

Comments and Dots on Maps:

The following table contains the comments written on the maps in the May 15th meeting. They also explain what action staff took to address the comment, and an explanation from staff where necessary.

As you look over the comments and compare them to your own values/desires, also review staff's comments and follow-up questions. If you can answer the questions or feel the need to offer more comment, please do so by sending them to Charlie Ewert, Principal Planner, County Planning Division at cewert@co.weber.ut.us, or 2380 Washington BLVD. Ste 240, Ogden, UT 84401.

Comments on the Land Use Map		
Amend PRUD rules.	In progress	This is currently in progress.
Reshape the commercial village at 4700 W and 12 th to exclude West side of 4700 W.	Reconfigured	Reshaped to exclude the west side of 4700 West.
Red dots along proposed alignment of West Weber Corridor – asked for it to be moved further west to avoid farmable land as much as possible.	Reconfigured	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As a UDOT-planned future highway, Weber County has no control over the actual alignment of this. We have shown it on the map as moved further west, and if adopted we will try to influence UDOT to move their planned alignment, but actual movement of the alignment is solely in their discretion. The new alignment might conflict with UDOT's traffic needs. This potential alignment would likely cut through protected bird migratory areas, as well as the potential regional park. Removed secondary road to Little Mountain, as the desired West Weber Corridor's alignment can offer that instead.
Green dots along residential area following sewer on 2200 S.	No change	

Green dot on future commercial village at West Weber Corridor at 2550 S.	Reconfigured	Modified area slightly to address suggested realignment of West Weber Corridor.
No 1/3 to 1/2 acre development along sewer. Instead, clustered development only.	Reconfigured with density changes	<p>The survey shows quite a few folks opposed to clustered development. Staff are a little concerned that the way the new cluster subdivision code works is not well understood by a number of these opponents. But what seems to be clear to us is that people feel that standard one-acre lots should still be possible.</p> <p>The map has been changed to show standard and clustered development in these areas -- with no more density potential than one unit to the acre. The land owner will be able to decide which development tool to use.</p>
Red dot on commercial village at 900 S and West Weber Corridor – with an arrow point upward.	Reconfigured	Staff is assuming this means that the respondent is opposed to a commercial village extending north of 900 S. This village has been remapped to exclude most of the land north of 900 S. This village has also been moved west with the requested realignment of West Weber Corridor.
A comment noting that the area between 2200 S. and 4300 W. east and south to the adjacent municipal boundaries suggests that areas as a good location for development.	Mapped	This area is close to municipal boundaries, parts of which are already in the West Haven and Hooper annexation plans.
Comment regarding village at 12 th and 4700 W: “No big box. Small village or low key stores.”	Noted	

Comments on the Parks and Recreation Map		
---	--	--

Lots of green dots on Weber River multi-use pathway.	No change	
Red dots on Weber River multi-use pathway.	No change	According to survey responses, a pathway along the Weber River has overwhelming support. It should be noted, however, that this pathway cannot exist without land owner consent – whether that consent comes now or in the future. If a landowner consents, or if the land is turned over for development, only then can a pathway be created.
Lots of green dots on potential regional park near Ogden Bay.	No change	Note that the desired realigned West Weber Corridor would cut through the park area.
One red dot on pathway connection to 5100 West.	Reconfigured	Moved the pathway to connect elsewhere.
Green dot on canal pathway connecting into West Haven.		
Other		Based on survey responses, parks and trails are highly desired. Staff placed a new trail route along the Union Pacific Rail Road and along the West Weber Corridor (similar to Legacy Parkway trails system). Staff also added a few proposed trailheads.

Comments on the Transportation Map		
A lot of red dots on alignment of West Weber Corridor, suggesting a different route.	Reconfigured	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As a UDOT-planned future highway, Weber County has no control over the actual alignment of this. We have shown it on the map as moved further west, and if adopted we will try to influence UDOT to move their planned alignment, but actual

		<p>movement of the alignment is solely in their discretion. The new alignment might conflict with UDOT's traffic needs.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This potential alignment would likely cut through protected bird migratory areas, as well as the potential regional park. • Removed secondary road to Little Mountain, as the desired West Weber Corridor's alignment can offer that instead.
Red dots on potential future 700 North.	Left alone	<p>The is a tough one. The transportation engineers have already suggested that this be a future connection (when the area is built-out enough) given the existence of 700 N on the West side of the river. Other fairly popular comments offered in this process suggest that we stick with the previously established practice of building roads on sections lines, on which 700 N is located. If there is a more compelling public interest behind the request maybe there is more that can be done. Help us understand what that is.</p>
Red dots on 1400 South between 4700 West and 5100 West.	Removed.	<p>This was originally shown as an existing street. It has been removed from the map, as it does not currently exist.</p>
Red dot on 900 South west of 7500 West.	Left alone	<p>With emerging traffic trends coming and going to Little Mountain, and the likelihood of future manufacturing expansion in the current manufacturing zone, 900 S. will become, if it is not already, a safety concern that will need to be addressed at some point if not in the near future.</p>
<p>Comment regarding map designation of West Weber Corridor as a "Future West Weber Highway Corridor":</p> <p>"If not set in stone why label as <u>future</u>?"</p>	Relabeled	<p>Relabeled to read "Potential Future West Weber Corridor." As far as it being set in stone or not, UDOT has designated the route on previous maps for "corridor preservation," which means they are pretty serious about it, but that all of the studies required to make it final have not been completed yet.</p>
Comment: "no street trees."	Noted	<p>Street trees are not specifically addressed by the maps, but the comment has been noted for further consideration.</p>

Red dot on 1400 S. and 4300 W.	No action	Unsure as to what this dot is referencing.
--------------------------------	-----------	--