

Staff Report to the Weber County Board of Adjustment

Weber County Planning Division

Synopsis

Applicatio	on Information					
Application Request:		Consideration and action on a request for a 15 foot variance to the front yard setback in the RE-15 Zone for Lot 51 in The Highlands at Wolf Creek Phase 4.				
Agenda 🛛	Date:	Thursday, July 13, 2017				
Applicant:		Joseph and Robin Donovan, Owner				
Authoriz	ed Representative	: Ray Bertoldi				
File Number:		BOA 2017-03				
Property	Information					
Approximate Address:		3922 North Zermatt St, Eden				
Project Area:		0.41 acres				
Zoning:		Residential Estates (RE-15)				
Existing Land Use:		Vacant Residential				
Proposed Land Use:		Residential				
Parcel ID:		22-219-0011				
Township, Range, Section:		T7N, R1E, Section 23				
Adjacent	Land Use					
North:	Open Space	South:		Residential		
East:	Residential	West:		Residential		
Staff Information						
Report Presenter:		Steve Burton sburton@co.weber.ut.us 801-399-8766				
Report Reviewer:		RK				
Applicabl	e Codes					

Applicable Codes

- Weber County Land Use Code Title 102 (Administration) Chapter 3 (Board of Adjustment)
- Weber County Land Use Code Title 104 (Zones) Chapter 3 (Residential Estates RE-15 Zone)

Background

The applicant is requesting a variance for a reduction to the front yard setback in the Residential Estates RE-15 Zone for Lot 51 in The Highlands at Wolf Creek Phase 4. The front yard setback for the RE-15 Zone is 30 feet. The applicant is proposing to build a home 15 feet to the front lot line; this would require an approval of a 15 foot variance to the front yard setback standard. The property is located at 3922 North Zermatt Street in the Wolf Creek Resort area of Weber County. The application and an explanation of the request, along with an elevation map, have been prepared by the applicant (see Exhibit A).

The application states that the intent of the request is to minimize site disturbance and the massing of downhill elevation of the home in terms of basement height. The applicant has stated that if the 30 foot setback were enforced, the home would begin at about a 10 foot vertical difference from the street. The applicant has stated that the setback reduction would benefit the owner as well as adjacent neighbors by protecting view corridors to the east and west as the home would not be pushed more to the south of the property.

Summary of Board of Adjustment Considerations

LUC §102-3 states that one of the duties and powers of the Board of Adjustment is to hear and decide variances from the requirements of the Weber County Land Use Code. In order for a variance to be granted it must be shown that all of the following criteria have been met:

a. Literal enforcement of the ordinance would cause an unreasonable hardship for the applicant that is not necessary to carry out the general purpose of the Land Use Code.

- 1. In determining whether or not literal enforcement of the land use code would cause unreasonable hardship, the appeal authority may not find an unreasonable hardship unless the alleged hardship is located on or associated with the property for which the variance is sought, and comes from circumstances peculiar to the property, not from conditions that are general to the neighborhood.
- 2. In determining whether or not literal enforcement of the land use code would cause unreasonable hardship, the appeal authority may not find an unreasonable hardship if the hardship is self-imposed or economic.
- b. There are special circumstances attached to the property that do not generally apply to other properties in the same zone.
 - 1. In determining whether or not there are special circumstances attached to the property, the appeal authority may find that special circumstances exist only if the special circumstances relate to the hardship complained of, and deprive the property of privileges granted to other properties in the same zone.
- c. Granting the variance is essential to the enjoyment of a substantial property right possessed by other property in the same zone.
- *d.* The variance will not substantially affect the general plan and will not be contrary to the public interest.
- e. The spirit of the land use ordinance is observed and substantial justice done.

Staff's analysis and findings are discussed below:

- a. Literal enforcement of the Land Use Code would require an undesirable result. Due to the significant grade drop off at the front of the lot, literal enforcement of the 30 foot front yard setback would result in a steep driveway, which could cause a safety concern.
- b. The steepness of the slope is unique to this property. Other properties in this area are not as impacted by the steep slope as this parcel, specifically the 10 foot drop off from the front of the lot, as seen on the elevation map.
- c. Granting the variance would allow the owner of Lot 51 to build a home within an area not as affected by slope and would also preserve the neighboring view corridors to the east and west.
- d. The General Plan indicates that this area should be developed as is planned and zoned; thereby the variance and development is not contrary to any public interest.
- e. This variance request is not an attempt to avoid or circumvent the requirements of the County Land Use Code, but provide justified compensation to the building area allowing for the single family dwelling to be built.

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of the variance request to reduce the front yard setback in the RE-15 zone to 15 feet for Lot 51 of The Highlands at Wolf Creek Phase 4. This recommendation is based on compliance with the applicable variance criteria discussed in this staff report and conditioned upon meeting all other applicable review agency requirements.

Exhibits

A. Application and elevation map

Location Map 1



	is will be accepted by appointment on	ly. (801) 399-8791. 2380 Washington	Blvd. Suite 240, Ogden, UT 84401		
Date Submitted / Completed May 24, 2017			File Number (Office Use)		
Property Owner Contact I	Information	Martin M., San M., Barris M., San M			
lame of Property Owner(s) oseph and Robin Donovan		Mailing Address of Property Owner(s) 15724 Leaven worth St.			
'hone 102-968-8062	Fax 402-330-6273	Omaha, NE 68/18			
mail Address Ita1@centurylink.net		Preferred Method of Written Correspondence			
Authorized Representativ	ve Contact Information		an a		
lame of Person Authorized to Rep tay Bertoldi		Mailing Address of Authorized Person 2726 Harrison Blvd. Ogden, Utah 84403			
201-476-4330	Fax				
mail Address pertoldi@bertoldiarchitects.com		Preferred Method of Written Corr	espondence ail		
Appeal Request		The second s			
An Interpretation of the Zen	sing Ordinance				
Ordinance	ning Map	e is an error in any order, requirement, d	ecision or refusal in enforcing of the Zonir		
An Interpretation of the Zor A hearing to decide appeal to Ordinance Other:	ning Map	e is an error in any order, requirement, d	ecision or refusal in enforcing of the Zonir		
An Interpretation of the Zor A hearing to decide appeal of Ordinance Other: Toperty Information	ning Map		ecision or refusal in enforcing of the Zonir		
An Interpretation of the Zor A hearing to decide appeal of Ordinance Other: Troperty Information	ning Map	e is an error in any order, requirement, d	ecision or refusal in enforcing of the Zoni		
An Interpretation of the Zor A hearing to decide appeal to Ordinance Other: roperty Information proximate Address 22 North Zermatt St en, Utah 84310 rrent Zoning	ning Map	Land Serial Number(s)	ecision or refusal in enforcing of the Zonir		
An Interpretation of the Zor A hearing to decide appeal to Ordinance Other: roperty Information proximate Address 22 North Zermatt St en, Utah 84310 rrent Zoning -15	ning Map	Land Serial Number(s) 222190011	ecision or refusal in enforcing of the Zonir		
An Interpretation of the Zor A hearing to decide appeal of Ordinance Other: roperty Information proximate Address 22 North Zermatt St en, Utah 84310 rrent Zoning -15 Existing t Area	hing Map where it is alleged by appellant that there	Land Serial Number(s) 222190011			
An Interpretation of the Zor A hearing to decide appeal to Ordinance Other: Troperty Information Poproximate Address 22 North Zermatt St en, Utah 84310 Irrent Zoning -15 Existing t Area ,734 SF ont Yard Setback	Measurements	Land Serial Number(s) 222190011 Required Med	asurements (Office Use)		
An Interpretation of the Zor A hearing to decide appeal to Ordinance Other: Property Information pproximate Address 222 North Zermatt St den, Utah 84310 urrent Zoning E-15	Measurements Lot Frontage/Width 114.05 Rear Yard Setback	Land Serial Number(s) 222190011 Required Med Lot Size (Office Use)	asurements (Office Use)		

٠

.

Applicant Narrative

Please explain your request.

We would like to request a variance to reduce the front setback for the property from 30 feet to 15 feet. The intent on this reduction is to minimize site disturbance and the also the massing of the downhill elevation of the home in terms of basement height. We would like to disturb as little of the existing hillside as possible from a not only a visual perspective but practical. As you can see on the topographic survey, there is significant grade drop off from the street, about 10 feet for 15 feet in horizontal distance. If we keep with the existing setback, the structure will begin at about 10 foot vertical difference from the street assuming the driveway is somewhat flat coming into the home, something the owners would like to do rather than a driveway sloping down to the garage on the north side of the building. Aside from the benefits provided to the Owner we believe it would also benefit the adjacent neighbors by protecting view corridors to the east and west as the structure would not be pushed further to the south. We also feel this can provide a smaller floor to floor dimension on the downhill side and less retaining walls on the down hill slope of the same.

Variance Request

The Board of Adjustment may grant a variance only if the following five criteria are met. Please explain how this variance request meets the following five criteria:

1. Literal enforcement of the ordinance would cause an unreasonable hardship for the applicant that is not necessary to carry out the general purpose of the Zoning Ordinance.

a. In determining whether or not enforcement of the land use ordinance would cause unreasonable hardship, the appeal authority may not find an unreasonable hardship unless the alleged hardship is located on or associated with the property for which the variance is sought, and comes from circumstances peculiar to the property, not from conditions that are general to the neighborhood.

b. In determining whether or not enforcement of the land use ordinance would cause unreasonable hardship, the appeal authority may not find an unreasonable hardship if the hardship is self-imposed or economic.

Hranshipis not selfimposed, the gampes adjacent to the reason of fill are pre-existing

Variance Request (continued...)

some grade protile.

2. There are special circumstances attached to the property that do not generally apply to the other properties in the same zone.

"industriant Stope, Source man require Lift station for basement -a. In determining whether there are special circumstances attached to the property, the appeal authority may find that special circumstances exist only if the special circumstances relate to the hardship complained of, and deprive the property of privileges granted to other properties in the same zone.

Please describe the special circumstances attached to the property that do not generally apply to the other properties in the same zone: Adjacat proputy to west is on a corner & doesnot time

3. Granting the variance is essential to the enjoyment of a substantial property right possessed by other property in the same zone.

The reduced set that will actually provide a better una corridon to the east swest views of adjact properties. The reduction of additional rock repairing walls on the down hell ande vill heave more land un disturded & provide a be Here visual trong the entire nieghbouhad. The dowhill factor of the build will be reduced since the basement will not have to have a talk Flore to Floorhieght to reach grance without significant octoming Walls and on structure Fill.

Variance Request (continued...) 4. The variance will not substantially affect the general plan and will not be contrary to the public interest. Marmure should allow design solutions that bene fit the public interest per other sections described here. 5. The spirit of the land use ordinance is observed and substantial justice done. The spirit of the land use ordinane is obsand & justice done by benefits outland to the nieghbord described herein. **Property Owner Affidavit** I (We), Joseph R. Donoven and Robin L. Donoven depose and say that I (we) am (are) the owner(s) of the property identified in this application and that the statements herein contained, the information provided in the attached plans and other exhibits are in all respects true and correct to the best of my (our) knowledge. (Property Owner ty Owne Subscribed and sworn to me this 24th day of Nay 20 17 State of Nebraska - General Notary STEPHANIE MESICK (Notary) My Commission Expires June 21, 2019 **Authorized Representative Affidavit** I (We), Toseph R Denouse an LRobin 4. Denovan, the owner(s) of the real property described in the attached application, do authorized as my (our) representative(s), Ray Bertoldi , to represent me (us) regarding the attached application and to appear on my (our) behalf before any administrative or legislative body in the County considering this application and to act in all respects as our agent in matters pertaining to the attached application. (Property Owner) (Property Owned Dated this ______ day of _______, 20 17_, personally appeared before me Toseph Dmoren and Robin L Domoren the signer(s) of the Representative Authorization Affidavit who duly acknowledged to me that they executed the same. State of Nebraska - General Notary STEPHANIE MESICK Stephanie Mesick My Commission Expires June 21, 2019

