DRAINAGE REPORT

Winston Park

Project: 1607138

Prepared For

Jay Rice

Prepared October 31, 2017

Prepared By





BENCHMARK ENGINEERING & LAND SURVEYING, LLC

9138 South State Street, #100 Sandy, Utah 84070 Phone 801.542.7192 Fax 801.542.7195

1. General Location and Description

The purpose of this report is to discuss the existing and proposed hydrologic and hydraulic conditions for the new Winston Park Subdivision and associated improvements. The site is located at 3908 West 1800 South in Weber County and consists of 38.88 acres. The intent of this report is to accompany the proposed civil plans for the subdivision by Benchmark Engineering for Weber County review and approval.

The project consists of two roads that both connect to 1800 south and stub off at the property line on the south and south-west. The site will be subdivided into 54 new residential lots and 18 new parcels for agricultural preservation. Improvements in the road and driveways will be constructed up to the buildable area for each lot. The site is bounded on the east by rural parcels with homes, the west and south by empty agricultural parcels, and the north by 1800 south.

Vicinity Map:



Currently, the projects site is a cleared parcel with natural vegetation and non-engineered fills. Runoff from the site generally travels to the north-west following the natural grade. The runoff then goes into the drainage ditch along 1800 south. Tailwater ditches along south and west property lines will be preserved and maintained by HOA.

According to the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the predominant native soils consist of Airport silt loam, Leland-Saltair complex, and Warm springs fine sandy loam. From the Geotech report provided,

the top 1.5-ft. of the site is top soil and approximately 13-ft. below that was found to be natural soil consisting of lean clay with silt and silty sand layers. The groundwater across the site ranged from 4.5-7 feet below the existing ground surface.

2. Drainage Basin

Runoff from the site generally travels to the north-west following the natural grade. The runoff then falls into a drainage ditch along the north boundary of the property, which flows west along 1800 south.

Benchmark Engineering does not have access to previous drainage studies.

This property is not part of any master plan.

Per FEMA, this site is located in Zone X, which is described as an area of minimal flood hazard.

3. Proposed Drainage Plan

The drainage of the site is composed of two drainage areas. All storm water falling on paved areas will be collected into the new storm drain system and piped to a baffled cleanout box with an orifice, and detention pond for its respective drainage area before being released, at a rate of 0.1 cfs/acre, into the existing drainage ditch along 1800 south that is to be piped. When developed, the runoff from the front yards of lots, portions of roofs sloped towards the road, and any other landscaped areas that direct water towards the road will be collected by these two systems. A minimal amount of storage will also be provided by some of the installed storm drain pipe and catch basins.

The site has been designed to detain a 100-year, 24-hour storm event with rainfall numbers provided by NOAA Atlas 14. A release rate of 0.1 cfs/acre was implemented, as required by Weber County.

The drainage area consists of several storm drain inlet boxes which collect surface water to be piped through 15", 18", and 21" RCP storm drain pipe into the new detention ponds located at the northern portion of the new subdivision. Before entering the new storm drain system along the south of 1800 south, the water will be cleaned with a snout. The detained water will release at the designate rate of 0.1cfs to the new storm drain system along the south of 1800 south. Storage volumes of 50,741 cf for the west drainage area and 14,765 cf for the east drainage area have been provided.

The details of the stormwater infrastructure, including the detention ponds and the piping of the existing drainage ditch along 1800 south, are shown on sheet CGD.01.

4. Stormwater Quality

The Utah Department of Environmental Quality (UTDEQ) is responsible for administering the state storm water management program. The Utah storm water program is closely modeled after the federal National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program, which requires storm water be treated to the maximum extent practicable (MEP). The UTDEQ water program establishes permitting requirements for construction sites disturbing more than one acre, industrial sites, and Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s). All MS4s should currently be

permitted, or in the permit process. Each permitted MS4 will be responsible for establishing a Storm Water Management Program (SWMP).

Before entering the existing storm drain system, storm water will be treated with a snout. An orifice plate will maintain the rate of runoff released from the site at 0.1 cfs/acre.

5. Analysis

5.1. Hydrologic

As stated in Section 3, the site has been designed to detain a 100-year, 24-hour storm event with rainfall provided by NOAA Atlas 14. The rainfall intensities generated from this process produced the maximum volumes to detain listed in the attached drainage calculations.

Rational Method

The Rational method was used in determining the peak discharge from storm drains into the detention basins per the grading and drainage plan.

$$Q = CiA$$

Where:

Q = the peak discharge C = the coefficient of runoff i = rainfall intensity A = tributary area

The maximum storm detention required for a 24 hour period is calculated by determining the time of concentration, which is the time it takes for runoff to travel from the hydraulically most distant part of the watershed sub-basin to its outfall point. It is computed by summing the time it takes water to travel through the different components of the drainage system. The soil type, ground cover, slope and flow lengths are used to determine the time of concentration for a specific detention basin area. Once the time of concentration is obtained, the rainfall intensity curves are used to determine the required storage.

Detention Location and Sizing

Detention for storm water is provided by two detention ponds that are located in the northern portion of the project site. The volumes of detention provided by the west and east ponds are 50,741 and 14,765 c.f., respectively. Minimal storage is provided by nearby pipes and catch basins.

The stormwater runoff routing is represented on sheet CGD.01.

5.2. Hydraulic

The Rational Method was used to determine the flow rate from the contributing areas. The intensity of rainfall was determined by referencing NOAA Atlas 14 Precipitation Frequency

Estimates for the surrounding area. The variable value of C was determined using a weighted average of the percentage of permeable and non-permeable surfaces.

The expected flow obtained by the rational method was then used in Manning's Equation, shown below, to solve for the required minimum pipe radius.

Manning's Equation:
$$Q = \frac{k}{n} * A * R_h^{\frac{2}{3}} * S^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Q = the peak discharge k = 1.49; conversion factor R = hydraulic radius of the pipe A = area of the pipe S = slope of the pipe n = coefficient of roughness for the pipe material

In the event that a 100-yr storm is exceeded, overflow from detention ponds will overtop the baffles in the 5' x 5' & 4' x 4' cleanout boxes adjacent to the detention ponds and flow unrestricted into the piped drainage ditch along 1800 south.

6. Conclusions

The drainage system for this site will consist of overland sheet flow, catch basins, cleanout boxes, RCP storm drain pipes, and two detention ponds. The increase in drainage requirements due to onsite improvements will be collected and routed through the above mentioned facilities to the new detention areas. The flow from the development will be restricted by orifice plates and treated for pollutants using snouts before flowing into the piped drainage ditch along 1800 south.

The storm water drainage and detention system designed for this site meets the requirements of a 100-year, 24-hour storm released at 0.1 cfs/acre as required by Weber County.

7. Appendix

	I	JKAIN	AGE A	AREA #1		
	Rat	ional M	lethod	(Q=CIA)	
Area	Identification	n (A)		Rational Coefficient (C)		C*A
*Roof=		117,500		0.9		105750 S.F.
**Pavement =	=	161,425		0.9		145283 S.F.
Landscaping :		355,828		0.2		71166 S.F.
Sum:		634753 S.F.			Sum:	322198 S.F.
NOAA ATL	AS 14 (100 Y	YEAR STOR	M)	Allowable Dis	charge =	.10cfs/acre
Time	Intensity	Rainfall	Rainfall Excess	Allowed Discharge	Volume	to Detain
(min)	(in/hr)	(inches)	(cu.ft.)	(<i>cu.ft</i>)	(cu.ft)	
15	4.56	1.140	30609	1311	29297	
30	3.07	1.535	41215	2623	38592	
60	1.90	1.900	51015	5246	45769	
120	1.09	2.180	58533	10492	48041	
180	0.75	2.250	60412	15738	44674	
360 720	0.41	2.484 3.048	66695 81838	31475 62951	<u>35220</u> 18888	
1440	0.23	3.432	92149	125901		0
* Assumed 2, ** Assumed 4 Detention Cal Pond Volume Pond 1	400 sq. ft. pe	r driveway				
	ate storage?			ge Provided = leq. Storage =	48,766 ct 48,041 ct	
Is there adequ						
(Orifice Desi ΩΓhe storm ru	gn: moff will be d		1 cfs/acre		
(etained at 0.	1 cfs/acre		
(The storm ru	noff will be d	etained at 0. $10\sqrt{2gh}$	1 cfs/acre 14.57 acres		
(The storm ru Total acreage Allowable di	noff will be d $Q = C_d A$ e of developm	etained at 0. $10\sqrt{2gh}$	14.57 acres 0.1 cfs/acre		
(- - - - - - 	The storm ru Fotal acreage Allowable di Max head:	noff will be d $Q = C_d A$ e of developm	etained at 0. $l_0\sqrt{2gh}$ ent:	14.57 acres		

STORM DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS DRAINAGE AREA #2									
	Rational Method (Q=CIA)								
Area	Area Identification (A) Rational C*A								
*Roof = **Pavemen	t =	22,500 47,439		0.9 0.9		20250 S.F. 42695 S.F.			
Landscaping Sum:	g =	85,633 2E+05 S.F.		0.2	Sum:	17127 S.F. 80072 S.F.			
NOAA AT	LAS 14 (100	YEAR STO		Allowable Dis	charge =	.10cfs/acre			
Time	Intensity	Rainfall	Rainfall Excess	Allowed Discharge	Volume	e to Detain			
(<i>min</i>)	(in/hr)	(inches)	(<i>cu.ft.</i>)	(<i>cu.ft</i>)		<i>u.ft</i>)			
<u>15</u> 30	4.56 3.07	1.140 1.535	7607 10243	321 643		285			
60	1.90	1.900	12678	1286	9600 11392				
120	1.09	2.180	14546	2571	11392				
180	0.75	2.250	15013	3857		1156			
360	0.41	2.484	16575	7714	8	861			
720	0.25	3.048	20338	15429	4	910			
1440	0.14	3.432	22901	30857		0			
** Assumed Detention C <u>Pond Volu</u>	 * Assumed 2,500 sq. ft. per home ** Assumed 400 sq. ft. per driveway Detention Calculations <u>Pond Volume</u> Pond 1 Civil 3D = 12,085 cf 								
is there ade	quate storage		510	rage Provided = Req. Storage =	12,085 c 11,975 c				
	Orifice Design: The storm runoff will be detained at 0.1 cfs/acre								
	$Q = C_d A_0 \sqrt{2gh}$								
	Total acreage of development:3.57 acresAllowable discharge:0.1 cfs/acreMax head:0.86 ftDesign diameter for new orifice:3.8 inch								

	GRADING AND DRAINAGE KEY NOTES REFERENCE				
NO.	DESCRIPTION	DETAIL			
1	GRADE SITE TO ELEVATIONS SHOWN ON PLAN				
2	7.5" ORIFICE PLATE (SEE DETAIL 'A')	5A/CDT.04			
3	3.8" ORIFICE PLATE (SEE DETAIL 'B')	5B/CDT.04			
$\langle 4 \rangle$	STORM DRAIN INLET BOX	4/CDT.01			
(5)	5'X5' SDCO W/ BAFFLE (SEE DETAIL 'A')	6A/CDT.04			
6	STORM DRAIN CLEANOUT	4/CDT.01			
$\overline{7}$	STORM DRAIN COMBO BOX	3/CDT.04			
8	FLARED END SECTION	4/CDT.04			
9	REVERSED STORM DRAIN COMBO BOX				
10	SNOUT	3/CDT.03			
11	4" PVC FOUNDATION DRAIN LATERAL PER WEBER COUNTY ENGINEERING STDS. (0.5% MIN. SLOPE)				
(12)	4'X4' SDCO W/ BAFFLE (SEE DETAIL 'B')	6B/CDT.04			
(13)	BACKFLOW PREVENTER VALVE (J & S VALVE OR APPROVED EQUAL)				













LEGEN	D:	
~	Topsoil; lean clay to silty sand, slightly moist to moist, brown to gray, roots and organics.	NOTES:
	Lean Clay {CL}; small to moderate amounts of sand, soft to stiff, moist to wet, brown to gray.	 The test pits were excavated on September 12 and 13, 2016 with a rubber-tired backhoe.
	Silt (ML); small amounts of sand, slightly porous, stiff, slightly moist, light brown to light gray.	Locations of the test pits were measured approximately by pacing from features shown on the site plan provided.
	Silty Sand (SM); small to moderate amounts of silt, occasional poorly-graded sand with silt, occasional thin lean clay layers, medium dense, moist to wet, brown.	 Elevations of the test pits were measured by automatic level and refer to the bench mark shown on Figure 1.
	Interlayered Lean Clay and Silty Sand (CL/SM); medium stiff/medium dense, wet, brown.	 The test pit locations and elevations should be considered accurate only to the degree implied by the method used.
	Poorly-graded Sand with Silt (SP-SM); medium dense, moist to wet, brown.	 The lines between materials shown on the logs represent the approximate boundaries between material types and the transitions may be gradual. Water level readings shown on the logs were made at the time and under the conditions indicated. Fluctuations in the water level will occur with time.
P	Indicates relatively undisturbed hand drive sample taken.	 7. WC = Water Content (%); DD = Dry Density (pcf); -200 = Percent Passing the No. 200 Sieve;
[_]	Indicates disturbed sample taken.	UC = Unconfined Compressive Strength (psf).
	Indicates relatively undisturbed block sample taken.	
	Indicates slotted 1 $\%$ inch PVC pipe installed in the test pit to the depth shown.	
9	Indicates the depth to free water and the number of days after excavation the measurement was taken.	

1160708

J37A



USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

MAP I	EGEND	MAP INFORMATION	
Area of Interest (AOI)	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at	
Area of Interest (AOI)	Stony Spot	1:15,800.	
Soils	M Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.	
Soil Map Unit Polygons	wet Spot	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause	
Soil Map Unit Lines	∆ Other	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of	
Soil Map Unit Points	Special Line Features	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed	
Special Point Features	Water Features	scale.	
Blowout	Streams and Canals	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map	
Borrow Pit	Transportation	measurements.	
Clay Spot	Rails	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:	
Closed Depression	Minterstate Highways	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)	
Gravel Pit	JS Routes	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercato	
Gravelly Spot	🥪 Major Roads	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as th	
🔇 Landfill	Local Roads	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more	
🙏 Lava Flow	Background	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.	
Marsh or swamp	Aerial Photography	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data of the version date(s) listed below.	
Mine or Quarry		Soil Survey Area: Davis-Weber Area, Utah	
Miscellaneous Water		Survey Area Data: Version 10, Sep 9, 2016	
Perennial Water		Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales	
Nock Outcrop		1:50,000 or larger.	
Saline Spot		Date(s) aerial images were photographed: May 22, 2005—No 13, 2016	
Sandy Spot		The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were	
Severely Eroded Spot		compiled and digitized probably differs from the background	
Sinkhole		imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.	
Slide or Slip			
Sodic Spot			



Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
Ac	Airport silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	6.2	16.2%
LS	Leland-Saltair complex, 0 to 1 percent slopes	18.8	48.8%
WgA	Warm Springs fine sandy loam, saline, sodic, 0 to 1 percent slopes	13.5	35.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		38.5	100.0%

