

SWPPP COMPLIANCE INSPECTION FORM



	·							1			
Project Name: T	he Summit at Ski Lake – Ph	ase 9 and 11	Address:	6750	6750 East Via Cortina, Huntsville, UT 84310				Date: 10/10/2012		
Owner:	Ray Bowden	Cont	ractor (Gen/Sub):	Thom Summers (S&S Excavating)				Start time:	: 3:17 PM		
Site Contact:	Thom Sum	mers	Phone:	801.745.2309			Stop time:	: 3:50 PM			
UPDES Permit #:	UTR358707	Expiration: 12	2/31/2012 Weat	ner: Sur	ny Cloudy	Raining	Snowing C	Other:			-
Date of last rain eve	ent:	Dura	tion:		Approx. Ra	ainfall (in):					
Inspected By (Print): Michael Tuttle Local Jurisdiction or County: Weber County											
Reason for Inspection: Scheduled Complaint/Tip Random Receiving Waters: Pineview Reservoir											
	SW sampling Inspector	Code (circle):	S) State	Гуре Code (circle):	1 - Municipal	2 - Industr	rial 3 - Sta	te		
ocac (on olo). Sy		ON, SEDIMENT		FEDING	RMD's INFO	PMATION			YES	NO	N/A
1. Is the SWPPP on							hort time)?		Х		
Is the SWPPP on site and accessible, or is the SWPPP location posted in an obvious place and reasonably accessible (in a short time)? Are erosion control, sediment control, and good housekeeping BMP's installed on the site as shown in the SWPPP?											
3. Has the SWPPP been updated to reflect the current site conditions (modifications dated & initialed on site map, new BMPs on site map, discontinued										х	
BMPs crossed off site map, new BMP details & spec's in SWPPP, SWPPP amendment Log, etc.)? 4. Are on-site inspections being performed and recorded by a qualified person on a weekly or biweekly basis, reporting items required by permit?											
(Inspector name &qualifications, weather, problems/repairs, corrective action, new BMPs, removed BMPs, discharges, etc.)										X	
5. Have all corrective action items from previous inspections been addressed and documented within the time frame allotted by the inspector? 6. Are SW flows entering and leaving the construction site controlled, managed, or diverted around the site? (e.g. perimeter controls, berms, silt fence,										Х	
upgradient boundary diversion, down gradient boundary sediment control, etc.)									X		
7. Is there evidence of sediment discharge such as mud flows or soil deposits from the construction site in downstream locations?									X		
8. Is there evidence of vehicles tracking soil off the construction site?9. Is there soil, construction material, landscaping items, or other debris piled on impervious surfaces (roads, drives) that could be washed with SW to a									-	Х	
storm drain or water body?										Х	
10. Is there a need to repair, maintain, or improve erosion control BMPs (temporary stabilization, erosion blankets, mulch, vegetated strips, rip rap, surface roughening, pipe slope drain, dust control, etc)?									х		
11. Is there a need to repair, maintain, or improve sediment control BMPs (silt fence, check dams, fiber rolls, sediment trap/basin, inlet protection, waddles, straw bails, curb cut-back, etc?									х		N. C.
12. Is there a need to repair, maintain, or improve good housekeeping controls (clean track out pad, sweeping, construction materials management, litter/trash control, port-o-potties staked down, fueling areas, concrete wash out area, proper curb ramps, spill prevention, etc)?									х		
13. Are there disturbed areas that have not had construction activities for 14 to 21 days without stabilization? (except snow or frozen ground)?										Х	
14. Are there places where BMPs are needed and should be installed or not needed and should be removed?										х	
COMMENTS AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS FOR SWPPP COMPLIANCE											
Identify the problem	and its location. If appropriate, o		ns) what needs to be install. Include the d				u are a designer)	should you be	manda	ing spe	cific
The most recent ins	pection done is still on 9/5/1						doing the inspe	ction and I'm	not su	re wha	t their
qualifications are.	trances constructed, access	from 1100 S is still a	von v nowdon v								
With the recent floo	ding and erosion problems to 0S). Is the sediment basin la	hat have been had or		e still conce	ns with the pos	ssibility of sedi	ment leaving the	e site where t	he roa	d ties i	nto
It appears that there	is some slope stability prob	lems on the hill when	re the storm drain	pipe goes to	the detention p	oond. How is th	nis going to be a	addressed?			
There is a pipe com protected from eros	ing out of the hill above the i	nlet box just down fro	om the turn-around	d in phase 9	. What is that pi	ipe for? If wate	r comes out of	it how is the	slope (joing to	o be
It looks like some ac	dditional roads were cut in u	o higher. Does there	need to be any ero	sion protec	tion installed on	those?					
It appears that the tr	ack pad isn't very long anym	ore. If there is a rain	storm it look like t	here might l	e issues with tr	racking.					
It appears that many	of the bare slopes have rills	s forming in them. Th	nere needs to be se	ome kind of	stabilization tak	e place on the	slopes.				
Some of the silt fend	ce has been overtopped and	needs to be cleaned	out or repaired.								
	ist all applicable SEV code of law that this document and all		ared under my direct	ion or superv	sion in accordanc	ce with a system	designed to assu	ıre that qualified	d perso	nnel pro	perly
gathered and evaluated information submitted	d the information submitted. Bas is, to the best of my knowledge a ant for knowing violations.	ed on my inquiry of the	person or persons w	ho manage th	e system, or thos	se persons direct	ly responsible for	gathering the i	nforma	tion, the	9
			_		///	V					
Inspector:	Michael Tuttle			Manager	_//_		(Signatura)		10	/10/20	12

(Title)

(Signature)

(Date)

e-mailed to wedigourvalley@gmail.com & conrockrecycling@gmail.com
(Print Name)

Operator:

modified 8/12/10