a density of 250 pcf. A combination of passive earth resistance and friction may be utilized if the friction component of the total is divided by 1.5.

8.0 LATERAL EARTH PRESSURES

We project that basement walls up to 8 feet tall might be constructed at this site. Parameters, as presented within this section, are for backfills which will consist of drained soil placed and compacted in accordance with the recommendations presented herein.

The lateral pressures imposed upon subgrade facilities will, therefore, be basically dependent upon the relative rigidity and movement of the backfilled structure. For active walls, such as retaining walls which can move outward (away from the backfill), backfill may be considered equivalent to a fluid with a density of 40 pounds per cubic foot in computing lateral pressures. For more rigid walls (moderately yielding), backfill may be considered equivalent to a fluid with a density of 50 pounds per cubic foot. For very rigid non-yielding walls, granular backfill should be considered equivalent to a fluid with a density of at least 60 pounds per cubic foot. The above values assume that the surface of the soils slope behind the wall is horizontal and that the fill within 3 feet of the wall will be compacted with hand-operated compacting equipment.

For seismic loading of retaining/below-grade walls, the following uniform lateral pressures, in pounds per square foot (psf), should be added based on wall depth and wall case.

| Uniform Lateral Pressures | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Wall Height (Feet) | Active Pressure Case (psf) | Moderately Yielding Case (psf) | At Rest/Non-Yielding Case (psf) |
| 4 | 33 | 69 | 105 |
| 6 | 49 | 103 | 158 |
| 8 | 66 | 138 | 210 |

9.0 FLOOR SLABS

Floor slabs may be established upon suitable, undisturbed, natural soils or structural fill extending to suitable natural soils. Under no circumstances shall floor slabs be established directly on any topsoil, non-engineered fills, landslide material, loose or disturbed soils, sod, rubbish, construction debris, other deleterious materials, frozen soils, or within ponded water.

In order to facilitate curing of the concrete, we recommend that floor slabs be directly underlain by at least 4 inches of “free-draining” fill, such as “pea” gravel or 3/4-inch to 1-inch minus, clean, gap-graded gravel or granular structural fill as outlined in this report. To help control normal shrinkage and stress cracking, the floor slabs may include the following features:

1. Adequate reinforcement for the anticipated floor loads with the reinforcement continuous through interior floor joints;
2. Frequent crack control joints; and
3. Non-rigid attachment of the slabs to foundation walls and bearing slabs.

10.0 DRAINAGE RECOMMENDATIONS

10.1 Surface Drainage

It is important to the long-term performance of foundations and floor slabs that water not be allowed to collect near the foundation walls and infiltrate into the underlying soils. We recommend the following:

1. All areas around the structure should be sloped to provide drainage away from the foundations. We recommend a minimum slope of 4 inches in the first 10 feet away from the structure. This slope should be maintained throughout the lifetime of the structure.
2. All roof drainage should be collected in rain gutters with downspouts designed to discharge at least 10 feet from the foundation walls or well beyond the backfill limits, whichever is greater.

3. Adequate compaction of the foundation backfill should be provided. We suggest a minimum of 90% of the maximum laboratory density as determined by ASTM D-1557. Water consolidation methods should not be used under any circumstances.
4. Landscape sprinklers should be aimed away from the foundation walls. The sprinkling systems should be designed with proper drainage and be well-maintained. Over watering should be avoided.
5. Other precautions that may become evident during construction.

11.0 PAVEMENTS

It is our understanding that the proposed roadway(s) will be constructed of asphalt concrete. Our pavement design is based upon an estimated California Bearing Ratio (CBR) of 4 percent for the natural clay soils and a maximum average daily equivalent single axle load over a 20-year period (ESAL) of 6. The sections provided also assume that proper on-going maintenance be completed over the pavement lifetime. All pavement areas must be prepared as discussed above in **Section 6.1**.

Given the projected traffic as discussed above in **Section 1.3**, the following pavement sections are recommended for approximately 6 ESAL's (18-kip equivalent single-axle loads) per day:

| MATERIAL | PAVEMENT SECTION THICKNESS (inches) | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Asphalt | 3 | 3 |
| Road-Base | 10 | 6 |
| Subbase | 0 | 5 |
| Total Thickness | 13 | 14 |

Untreated base course (UTBC) should conform to city specifications, or to 1-inch-minus UDOT specifications for A-1-a/NP, and have a minimum California Bearing Ratio (CBR) value of 70%. Subbase shall consist of a granular, low plastic soil meeting a minimum CBR of 30 percent. Roadbase and subbase material should be compacted as recommended above in **Section 6.4**. Asphalt material generally should conform to APWA requirements, having a ½-inch maximum aggregate size, a 75-gradation Superpave mix containing no more than 15% of recycled asphalt (RAP) and a PG58-28 binder.

For rigid pavement driveways we recommend 5 inches of Portland cement concrete (PCC) over 5 inches of roadbase. PCC pavement and other site concrete should typically have a minimum 28-day unconfined compressive strength of 4,000 pounds per square inch and contain 6 percent ±1 percent air-entrainment.

12.0 QUALITY CONTROL

We recommend that CMT be retained to as part of a comprehensive quality control testing and observation program. With CMT on-site we can help facilitate implementation of our recommendations and address, in a timely manner, any subsurface conditions encountered which vary from those described in this report. Without such a program CMT cannot be responsible for application of our recommendations to subsurface conditions which may vary from those described herein. This program may include, but not necessarily be limited to, the following:

12.1 Field Observations

Observations should be completed during all phases of construction such as site preparation, foundation excavation, structural fill placement and concrete placement.

12.2 Fill Compaction

Compaction testing by CMT is required for all structural supporting fill materials. Maximum Dry Density (Modified Proctor, ASTM D-1557) tests should be requested by the contractor immediately after delivery of any fill materials. The maximum density information should then be used for field density tests on each lift as necessary to ensure that the required compaction is being achieved.

12.3 Excavations

All excavation procedures and processes should be observed by a geotechnical engineer from CMT or their representative. In addition, for the recommendations in this report to be valid, all backfill and structural fill placed in trenches and all pavements should be density tested by CMT. We recommend that freshly mixed concrete be tested by CMT in accordance with ASTM designations.

13.0 LIMITATIONS

The recommendations provided herein were developed by evaluating the information obtained from the subsurface explorations and soils encountered therein. The exploration logs reflect the subsurface conditions only at the specific location at the particular time designated on the logs. Soil and ground water conditions may differ from conditions encountered at the actual exploration locations. The nature and extent of any variation in the explorations may not become evident until during the course of construction. If variations do appear, it may become necessary to re-evaluate the recommendations of this report after we have observed the variation.

Our professional services have been performed, our findings obtained, and our recommendations prepared in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering principles and practices. This warranty is in lieu of all other warranties, either expressed or implied.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this Project. If we can be of further assistance or if you have any questions regarding the Project, please do not hesitate to contact us at (801) 870-6730. To schedule materials testing, please call (801) 381-5141.

14.0 REFERENCES

- Anderson, R.E., 1989, Tectonic evolution of the intermontane system--Basin and Range, Colorado Plateau, and High Lava Plains, in Pakiser, L.C., and Mooney, W.D., editors, Geophysical framework of the continental United States: Geological Society of America Memoir 172, p. 163-176.
- Arabasz, W.J., Pechmann, J.C., and Brown, E.D., 1992, Observational seismology and evaluation of earthquake hazards and risk in the Wasatch Front area, Utah, in Gori, P.L. and Hays, W.W., editors, Assessment of Regional Earthquake Hazards and Risk along the Wasatch Front, Utah: Washington, D.C, U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1500-D, Government Printing Office, p. D1-D36.
- Black, B.D., Hecker, Suzanne, Hylland, M.D., Christenson, G.E., and McDonald, G.N., 2003, Quaternary fault and fold database and map of Utah: Utah Geological Survey Map 193DM, CD-ROM.
- Boore, D.M., Joyner, W.B., and Fumal, T.E., 1993, Estimation of Response Spectra and Peak Acceleration from Western North America Earthquakes--An interim report: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 93-509.
- Bowman, S.D., and Lund, W.R., 2016, Guidelines for conducting engineering-geology investigations and preparing engineering-geology reports in Utah, in Bowman, S.D., and Lund, W.R., editors, Guidelines for investigating geologic hazards and preparing engineering-geology reports, with a suggested approach to geologic-hazard ordinances in Utah: Utah Geological Survey Circular 122, p. 15-30.
- Coogan, J.C., and King, J.K., 2016, Interim Geologic Map of the Ogden 30' x 60' Quadrangle, Box Elder, Cache, Davis, Morgan, Rich, and Summit Counties, Utah, and Uinta County, Wyoming: Utah Geological Survey Open-File Report 653DM, scale 1:100,000, 141 p. with appendices.
- Cruden, D.M. and Varnes, D.J., 1996, Landslide Types and Processes, in Turner, A.K. and Shuster, R.L., editors, Landslides--Investigation and Mitigation: Washington D.C., Transportation Research Board Special Report No. 247, 36-75.
- Gilbert, G.K., 1928, Studies of Basin and Range Structure: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 153, 89 p.
- Gwynn, J.W. (Editor), 1980, Great Salt Lake--A scientific, historical, and economic overview: Utah Geological Survey Bulletin 166, 400 p.
- Jarrett, R.D., and Malde, H.E., 1987, Paleodischarge of the late Pleistocene Bonneville flood, Snake River, Idaho, computed from new evidence: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 99, p. 127-134.

- Keaton, J.R., 1986, Potential consequences of tectonic deformation along the Wasatch fault: Utah State University, Final Technical Report to the U.S. Geological Survey for the National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program, Grant 14-08-0001-G0074, 23 p.
- Lund, W.R. (Editor), 1990. Engineering geology of the Salt Lake City metropolitan area, Utah: Utah Geological and Mineral Survey Bulletin 126, 66 p.
- McCalpin, J.P., 1996, Paleoseismology: San Diego, California, Academic Press Inc., Volume 62 of the International Geophysical Series, 588 p.
- Miller, D.M., 1990, Mesozoic and Cenozoic tectonic evolution of the northeastern Great Basin, in Shaddrick, D.R., Kizis, J.R., and Hunsaker, E.L. III, editors, Geology and Ore Deposits of the Northeastern Great Basin: Geological Society of Nevada Field Trip No. 5, p. 43-73.
- O'Connor, J.E., 1993, Hydrology, hydraulics, and geomorphology of the Bonneville flood: Geological Society of America Special Paper 274, 83 p.
- Oviatt, C.G., 2015, Chronology of Lake Bonneville, 30,000 to 10,000 yr B.P.: Quaternary Science Reviews, v. 110 (2015), p. 166-171.
- Oviatt, C.G., Currey, D.R., and Sack, Dorothy, 1992, Radiocarbon chronology of Lake Bonneville, Eastern Great Basin, USA: Paleogeography, Paleoclimatology, Paleoecology, v. 99, p. 225-241.
- Petersen, M.D., Frankel, A.D., Harmsen, S.C., Mueller, S.C., Haller, K.M., Wheeler, R.L., Wesson, R.L., Zeng, Y., Boyd, O.S., Perkins, D.M., Luco, N., Field, E.H., Wills, C.J., and Rukstales, K.S., 2008, Documentation for the 2008 Update of the United States National Seismic Hazard Maps: USGS Open-File Report 2008-1128, 128p.
- Sbar, M.L., Barazangi, M., Dorman, J., Scholz, C.H., and Smith, R.B., 1972, Tectonics of the Intermountain Seismic Belt, western United States--Microearthquake seismicity and composite fault plane solutions: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 83, p. 13-28.
- Smith, R.B., and Arabasz, W.J., 1991, Seismicity of the Intermountain Seismic Belt, in Slemmons, D.B., Engdahl, E.R., Zoback, M.D., and Blackwell, D.D., editors, Neotectonics of North America: Geological Society of America, Decade of North American Geology Map v. 1, p. 185-228.
- Smith, R.B. and Sbar, M.L., 1974, Contemporary tectonics and seismicity of the western United States with emphasis on the Intermountain Seismic Belt: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 85, p. 1205-1218.
- Stewart, J.H., 1978, Basin-range structure in western North America, a review, in Smith, R.B., and Eaton, G.P., editors, Cenozoic tectonics and regional geophysics of the western Cordillera: Geological Society of America Memoir 152, p. 341-367.
- _____, 1980, Geology of Nevada: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Special Publication 4.

Stokes, W.L., 1977, Physiographic subdivisions of Utah: Utah Geological and Mineral Survey Map 43, scale 1:2,400,000.

_____, 1986, Geology of Utah: Salt Lake City, University of Utah Museum of Natural History and Utah Geological and Mineral Survey, 280 p.

Sullivan, J.T., Nelson, A.R., LaForge, R.C., Wood, C.K., and Hansen, R.A., 1986, Regional seismotectonic study for the back valleys of the Wasatch Mountains in northeastern Utah: Denver, Colorado, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Seismotectonic Section, Division of Geology, Engineering and Research Center, unpublished report, 317 p.


Varnes, D. J., 1978, Slope movement types and processes, in Schuster, R.L and Krizek, R.J, editors, Landslides Analysis and control: Washington D.C., Transportation Research Board Special Report 176, p. 11-33.

Zoback, M.L., 1989. State of stress and modern deformation of the northern Basin and Range province: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 94, p. 7105-7128.

Zoback, M.L. and Zoback, M.D., 1989. Tectonic stress field of the conterminous United States: Boulder, Colorado, Geological Society of America Memoir, v. 172, p. 523-539.

APPENDIX

**SUPPORTING
DOCUMENTATION**



Base:
 USGS 7.5-minute topographic quadrangles, Utah;
 HUNTSVILLE, SNOW BASIN, BROWNS HOLE and DURST
 MOUNTAIN; 1998.

0 2,000 ft 4,000 ft



1:24,000 (1 inch equals 2,000 feet)

Proposed Hansen Property Development
 About 1000 North 7300 East, Huntsville, Utah

CMT ENGINEERING
 LABORATORIES

Vicinity Map

Date: 15-Mar-2021
 Job #: 900125

Figure
1



Base:
 Interim Geologic Map of the Ogden 30' x 60'
 Quadrangle (Coogan and King, 2016).

0 2,000 ft 4,000 ft



1:24,000 (1 inch equals 2,000 feet)

Proposed Hansen Property Development
 About 1000 North 7300 East, Huntsville, Utah


CMT ENGINEERING
 LABORATORIES

Geologic Map


| | |
|-------|-------------|
| Date: | 15-Mar-2021 |
| Job # | 900125 |

Figure

2



Base: 2016 LIDAR imagery available from Utah AGRC, 0.5 m resolution; slope steepness <15% shaded in green, 15-25% in yellow, and >25% in red.




Proposed Hansen Property Development
 About 1000 North 7300 East, Huntsville, Utah

CMT ENGINEERING
 LABORATORIES


LIDAR Analysis

Date: 16-Mar-2021
 Job #: 900125

Figure
3



Base: 2012 high-resolution orthophoto available from Utah AGRC, 12.5 cm resolution; site plan from Reeve & Associates, February 22, 2021.



Proposed Hansen Property Development
About 1000 North 7300 East, Huntsville, Utah


CMT ENGINEERING
LABORATORIES

Site Evaluation

Date: 16-Mar-2021
Job #: 900125

Figure
4

TEST PIT 1




Logged by Bill D. Black, P.G. on February 23, 2021

UNIT DESCRIPTIONS

Unit 1. *Post-Lake Bonneville alluvium* - reddish- to dark brown, poorly bedded to massive, moderate density, sandy gravel (GW) with round to subround cobbles; clasts with stage I-II carbonate; modern A-horizon soil formed in unit (1A).

Scale 1 inch equals 5 feet (1:60) with no vertical exaggeration.

TEST PIT 2




Logged by Bill D. Black, P.G. on February 23, 2021

UNIT DESCRIPTIONS

Unit 1. *Post-Lake Bonneville alluvium* - reddish- to dark brown, poorly bedded to massive, moderate density, sandy gravel to gravelly sand (GW/SW) with round to subround cobbles; clasts with stage II carbonate; disturbed modern A-horizon soil formed in unit (1A), overlain by fill.

Scale 1 inch equals 5 feet (1:60) with no vertical exaggeration.

TEST PIT 3



Logged by Bill D. Black, P.G. on February 23, 2021


UNIT DESCRIPTIONS

Unit 1. *Lacustrine sand related by Lake Bonneville* - sequence of low to moderate density, poorly to well bedded, iron-oxide stained, sand to gravel comprised of a lower (1a) reddish-brown, poorly to well-graded fine to medium sand (SP/SW) with trace silt and gravel; and an upper (1b) reddish- to pale-brown, medium to coarse sand (SW) with gravel.

Unit 2. *Post-Lake Bonneville stream alluvium* - pale- to dark brown, poorly bedded, moderate density, sandy gravel to gravelly sand (GW/SW) with round to subround cobbles with stage II carbonate; disturbed modern A-horizon soil formed in unit (2A), overlain by fill.

Scale 1 inch equals 5 feet (1:60) with no vertical exaggeration.

TEST PIT 4



UNIT DESCRIPTIONS

Unit 1. *Post-Lake Bonneville alluvium* - sequence of pale-orange-brown, reddish-brown, and grayish-brown, poorly bedded, loose, sand to gravel comprised of a lower (1a) sandy gravel to gravelly sand (GW/SW) with round to subround cobbles and a topset carbonate-enriched layer; a middle (1b) sand (SW) with trace gravel; and an upper (1c) sandy gravel (GW) with trace subround cobbles; disturbed modern A-horizon soil formed in unit 1c (1cA), overlain by fill with barbed wire.

Scale 1 inch equals 5 feet (1:60) with no vertical exaggeration.

Hansen Property Development

Test Pit Log

TP-5

About 1000 North 7300 East, Huntsville, Utah

Total Depth: 15'
Water Depth: (see Remarks)

Date: 2/22/21
Job #: 900125

| Depth (ft) | GRAPHIC LOG | Soil Description | Sample Type | Sample # | Moisture (%) | Dry Density(pcf) | Gradation | | | Atterberg | | |
|------------|-------------|---|-------------------|----------|--------------|------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------|----|----|
| | | | | | | | Gravel % | Sand % | Fines % | LL | PL | PI |
| 0 | | Dark Brown Silty CLAY (CL) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | moist, stiff (estimated) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | Red Brown Silty SAND (SM) with clay nodules | | 1 | 12 | | | | 33 | | | NP |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | grades with gravel | loose (estimated) | 2 | 9 | | 9 | 72 | 19 | | | |
| 10 | | | | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | grades with cobbles | | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | END AT 15' | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Remarks: [Groundwater not encountered during excavation.](#)

Coordinates: °, °
Surface Elev. (approx): Not Given

Equipment: [Rubber Tire Backhoe](#)
Excavated By: [Rockwell Construction](#)
Logged By: [Christine Underdown](#)

Figure:

6

Hansen Property Development

About 1000 North 7300 East, Huntsville, Utah

Test Pit Log

TP-6

Total Depth: 15'

Date: 2/22/21

Water Depth: (see Remarks)

Job #: 900125

| Depth (ft) | GRAPHIC LOG | Soil Description | Sample Type | Sample # | Moisture (%) | Dry Density(pcf) | Gradation | | | Atterberg | | |
|------------|-------------|---|-------------|----------|--------------|------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------|----|----|
| | | | | | | | Gravel % | Sand % | Fines % | LL | PL | PI |
| 0 | | Topsoil; dark brown clay with organics | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | Red Brown Silty SAND (SM) with clay nodules moist, stiff (estimated) | ▲ | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | grades interbedded with blue clay 1-2" thick | ▲ | 6 | 10 | | | 32 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | ▲ | 7 | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | ▲ | 8 | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | END AT 15' | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Remarks: [Groundwater not encountered during excavation.](#)

Coordinates: °, °
Surface Elev. (approx): Not Given

Equipment: [Rubber Tire Backhoe](#)
Excavated By: [Rockwell Construction](#)
Logged By: [Christine Underdown](#)

Figure:

7

Hansen Property Development

About 1000 North 7300 East, Huntsville, Utah

Test Pit Log

TP-7

Total Depth: 15'

Date: 2/22/21

Water Depth: (see Remarks)

Job #: 900125

| Depth (ft) | GRAPHIC LOG | Soil Description | Sample Type | Sample # | Moisture (%) | Dry Density(pcf) | Gradation | | | Atterberg | | | |
|------------|-------------|---|-------------|----------|--------------|------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------|----|----|--|
| | | | | | | | Gravel % | Sand % | Fines % | LL | PL | PI | |
| 0 | | Topsoil | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | Brown Silty SAND (SM) with clay nodules | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | 9 | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | 11 | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | END AT 15' | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Remarks: [Groundwater not encountered during excavation.](#)

Coordinates: °, °
 Surface Elev. (approx): Not Given

Equipment: [Rubber Tire Backhoe](#)
 Excavated By: [Rockwell Construction](#)
 Logged By: [Christine Underdown](#)

Figure:

8

Hansen Property Development

About 1000 North 7300 East, Huntsville, Utah

Test Pit Log

TP-8

Total Depth: 15'

Date: 2/22/21

Water Depth: (see Remarks)

Job #: 900125

| Depth (ft) | GRAPHIC LOG | Soil Description | Sample Type | Sample # | Moisture (%) | Dry Density(pcf) | Gradation | | | Atterberg | | | |
|------------|-------------|--|-------------|----------|--------------|------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------|----|----|--|
| | | | | | | | Gravel % | Sand % | Fines % | LL | PL | PI | |
| 0 | + | Topsoil | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | / | Blue CLAY (CL) with minor red sand interbedded | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | moist, stiff (estimated) | | 12 | 22 | | | | 94 | 35 | 20 | 15 | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | o | Red Silty SAND (SM) with interbedded blue and red clay, black clay nodules | | 13 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | moist, dense (estimated) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | grades with gravel and cobble | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | loose (estimated) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | END AT 15' | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Remarks: Groundwater not encountered during excavation.

Coordinates: °, °

Surface Elev. (approx): Not Given

Equipment: Rubber Tire Backhoe

Excavated By: Rockwell Construction

Logged By: Christine Underdown

Figure:

9

Hansen Property Development

About 1000 North 7300 East, Huntsville, Utah

Test Pit Log




TP-9

Total Depth: 14'

Date: 2/22/21

Water Depth: (see Remarks)

Job #: 900125

| Depth (ft) | GRAPHIC LOG | Soil Description | Sample Type | Sample # | Moisture (%) | Dry Density(pcf) | Gradation | | | Atterberg | | |
|------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------|----------|--------------|------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------|----|----|
| | | | | | | | Gravel % | Sand % | Fines % | LL | PL | PI |
| 0 |  | Topsoil | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 |  | Red Brown Sandy CLAY (CL) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | ▲ 14 | 18 | | | 85 | 24 | 18 | 6 | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | ▲ 15 | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | ▲ 16 | | | | | | | | |
| 12 |  | Red Brown SAND (SP) with gravel | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | END AT 14' | | ▲ 17 | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Remarks: [Groundwater not encountered during excavation.](#)

Coordinates: °, °
Surface Elev. (approx): Not Given

Equipment: [Rubber Tire Backhoe](#)
Excavated By: [Rockwell Construction](#)
Logged By: [Christine Underdown](#)

Figure:

10

Hansen Property Development

About 1000 North 7300 East, Huntsville, Utah

Test Pit Log

TP-10

Total Depth: 14.5'

Date: 2/22/21

Water Depth: (see Remarks)

Job #: 900125

| Depth (ft) | GRAPHIC LOG | Soil Description | Sample Type | Sample # | Moisture (%) | Dry Density(pcf) | Gradation | | | Atterberg | | | |
|------------|-------------|--|-------------|----------|--------------|------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------|----|----|--|
| | | | | | | | Gravel % | Sand % | Fines % | LL | PL | PI | |
| 0 | | Topsoil | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | Brown Silty GRAVEL (GP) with cobbles and sand | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | 18 | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | grades increasing cobble size | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | Red Brown SAND (SP) with gravel and clay nodules | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | 20 | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | END AT 14.5' | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Remarks: [Groundwater not encountered during excavation.](#)

Coordinates: °, °
Surface Elev. (approx): Not Given

Equipment: [Rubber Tire Backhoe](#)
Excavated By: [Rockwell Construction](#)
Logged By: [Christine Underdown](#)

Figure:

11

Hansen Property Development

About 1000 North 7300 East, Huntsville, Utah

Test Pit Log

TP-11

Total Depth: 14'

Date: 2/22/21

Water Depth: (see Remarks)

Job #: 900125

| Depth (ft) | GRAPHIC LOG | Soil Description | Sample Type | Sample # | Moisture (%) | Dry Density(pcf) | Gradation | | | Atterberg | | |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------------------|----------|--------------|------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------|----|----|
| | | | | | | | Gravel % | Sand % | Fines % | LL | PL | PI |
| 0 | | Topsoil; dark brown clay with organics | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | Brown GRAVEL (GP-GM) with clay and sand | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | grades red brown with cobbles | moist, dense (estimated) | | 21 | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | 22 | 5 | | 63 | 27 | 10 | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | 23 | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | Red Brown CLAY (CL) with sand and gravel | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | END AT 14' | | 24 | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Remarks: [Groundwater not encountered during excavation.](#)

Coordinates: °, °
Surface Elev. (approx): Not Given

Equipment: [Rubber Tire Backhoe](#)
Excavated By: [Rockwell Construction](#)
Logged By: [Christine Underdown](#)

Figure:

12

Hansen Property Development

Test Pit Log

TP-12




About 1000 North 7300 East, Huntsville, Utah

Total Depth: 14.5'

Date: 2/22/21

Water Depth: (see Remarks)

Job #: 900125

| Depth (ft) | GRAPHIC LOG | Soil Description | Sample Type | Sample # | Moisture (%) | Dry Density(pcf) | Gradation | | | Atterberg | | |
|------------|---|--|-------------|----------|--------------|------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------|----|----|
| | | | | | | | Gravel % | Sand % | Fines % | LL | PL | PI |
| 0 |  | Topsoil | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 |  | Red Silty SAND (SM) with interbedded blue clay moist, stiff (estimated) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | ▲ | 25 | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | ▲ | 26 | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | grades tan and red silty sand with gravel loose (estimated) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | grades with cobbles | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | ▲ | 27 | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 |  | Red Brown CLAY (CL) moist, stiff (estimated) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14.5 | | END AT 14.5' | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Remarks: [Groundwater not encountered during excavation.](#)

Coordinates: °, °
Surface Elev. (approx): Not Given

Equipment: [Rubber Tire Backhoe](#)
Excavated By: [Rockwell Construction](#)
Logged By: [Christine Underdown](#)

Figure:

13

Hansen Property Development

Test Pit Log

TP-13





About 1000 North 7300 East, Huntsville, Utah

Total Depth: 14.5'

Date: 2/22/21

Water Depth: 12'

Job #: 900125

| Depth (ft) | GRAPHIC LOG | Soil Description | Sample Type | Sample # | Moisture (%) | Dry Density(pcf) | Gradation | | | Atterberg | | |
|------------|--|--|-------------|----------|--------------|------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------|----|----|
| | | | | | | | Gravel % | Sand % | Fines % | LL | PL | PI |
| 0 | | Black Marsh Deposit | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 |  | Dark Brown Sandy CLAY (CL) with gravel moist, stiff (estimated) | | 29 | 18 | | | | 56 | 22 | 14 | 8 |
| 4 |  | Red Brown SAND (SP) with gravel and cobbles very moist, loose (estimated) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | 30 | | | | | | | | |
| 10 |  | Red Brown Sandy CLAY (CL) moist, stiff (estimated) | | 31 | 28 | | | | 97 | 32 | 18 | 14 |
| 12 |  | grades with gravel wet | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | END AT 14.5' | | 32 | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Remarks: [Groundwater encountered during excavation at depth of 12 feet.](#)

Coordinates: °, °
Surface Elev. (approx): Not Given

Equipment: [Rubber Tire Backhoe](#)
Excavated By: [Rockwell Construction](#)
Logged By: [Christine Underdown](#)

Figure:

14

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|----------|--------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|----|----|----|
| ① | ② | ③ Soil Description | ④ | ⑤ | ⑥ | ⑦ | ⑧ | ⑨ | | | | |
| Depth (ft) | GRAPHIC LOG | | Sample Type | Sample # | Moisture (%) | Dry Density(pcf) | Gradation | Atterberg | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Gravel % | Sand % | Fines % | LL | PL | PI |

COLUMN DESCRIPTIONS

Depth (ft.): Depth (feet) below the ground surface (including groundwater depth - see water symbol below).

Graphic Log: Graphic depicting type of soil encountered (see below).

Soil Description: Description of soils encountered, including Unified Soil Classification Symbol (see below).

Sample Type: Type of soil sample collected at depth interval shown; sampler symbols are explained below-right.

Sample #: Consecutive numbering of soil samples collected during field exploration.

Moisture (%): Water content of soil sample measured in laboratory (percentage of dry weight of sample).

Dry Density (pcf): The dry density of a soil measured in laboratory (pounds per cubic foot).

Gradation: Percentages of Gravel, Sand and Fines (Silt/Clay), obtained from lab test results of soil passing the No. 4 and No. 200 sieves.

Atterberg: Individual descriptions of Atterberg Tests are as follows:

LL = Liquid Limit (%): Water content at which a soil changes from plastic to liquid behavior.

PL = Plastic Limit (%): Water content at which a soil changes from liquid to plastic behavior.

PI = Plasticity Index (%): Range of water content at which a soil exhibits plastic properties (= Liquid Limit - Plastic Limit).

| STRATIFICATION | | MODIFIERS | MOISTURE CONTENT |
|----------------|----------------------|-------------|---|
| Description | Thickness | Trace | Dry: Absence of moisture, dusty, dry to the touch. Moist: Damp / moist to the touch, but no visible water. Wet: Visible water, usually soil below groundwater. |
| Seam | Up to ½ inch | <5% | |
| Lense | Up to 12 inches | Some | |
| Layer | Greater than 12 in. | 5-12% | |
| Occasional | 1 or less per foot | With | |
| Frequent | More than 1 per foot | > 12% | |

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (USCS)

| MAJOR DIVISIONS | | USCS SYMBOLS | TYPICAL DESCRIPTIONS | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| COARSE-GRAINED SOILS More than 50% of material is larger than No. 200 sieve size. | GRAVELS The coarse fraction retained on No. 4 sieve. | CLEAN GRAVELS (< 5% fines) | GW Well-Graded Gravels, Gravel-Sand Mixtures, Little or No Fines | |
| | | GRAVELS WITH FINES (≥ 12% fines) | GP Poorly-Graded Gravels, Gravel-Sand Mixtures, Little or No Fines | |
| | | SANDS The coarse fraction passing through No. 4 sieve. | CLEAN SANDS (< 5% fines) | GM Silty Gravels, Gravel-Sand-Silt Mixtures |
| | | | SANDS WITH FINES (≥ 12% fines) | GC Clayey Gravels, Gravel-Sand-Clay Mixtures |
| | FINE-GRAINED SOILS More than 50% of material is smaller than No. 200 sieve size. | SILTS AND CLAYS Liquid Limit less than 50% | SW Well-Graded Sands, Gravelly Sands, Little or No Fines | |
| | | | SP Poorly-Graded Sands, Gravelly Sands, Little or No Fines | |
| SM Silty Sands, Sand-Silt Mixtures | | | | |
| SILTS AND CLAYS Liquid Limit greater than 50% | | SC Clayey Sands, Sand-Clay Mixtures | | |
| | | ML Inorganic Silts and Sandy Silts with No Plasticity or Clayey Silts with Slight Plasticity | | |
| | | CL Inorganic Clays of Low to Medium Plasticity, Gravelly Clays, Sandy Clays, Silty Clays, Lean Clays | | |
| SILTS AND CLAYS Liquid Limit greater than 50% | OL Organic Silts and Organic Silty Clays of Low Plasticity | | | |
| | MH Inorganic Silts, Micaceous or Diatomaceous Fine Sand or Silty Soils | | | |
| | CH Inorganic Clays of High Plasticity, Fat Clays | | | |
| HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS | | OH Organic Silts and Organic Clays of Medium to High Plasticity | | |
| HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS | | PT Peat, Soils with High Organic Contents | | |

SAMPLER SYMBOLS

- Block Sample
- Bulk/Bag Sample
- Modified California Sampler
- 3.5" OD, 2.42" ID D&M Sampler
- Rock Core
- Standard Penetration Sampler
- Spoon Sampler
- Thin Wall (Shelby Tube)

WATER SYMBOL

- Encountered Water Level
 - Measured Water Level
- (see Remarks on Logs)

Note: Dual Symbols are used to indicate borderline soil classifications (i.e. GP-GM, SC-SM, etc.).

- The results of laboratory tests on the samples collected are shown on the logs at the respective sample depths.
- The subsurface conditions represented on the logs are for the locations specified. Caution should be exercised if interpolating between or extrapolating beyond the exploration locations.
- The information presented on each log is subject to the limitations, conclusions, and recommendations presented in this report.

Figure: