"Final" Draft to County 04/15/25 (Some minor details will be cleaned up after final County review as a part of adoption process)

MASTER DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

FOR

THE BRIDGES MASTER PLANNED COMMUNITY

April __, 2025

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(This is pretty close but will be coordinated with final approved version)

RECITALS1-2					
1. Incorporation of Recitals and Exhibits / Definitions					
	1.1.	Incorpora	tion2		
	1.2.	Definitior	ions		
		1.2.1.	Administrative Modifications	2	
		1.2.2.	Administrator	2	
		1.2.3.	Applicant	2	
		1.2.4.	ARC	2	
		1.2.5.	MDA	2	
		1.2.6.	Buildout	3	
		1.2.7.	CLUDMA	3	
		1.2.8.	Commission	3	
		1.2.9.	County		
		1.2.10.	County Consultants		
		1.2.11.	County's Future Laws	3	
		1.2.12.	County's Vested Laws	3	
		1.2.13.	Default	3	
		1.2.14.	Denial/Denied	3	
		1.2.15.	Design Guidelines	3	
		1.2.16.	Development	3	
		1.2.17.	Development Application	4	
		1.2.18.	Development Report	4	
		1.2.19.	Dispute	4	
		1.2.20.	Dispute Resolution Process	4	
		1.2.21.	Exceptions from County Standards	4	
		1.2.22.	Final Plat	4	
		1.2.23.	Home Owners Association(s) (or "HOA(s)")	4	
		1.2.24.	Master Plan	4	
		1.2.25.	Maximum Residential Dwelling Units ("Maximum RDU's")	5	
		1.2.26.	Notice	5	
		1.2.27.	Open Space	5	
		1.2.28.	Master Developer	5	
		1.2.29.	Outsourcing	5	
		1.2.30.	Outsourced Work	5	
		1.2.31.	Parcel	5	
		1.2.32.	Parks, Trails, and Open Space	5	
		1.2.33.	Parties	5	

		1.2.34.	Party	5
		1.2.35.	Phase	5
		1.2.36.	Prior Agreement	. 5
		1.2.37.	Private Improvements	5
		1.2.38.	Project	6
		1.2.39.	Property	6
		1.2.40.	Public Infrastructure	6
		1.2.41.	Residential Dwelling Unit ("RDU")	6
		1.2.42.	Subdeveloper	6
		1.2.43.	Subdivision	6
		1.2.44.	Subdivision Application	6
		1.2.45.	System Improvements	6
		1.2.46.	Technical Guidelines	6
		1.2.47.	Zoning	6
2.	Effect (-	
3.	Develo	oment Of T	he Project	. 6
	3.1.	Complian	ce with this MDA	. 6
	3.2.	Land Use	s within the Project, Configuration	. 6
	3.3.	Design St	Standards and Technical Guidelines	
	3.4.	Maximum	m RDUs	
		3.4.1.	Configuration of Maximum RDUs	
		3.4.2.	Market Rate	7
	3.5.	Master De	eveloper's Discretion	
		3.5.1.	Concurrency Management of Future Development	
	3.6.	Required	Process	
		3.6.1.	Approval Required Before Development	
		3.6.2.	Building Permits	
		3.6.3.	County and Other Governmental Agency Permits	
		3.6.4.	Fees	
		3.6.5.	County Cooperation and Approval	
		3.6.6.	Outsourcing of Processing of Development Applications	
		5.0.0.	3.6.6.1. County Processing	0
			3.6.6.2. Timing	8
			3.6.6.3. Election/Cost Estimate	
			3.6.6.4. Compliance with Applicable Codes	
			3.6.6.5. Final Payment	
			3.6.6.6. Acceptance of Outsourced Work	
		3.6.7.		
		3.6.8.	Acceptance of Certifications Required for Development Applications	
			Independent Technical Analyses for Development Applications	
		3.6.9.	intent of One-Time Keview	. 9

		3.6.10.	County Denial of a Development Application	10
		3.6.11. Dispute Resolution		
			County Denials of Development Applications Based on Denials from Non-County A	
				10
			Construction Prior to Completion of Infrastructure	
		3.6.14.	Outsourcing of Inspections	10
			3.6.14.1. <u>County Processing</u>	
			3.6.14.2. <u><i>Timing</i></u>	10
			3.6.14.3. <u>Election/Cost Estimate</u>	10
			3.6.14.4. <u>Compliance with Applicable Codes</u>	11
			3.6.14.5. <u>Final Payment</u>	11
			3.6.14.6. <u>Acceptance of Outsourced Work</u>	11
	3.7.	Parcel Sa	les	11
	3.8.	Accounti	ng for RDUs for Developments by Master Developer	11
	3.9.	Developn	nent Report	11
		3.9.1.	Ownership	11
		3.9.2.	Maximum RDUs	11
		3.9.3.	Units Previously Platted	
		3.9.4.	Ongoing Application Units	
		3.9.5.	Units Proposed to be Developed	
		3.9.6.	Units Transferred or Remaining	12
		3.9.7.	Parks, Trails, and Open Space	
		3.9.8.	Material Effects	
	3.10.		ng for RDUs and/or other types of Intended Uses for Parcels Sold to Subdevelopers	
			Return of Unused RDUs	
	3.11	Phasing		
	01111	3.11.1		
		3.11.2		
		3.11.3		
		3.11.4		
		3.11.4		
	3.12.		rm Rentals	12
4.				
4.	-		d Vested Rights	
	4.1. Vested Rights Granted by Approval of this MDA			
	4.2.	•	ns	
		4.2.1.	Master Development Agreement	
		4.2.2.	State and Federal Compliance	
		4.2.3.	Codes	
		4.2.4.	Taxes	
		4.2.5.	Fees	

	4.2.6. Compelling, Countervailing Interest	
	4.3. Reserved Legislative Powers	
5.	Term of Agreement	
6.	Application Under County's Future Laws	14
7.	Public Infrastructure	
	7.1. Construction by Master Developer	14
	7.1.1. Security for Public Infrastructure	. 14
	7.1.2. Bonding for Landscaping	. 14
	7.2. Dedication of Public Improvements	
8.	Upsizing/Reimbursements To Master Developer	15
	8.1. Upsizing	15
	8.2. Dispute Resolution	15
9.	Parks, Trails, and Open Space	15
	9.1. PTOS Plan	15
10.	On-Site Processing Of Natural Materials	
	11.1 Onsite Processing	
	11.2 Mass Grading	15
11.	Default	15
	11.1. Notice	16
	11.2. Contents of the Notice of Default	16
	11.2.1. Specific Claim	16
	11.2.2. Applicable Provisions	16
	11.2.3. Materiality	16
	11.2.4. Optional Cure	16
	11.2.5. Dispute Resolution	16
	11.3. Remedies	16
	11.3.1. Law and Equity	16
	11.3.2. Security	16
	11.3.3. Future Approvals	16
	11.4. Public Meeting	16
	11.5. Emergency Defaults	16
	11.6. Extended Cure Period	17
	11.7. Default of Assignee	17
12.	Dispute Resolution	17
	12.1. Meet and Confer regarding Development Application Denials	17
	12.2. Mediation of Disputes	17
	12.2.1. Issues Subject to Mediation	
	12.2.2. Mediation Process	
	12.3. Arbitration of Disputes	
	12.3.1. Issues Subject to Arbitration	

		12.3.2. Mediation Required Before Arbitration	17
		12.3.3. Arbitration Process	17
	12.4.	District Court	
13.	Notices		
	13.1.	Effectiveness of Notice	
		13.1.1. Hand Delivery	
		13.1.2. Electronic Delivery	
		13.1.3. Mailing	19
14.	Admini	strative Modifications	19
	14.1.	Allowable Administrative Applications	19
		14.1.1. Infrastructure	19
		14.1.2. Minor Amendment	19
	14.2.	Application to Administrator	19
	14.3.	Administrator's Review of Administrative Modification	19
		14.3.1. Referral as Amendment	19
	14.4.	Appeal of Administrator's Denial of Administrative Modification	19
15.	Amenda	ment	19
	15.1.	Who May Submit Modification Applications	19
	15.2.	Modification Application Contents	19
		15.2.1. Identification of Property	
		15.2.2. Description of Effect	
		15.2.3. Identification of Non-County Agencies	
		15.2.4. <i>Map</i>	
	15.3.	Fee	
	15.4.	County Cooperation in Processing Modification Applications	
	15.5.	Planning Commission Review of Modification Applications	
		15.5.1. Review	
		15.5.2. Recommendation	
	15.6.	Commission Review of Modification Application	
	15.7.	Commission's Objections to Modification Applications	
	15.8.	Disputes	
16.	Estoppe	el Certificate	
17.	Attorne	y's Fees	
		- 25	
19.	<u>No Th</u> ir		
20.	Assigna		
		Sale of Lots	
	20.2.	Related Entity	
		Notice	
	20.4.	Time for Objection	

	20.5. Partial Assignment	
	20.6. County Objection	
	20.7. Dispute Resolution	
	20.8. Assignees Bound by MDA	
21.	Binding Effect	
22.	No Waiver	
23.	Further Documentation	
24.	Severability	
25.	Force Majeure	
26.	Time Is Of The Essence	
27.	Appointment Of Representatives	
28.	Rights Of Access	
29.	Mutual Drafting	
30.	Applicable Law	
	Venue	
32.	Entire Agreement	
	Conflicts	
34.	Recordation and Running With The Land	
35.	Enforcement	
	Authority	
	BLE OF EXHIBITS	
	JNATURES	

AMENDED AND RESTATED MASTER DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT FOR THE BRIDGES MASTER PLANNED COMMUNITY

This MASTER DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT is made and entered as of the ____ day of April, 2025, by and between Weber County, a political subdivision of the State of Utah; and the Bridges Holding Company, LLC, a Utah limited liability company.

RECITALS

A. The capitalized terms used in these Recitals are defined in Section 1.2, below.

B. Master Developer owns and is developing the Property.

C. The County and Master Developer have entered into the Prior Agreements governing the development of the Property.

D. Other aspects of the Prior Agreements have been either performed, modified, or rendered irrelevant based on the occurrence of various actions and events.

E. Master Developer and the County desire that the Property be developed in a unified and consistent fashion pursuant to the Master Plan that is adopted and incorporated into this MDA.

F. Development of the Property will include the Intended Uses as defined in this MDA.

G. Development of the Project as a master planned community pursuant to this MDA is acknowledged by the parties to be consistent with CLUDMA and to operate for the benefit of the County, Master Developer, and the general public.

 ${\rm H.}~$ The County Commission has reviewed this MDA and determined that it is consistent with CLUDMA.

I. The Parties acknowledge that development of the Property pursuant to this MDA will result in significant planning and economic benefits to the County and its residents by, among other things, requiring orderly development of the Property as a master planned community and increasing property tax and other revenues to the County based on improvements to be constructed on the Property.

J. Development of the Property pursuant to this MDA will also result in significant benefits to Master Developer, by providing assurances to Master Developer that they will have the ability to develop the Property in accordance with this MDA.

K. Master Developer and the County have cooperated in the preparation of this MDA.

L. The Parties desire to enter into this MDA to specify the rights and responsibilities of Master Developer to develop the Property as expressed in this MDA and the rights and responsibilities of the County to allow and regulate such development pursuant to the requirements of this MDA.

M. The Parties understand and intend that this MDA is a "development agreement" within the meaning of, and entered into pursuant to the terms of, <u>Utah Code Ann.</u> §§ 17-27a-102 and 528 (2024).

N. This MDA and all of its associated "legislative", "broad, competing policy-considerations"

and "generally applicable" decisions regarding the development of the Project as those terms are discussed in *Baker v Carlson*, 2018 UT 59 was considered by the Planning Commission on January 28, 2025 pursuant to <u>Utah Code Ann.</u> § 17-27a-528(2)(a)(iii) (2024), in making a recommendation to the County Commission.

O. The County believes that this MDA and the Zoning of the Property constitute the completion of the "legislative", "broad, competing policy-considerations" and "generally applicable" decisions by the County Commission regarding the development of the Project as those terms are discussed in *Baker v Carlson*, 2018 UT 59.

P. The County intends that the implementation of those "legislative", "broad, competing policyconsiderations" and "generally applicable" decisions through the provisions and processes of this MDA relating to "fixed criteria" are "administrative" in nature.

Q. This County's entry into this MDA is authorized by the adoption of Ordinance #_____ on April ____, 2025.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby conclusively acknowledged, the County and the Master Developer hereby agree to the following:

TERMS

1. Incorporation of Recitals and Exhibits/ Definitions.

1.1. **Incorporation.** The foregoing Recitals and Exhibits A–F are hereby incorporated into this MDA.

1.2. **Definitions.** As used in this MDA, the following terms, phrases, words, and their derivations shall have the meaning given herein where capitalized in this MDA. Words not defined herein shall have the same meaning as provided by the County's Vested Laws. When consistent with the context, words used in the present tense include the future, words in the plural number include the singular number, words in the singular number include the plural number, and the use of any gender shall apply to all genders whenever the context requires. The words "shall" and "will" are mandatory and the word "may" is permissive. References to governmental entities (whether persons or entities) refer to those entities or their successors in authority. If specific provisions of law referred to herein are renumbered, then the reference shall be read to refer to the renumbered provision.

- 1.2.1. *Administrative Modifications* means those modifications to this MDA that can be approved by the Administrator pursuant to Section 14.
- 1.2.2. *Administrator* means the person designated by the County as the Administrator of this MDA.
- 1.2.3. *Applicant* means a person or entity submitting a Development Application.
- 1.2.4. ARC means the Architectural Review Committee created by the HOA.
- 1.2.5. **MDA** means this Master Development Agreement including all of its Exhibits.

- 1.2.6. **Buildout** means the completion of all of the development on all of the Project in accordance with the approved plans.
- 1.2.7. *CLUDMA* means the County Land Use, Development, and Management Act, <u>Utah Code Ann.</u> §§ 17-27a-101, *et seq.* (2024).
- 1.2.8. Commission means the elected County Commission of the County.
- 1.2.9. County means Weber County, a political subdivision of the State of Utah.
- 1.2.10. *County Consultants* means those outside consultants employed by the County in various specialized disciplines such as traffic, hydrology, or drainage, for reviewing certain aspects of the development of the Project.
- 1.2.11. *County's Future Laws* means the ordinances, policies, standards, procedures, and processing fee schedules of the County which may be in effect as of a particular time in the future when a Development Application is submitted for a part of the Project, and which may or may not be applicable to the Development Application depending upon the provisions of this MDA.
- 1.2.12. *County's Vested Laws* means the ordinances, policies, standards, and procedures of the County regarding land use and development in effect as of the date of the execution of this MDA regarding land use, specifically, Titles _____, ____ and _____ a digital copy of which is attached as Exhibit "F".
- 1.2.13. *Default* means a material breach of this MDA.
- 1.2.14. **Denial/Denied** means a formal denial issued by the final decision-making body of the County for a particular type of Development Application but does not include review comments or "redlines" by County staff.
- 1.2.15. *Design Guidelines* means the general standards for design of lots and RDUs as specified in Exhibit E.
- 1.2.16. *Development* means the development of any improvement, whether public or private, on the Project pursuant to an approved Development Application, including, but not limited to, any Public Infrastructure, Private Improvement, Subdivision, or any of the Intended Uses.
- 1.2.17. **Development Application** means an application to the County for development of a portion of the Project including a Subdivision or any other permit, certificate or other authorization from the County required for development of the Project.
- 1.2.18. **Development Report** means a report containing the information specified in Section 3.8 submitted to the County by Master Developer for a Development by Master Developer or for the sale of any Parcel to a Subdeveloper or the submittal of a Development Application by a Subdeveloper pursuant to an assignment from Master Developer.

- 1.2.19. *Dispute* means any disagreement between the Parties regarding the administration or implementation of the MDA, including but not limited to Denial or a Default.
- 1.2.20. *Dispute Resolution Process* means the processes for resolving any Dispute as specified in Section 14.
- 1.2.21. Exceptions from County Standards means the Design Guidelines (Exhibit D) and the minimum setback standards in the Technical Guidelines (Exhibit E) which contain certain modifications to or from the County's current engineering and site design requirements.
- 1.2.22. Final Plat means the recordable map or other graphical representation of land prepared in accordance with <u>Utah Code Ann.</u> § 17-27a-603 (2024), or any successor provision, and approved by the County, effectuating a Subdivision of any portion of the Project.
- 1.2.23. Home Owner Association(s) (or "HOA(s)") means one or more associations formed pursuant to Utah law to perform the functions of an association of property Master Developer.
- 1.2.24. *Master Plan* means the general layout of the types and areas of development of the Project as illustrated on Exhibit "B".
- 1.2.25. *Maximum Residential Dwelling Units ("Maximum RDUs")* means the development on the Property of Four hundred twenty-six (426) Residential Dwelling Units.
- 1.2.26. *Notice* means any notice to or from any party to this MDA that is either required or permitted to be given to another party.
- 1.2.27. *Open Space* means that definition as found in the County's Vested Laws as may be modified by the Master Plan.
- 1.2.28. *Master Developer* means the Bridges Holding Co., LLC, which owns The Property.
- 1.2.29. *Outsourcing* means the process of the County contracting with County Consultants or paying overtime to County employees to provide technical support in the review and approval of the various aspects of a Development Application as is more fully set out in this MDA. Outsourcing shall be at the sole discretion of the County.
- 1.2.30. Outsourced Work means any work performed pursuant to Outsourcing.
- 1.2.31. *Parcel* means a portion of the Property that is created by the Master Developer to be sold to a Subdeveloper that is not an individually developable lot and that has not been created as a Subdivision.

- 1.2.32. *Parks, Trails, and Open Space ("PTOS") Plan* means the plan for developing the parks, trails, and open space in the Project as specified in the PTOS Plan, Exhibit "C".
- 1.2.33. *Parties* means the Master Developer, and the County.
- 1.2.34. *Party* means either the Master Developer, or the County individually.
- 1.2.35. *Phase* means the development of a portion of the Project at a point in a logical sequence as determined by Master Developer.
- 1.2.36. *Prior Agreements* means any and all prior development agreements or conditional use permits pertaining to the general development layout of the Property, including
- 1.2.37. *Private Improvements* means those elements of infrastructure needed for the completion of a Development which are not planned to be dedicated to the County.
- 1.2.38. *Project* means the total development to be constructed on the Property pursuant to this MDA with the associated public and private facilities, Intended Uses, Maximum RDUs, and all of the other aspects approved as part of this MDA.
- 1.2.39. *Property* means the approximately XXX acres as illustrated on Exhibit "B" and legally described in Exhibit "A".
- 1.2.40. *Public Infrastructure* means those elements of infrastructure that are planned to be dedicated to the County or other respective public entity as a condition of the approval of a Development Application including, but not limited to, the roads, drainage plan, and utilities.
- 1.2.41. *Residential Dwelling Unit ("RDU")* means a single unit intended to be occupied for residential living purpose.
- 1.2.42. *Subdeveloper* means a person or an entity not "related" (as defined by Internal Revenue Service regulations) to Master Developer which purchases a Parcel for development.
- 1.2.43. *Subdivision* means the division of any portion of the Project into developable lots pursuant to CLUDMA.
- 1.2.44. Subdivision Application means the application to create a Subdivision.
- 1.2.45. *System Improvements* means those components of the Public Infrastructure that are defined as such under the Utah Impact Fees Act.
- 1.2.46. *Technical Guidelines* means a detailed listing of those engineering and other technical requirements for the development of the Public Infrastructure and the Private Improvements that may be different from

Commented [BB1]: Note to County: I am still working on this but my files are at home. Regarding the existing CC&R's please see Section 2. those otherwise applicable under the County's Vested Laws as specified in Exhibit "D".

1.2.47. *Zoning* means the zoning of the Property as RE 20.

2. <u>Effect of MDA</u>. Except as specified herein, this MDA shall be the sole development agreement between the parties related to the Project and the Property. The Prior Agreements are hereby novated and superseded and shall be of no effect regarding the Property. The County and Master Developer shall record a Notice with the County Recorder of that novation in the chain of title of the Property. The CC&Rs dated and recorded as are not subject to this ARMDA and are recognized and acknowledged as being in full force and effect for Property.

3. Development of the Project.

3.1. **Compliance with this MDA.** Development of the Project shall be in accordance with the County's Vested Laws, the County's Future Laws (only to the extent that these are applicable as otherwise specified in this MDA), and this MDA.

3.2. Land Uses within the Project, Configuration. The Master Plan reflects the general location and configuration of the Intended Uses and Open Space within the Project. The Master Plan provides the development requirements of the various aspects of the Project. Requirements not set forth in the Master Plan are controlled by the MDA, including the other exhibits thereto.

3.3. **Design Standards and Technical Guidelines.** The Project shall be engineered and designed pursuant to the County's Vested Laws except as those may be modified by the Design Standards or the Technical Guidelines. If there is any conflict between the Design Guidelines or the Technical Guidelines with the County's Vested Laws the Design Guidelines and/or the Technical Guidelines shall control.

3.4. **Maximum RDUs.** At Buildout of the Project, Master Developer shall be entitled to have developed the Maximum RDUs as specified in and pursuant to this MDA subject to the restrictions on RDUs of Master Developer's Property. Buildings ancillary to a primary residential use, churches, schools, municipal or other institutional/governmental and other similar non-residential uses shall not be counted as a Residential Dwelling Unit for purposes of the Maximum RDUs. The development of other Intended Uses as provided in this MDA shall not reduce the number of Maximum RDUs.

- 3.4.1. *Configuration of Maximum RDU's.* The general configuration of the Maximum RDU's is identified in the Master Plan. The Master Plan reflects the general location and configuration of PTOS, and residential uses within the Project.
- 3.4.2. *Market Rate*. All of the RDUs in the Project are market rate units and there is no "affordability" requirements for any of the RDUs.

3.5. **Master Developers' Discretion**. Nothing in this MDA shall obligate the Master Developer to construct the Project or any particular Phase therein or portion thereof, and the Master Developer shall have the discretion to determine whether to construct a particular Development or Phase based on such Master Developer's business judgment.

3.5.1. Concurrency Management of Future Development. Any phasing shall ensure appropriate access, fire protection, utilities, and other

Commented [BB2]: I will fill this in when I get to my files.

infrastructure for future phases and Master Developer shall seek the County's input on such issues prior to submitting a Development Application for such phasing. Once construction has begun on a specific Development or Phase, the relevant Master Developer or Subdeveloper(s) shall have the obligation to complete the public and private road, storm drain, water, and other improvements that are a condition of the approved Development Application for such Development.

3.6. Required Process.

- 3.6.1. *Approval Required Before Development*. A Development Application shall be submitted for any Development. Except as otherwise provided herein, no improvements shall be constructed within the Project without Master Developer or a Subdeveloper first obtaining approval of the Development Application for such Development from the County. Upon approval by the County of any Development Application, the Development related to such approval may be improved in accordance with the approved Development Application, subject to the terms, conditions, and provisions of the Development Application.
- 3.6.2. Building Permits. No building permit shall be issued by the County for construction of any Development unless Master Developer or a Subdeveloper has substantially completed the required infrastructure to comply with County requirements for phasing of infrastructure and completion of off-site improvements required by the relevant Development Application. Building permits shall be issued once any work required by the Development Application has gone under warranty. Except as provided in the County's Vested Laws, no buildings, improvements, or other structures shall be constructed within the Project without Master Developer and/or a Subdeveloper first obtaining an appropriate building permit(s), and/or grading and excavation permits, as applicable. Master Developer and/or a Subdeveloper may apply for and obtain a grading permit following approval of a preliminary Subdivision plat if Master Developer and/or a Subdeveloper has submitted and received approval of a site grading plan from the County Engineer and all required fees are paid.
- 3.6.3. **County and Other Governmental Agency Permits.** Before commencement of construction or Development of any buildings, structures or other work or improvements upon any portion of the Project, Master Developer or a Subdeveloper shall, at its expense, secure, or cause to be secured, any and all permits which may be required by the County or any other governmental entity having jurisdiction over the work. The County shall reasonably cooperate with Master Developer or a Subdeveloper in seeking to secure such permits from other governmental entities.
- 3.6.4. *Fees.* Master Developer or a Subdeveloper shall pay to the County the standard fees applicable to any submittal of a Development Application under the County's fee schedule in effect at the time of the application.
- 3.6.5. *County Cooperation and Approval.* The County shall cooperate reasonably and in good faith in promptly processing and reviewing all Development

Applications in accordance with the procedures identified in this MDA. Development Applications shall be approved by the County if such Development Applications comply with the applicable portions of the County's Vested Laws, the County's Future Laws (if applicable, and this MDA.

3.6.6. Outsourcing of Processing of Development Applications.

- 3.6.6.1. <u>County Processing.</u> The provisions of Section 3.5.6 and 3.5.11 shall not apply to any Development Application being processed by the County, either directly or as an outsource from another jurisdiction, under the authority of the County Commission using the County's Vested Laws.
- 3.6.6.2. <u>Timing</u>. Within fifteen (15) business days after receipt of a Development Application and upon the request of Master Developer, the County and Master Developer will confer to determine whether the County desires to Outsource the review of any aspect of the Development Application to ensure that it is processed on a timely basis.
- 3.6.6.3. <u>Election/Cost Estimate.</u> If the County or Master Developer determines in either of their its discretion that Outsourcing is appropriate to meet review timeliness requirements of State Code, then the County shall promptly estimate the reasonably anticipated differential cost of Outsourcing in the manner selected by the County in good faith consultation with the Master Developer or Subdeveloper (either overtime to County employees or the hiring of a County Consultant). If the Master Developer or a Subdeveloper notifies the County that it desires to proceed with the Outsourcing based on the County's estimate of costs, then the Master Developer or Subdeveloper or Subdeveloper shall deposit in advance with the County the estimated differential cost and the County shall then promptly proceed with having the work Outsourced.
- 3.6.6.4. <u>Compliance with Applicable Codes.</u> Any Outsourced work shall be performed pursuant to applicable standards including, but not limited to, the County's Vested Laws, Federal law, State Code, and any adopted uniform standards such as AASHTO, the IBC and the IFC.
- 3.6.6.5. <u>Final Payment.</u> Upon completion of the Outsourcing Work and the provision by the County of an invoice (with such reasonable supporting documentation as may be requested by Master Developer or Subdeveloper) for the actual differential cost (whether by way of paying a County Consultant or paying overtime to County employees) of Outsourcing, Master Developer or the Subdeveloper shall, within ten (10) business days pay or receive credit (as the case may be) for any difference between the estimated differential cost deposited for the

Outsourcing and the actual cost differential. Any dispute regarding his section shall be resolved pursuant to the Dispute Resolution Processes.

- 3.6.6.6. <u>Acceptance of Outsourced Work.</u> The County shall accept the results of any Outsourced Work under this section unless the County determines that the Outsourced Work has not been performed pursuant to County standards or is materially incorrect. If the County does not give Master Developer Notice within ten (10) business days of receiving the Outsourced Work that the County disputes the acceptability of the Outsourced Work, then the County shall be deemed to have accepted the Outsourced Work, shall be subject to the Dispute Resolution Process.
- 3.6.7. Acceptance of Certifications Required for Development Applications. Any Development Application requiring the signature, endorsement, or certification and/or stamping by a person holding a license or professional certification required by the State of Utah in a particular discipline shall be so signed, endorsed, certified or stamped signifying that the contents of the Development Application comply with the applicable regulatory standards of the County.
- 3.6.8. *Independent Technical Analyses for Development Applications.* If the County needs technical expertise beyond the County's internal resources to determine impacts of a Development Application such as for structures, bridges, water tanks, and other similar matters which are not required by the County's Vested Laws to be certified by such experts as part of a Development Application, the County may engage such experts as County Consultants, with the actual and reasonable costs, being the responsibility of Applicant.
- 3.6.9. *Intent of One-Time Review.* The County should endeavor to make all of its redlines, comments or suggestions at the time of the first review of the Development Application unless any changes to the Development Application raise new issues that need to be addressed.
- 3.6.10. *County Denial of a Development Application*. If the County denies a Development Application the County shall provide with the denial a Notice advising the Applicant of the reasons for denial including specifying the reasons the County believes that the Development Application is not consistent with this MDA, the Master Plan, and/or any applicable County's Vested Laws (or, if applicable, the County's Future Laws).
- 3.6.11. *Dispute Resolution.* The County's denial of any Development Application shall be subject to the Dispute Resolution Processes.
- 3.6.12. County Denials of Development Applications Based on Denials from Non-County Agencies. If the County's denial of a Development Application is based on the denial of the Development Application by a

Non-County Agency, Master Developer shall appeal any such denial through the appropriate procedures for such a decision and not through the processes specified herein.

3.6.13. *Construction Prior to Completion of Infrastructure*. Master Developer may apply for and obtain Building Permits and/or temporary Certificates of Occupancy for uninhabited model homes, home shows, sales offices, construction offices or similar uses prior to the installation of all Public Infrastructure and Improvements required to be eventually completed so long as such installation is secured consistent with the County's Vested Laws including the requirements for fire protection. No permanent Certificate of Occupancy shall be issued by the County, except in compliance with the County's Code.

3.6.14. Outsourcing of Inspections.

- 3.6.14.1. <u>County Processing.</u> The provisions of Section 3.5.14 shall not apply to any inspections being performed by the County, either directly or as an outsource from another jurisdiction, under the authority of the County Commission using the County's Vested Laws.
- 3.6.14.2. <u>Timing.</u> Within fifteen (15) business days after receipt of a request from Master Developer to Outsource the inspections of the construction of any Development, the County and Master Developer will confer to determine whether the County desires to Outsource the inspections to ensure that they are processed on a timely basis.
- 3.6.14.3. <u>Election/Cost Estimate.</u> If the County or Master Developer determines in either of their discretion that Outsourcing is appropriate, then the County shall promptly estimate the reasonably anticipated differential cost of Outsourcing in the manner selected by the County in good faith consultation with the Master Developer or Subdeveloper (either overtime to County employees or the hiring of a County Consultant). If the Master Developer or a Subdeveloper notifies the County that it desires to proceed with the Outsourcing based on the County's estimate of costs, then the Master Developer or Subdeveloper or Subdeveloper shall deposit in advance with the County the estimated differential cost and the County shall then promptly precede with having the work Outsourced.
- 3.6.14.4. <u>Compliance with Applicable Codes.</u> Any Outsourced work shall be performed pursuant to applicable standards including, but not limited to, the County's Vested Laws, Federal law, State Code, and any adopted uniform standards such as AASHTO, the IBC and the IFC.
- 3.6.14.5. <u>Final Payment.</u> Upon completion of the Outsourcing services and the provision by the County of an invoice (with such

reasonable supporting documentation as may be requested by Master Developer or Subdeveloper) for the actual differential cost (whether by way of paying a County Consultant or paying overtime to County employees) of Outsourcing, Master Developer or the Subdeveloper shall, within ten (10) business days pay or receive credit (as the case may be) for any difference between the estimated differential cost deposited for the Outsourcing and the actual cost differential. Any dispute regarding his section shall be resolved pursuant to the Dispute Resolution Processes.

3.6.14.6. <u>Acceptance of Outsourced Work.</u> The County shall accept the results of any outsourced decision under this section without any further review by the County.

3.7. **Parcel Sales.** The County acknowledges that the precise location and details of the public improvements, lot layout and design, and any other similar item regarding the development of a particular Parcel may not be known at the time of the creation of or sale of a Parcel. Master Developer may obtain approval of a Parcel in any manner allowed by law. If, pursuant to <u>Utah Code Ann.</u> § 17-27a-103 (2024), there are no individually developable lots in the Parcel, the creation of the Parcel would not be subject to subdivision requirement in the County's Vested Laws including the requirement to complete or provide security for any Public Infrastructure at the time of the creation of the Parcel. The responsibility for the Master Developer or a Subdeveloper upon a subsequent Subdivision of the Parcel that creates individually developable lots. An instrument shall be recorded specifying the material details of any Parcel sale such as the number of acres, number of units and any other material information regarding what rights and/or obligations are being sold. The recorded instrument shall be signed by Master Developer and the buyer. The County shall also sign acknowledging that it has notice of the sale and that the recorded instrument complies with this subsection.

3.8. Accounting for RDUs for Developments by Master Developer. At the recordation of a final plat or other approved and recorded instrument for any Development developed by Master Developer that includes RDUs, Master Developer shall provide the County a Development Report showing any RDUs used with the Development and the RDUs remaining with Master Developer and for the entire remaining Project.

3.9. **Development Report.** With any Development Application, Master Developer shall file a Development Report showing:

- 3.9.1. **Ownership** of the property subject to the Development Application;
- 3.9.2. *Maximum RDUs.* The total number of RDUs allowed in the Bridges development, including areas not affected by this Agreement;
- 3.9.3. *Units Previously Platted.* The number of RDUs previously platted within any part of the Bridges development, including areas not affected by this Agreement, and their percentage of the Maximum RDUs;
- 3.9.4. **Ongoing Application Units.** The number of RDUs that are part of a submitted but not yet platted subdivision application, and their percentage of the Maximum RDUs;

- 3.9.5. *Units Proposed to be Developed.* The number of RDUs intended to be platted by the proposed Development, and their percentage of the Maximum RDUs;
- 3.9.6. *Units Transferred or Remaining.* The number of RDUs remaining with Master Developer and their percentage of the Maximum RDUs;
- 3.9.7. *Parks, Trails, and Open Space.* The amount, type, location, and timing of any Parks, Trails, and Open Space, including the percentage of acreage for Parks and Open Space, or linear feet of trails, separating paved trail quantities from soft trail quantities, together with all of their respective percentage of totals proposed in the PTOS; and
- 3.9.8. Material Effects. Any material effects of the sale on the Master Plan.

3.10. Accounting for Used or Transferred RDUs. Master Developer is responsible for the accounting of, disposition of, or use of all RDUs within the Project. County shall have no obligation or authority to oversee, regulate, or mediate Master Developer's sale or other transfer of RDUs to any other party owning land within the Project, provided that their use is in compliance with this MDA and County Laws.

3.11. **Phasing.** The County acknowledges that Master Developer may develop the Project in Phases. No sequential phasing is implied by any numbering in the Master Plan. The Parties acknowledge that the most efficient and economic development of the Project depends on numerous factors, such as market conditions and demand, infrastructure planning, competition, the public interest, and other similar factors.

- 3.11.1. The Development Application for each Phase shall establish that the needs of future phases for Public Infrastructure are properly accounted for.
- 3.11.2. Prior to or with a Development Application for the 31st permit for building an RDU under this ARMDA, Master Developer shall cause the completion of Snowflake Drive's street connection to the Project.
- 3.11.3. Prior to or with the Development Application for the 100th permit for building an RDU under this ARMDA, Master Developer shall cause the completion of Fairways Drive where this Project, or any part of the previously platted portions of the Bridges, is directly adjacent.
- 3.11.4. Prior to or with the Development Application permit for building the 31st RDU under this ARMDA, Master Developer shall provide the Project a secondary emergency egress other than one that relies on Highway 158 as illustrated on Exhibit "B". If this egress is the remainder of the incomplete portion of Fairways Drive connection to 4100 North to the west, it shall be improved to a typical fire egress road and be maintained by Master Developer as such through the duration of its completion by others as a public street. I If Master Developer completes this portion of Fairways Drive to County standards at its own expense it shall have the right to do so and may pursue the reimbursement provisions herein. Unless a reimbursement method is later agreed on by the Parties

otherwise in writing, County shall waive the transportation impact fee for each building permit in the Project.

3.11.5. Except as specified herein, the development of the Project in Phases shall be in the sole discretion of Master Developer.

3.12. Short Term Rentals. Short term rentals shall be allowed as a permitted use for all RDUs in the Project.

4. Zoning and Vested Rights.

4.1. Vested Rights Granted by Approval of this MDA. To the maximum extent permissible under the laws of Utah and the United States and at equity, the County and Master Developer intend that this MDA grants to Master Developer all rights to develop the Project in fulfillment of this MDA except as specifically provided herein. The Parties intend that the rights granted to Master Developer under this MDA are contractual and also those rights that exist under statute, common law and at equity. The Parties specifically intend that this MDA grants to Master Developer "vested rights" as that term is construed in Utah's common law and pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 17-27a-508 (2024).

4.2. **Exceptions.** The restrictions on the applicability of the County's Future Laws to the Project as specified in Section 4.1 are subject to only the following exceptions:

- 4.2.1. *Master Developer Agreement.* County's Future Laws that Master Developer agrees in writing to the application thereof to the Project;
- 4.2.2. *State and Federal Compliance.* County's Future Laws which are generally applicable to all properties in the County, and which are required to comply with State and Federal laws and regulations affecting the Project;
- 4.2.3. *Codes.* County's Future Laws that are updates or amendments to existing building, plumbing, mechanical, electrical, dangerous buildings, drainage, or similar construction or safety related codes, such as the International Building Code, the APWA Specifications, AAHSTO Standards, the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices or similar standards that are generated by a nationally or statewide recognized construction/safety organization, or by the State or Federal governments and are required to meet legitimate concerns related to public health, safety or welfare;
- 4.2.4. Taxes. Taxes, or modifications thereto, so long as such taxes are lawfully imposed and charged uniformly by the County to all properties, applications, persons, and entities similarly situated;
- 4.2.5. *Fees.* Changes to the amounts of fees (but not changes to the times provided in the County's Vested Laws for the imposition or collection of such fees) for the processing of Development Applications that are generally applicable to all development within the County (or a portion of the County as specified in the lawfully adopted fee schedule) and which are adopted pursuant to State law;

4.2.6. Compelling, Countervailing Interest. Laws, rules or regulations that the County's land use authority finds, on the record, are necessary to avoid jeopardizing a compelling, countervailing public interest pursuant to <u>Utah</u> Code Ann. § 17-27a-508(1)(a)(ii) (2024).

4.3. **Reserved Legislative Powers.** The Parties acknowledge that under the laws of the State of Utah (including <u>Utah Code Ann.</u> § 17-27a-528 (2023)) and the United States, the County's authority to limit its police power by contract has certain restrictions. As such, the limitations, reservations, and exceptions set forth herein are intended to reserve for the County those police powers that cannot be so limited. Notwithstanding the retained power of the County to enact such legislation under the County's police powers, such legislation shall only be applied to modify the vested rights of the Master Developer under the terms of this MDA based upon the policies, facts, and circumstances meeting the compelling, countervailing public interest exception to the vested rights doctrine in the State of Utah. Any such proposed legislative changes affecting the vested rights of the Master Developer under this MDA shall be of general application to all development activity in the County and, unless the County declares an emergency, Master Developer shall be entitled to prior written notice and an opportunity to be heard with respect to any proposed change and its applicability to the Project under the compelling, countervailing public interest exception to the vested rights doctrine.

5. <u>Term of Agreement</u>. The initial term of this MDA shall be until December 31, 2034. If as of that date, Master Developer is in compliance of this MDA and has not been declared to be in default as provided in Section 13, or if a default has been declared but has been cured or is in the process of being cured as provided therein, then this MDA shall be automatically extended until December 31, 2039, and, thereafter, for two (2) additional period of five (5) years provided the forgoing condition is true. This MDA shall also terminate automatically at Buildout.

6. <u>Application Under County's Future Laws.</u> Without waiving any rights granted by this MDA, Master Developer may at any time, and from time-to-time, choose to submit a Development Application for some or all of the Project under the County's Future Laws in effect at the time of the Development Application so long as Master Developer is not in current breach of this Agreement. Any Development Application filed for consideration under the County's Future Laws shall be governed by all portions of the County's Future Laws related to the Development Application. The election by Master Developer at any time to submit a Development Application under the County's Future Laws shall not be construed to prevent Master Developer from applying for other Development Applications on the County's Vested Laws. Subdevelopers may not submit a Development Application under the County's Future Laws without the consent of the Master Developer.

7. <u>Public Infrastructure</u>.

7.1. **Construction by Master Developer.** Master Developer shall have the right and the obligation to construct or cause to be constructed and installed, all Public Infrastructure reasonably and lawfully required as a condition of approval of the Development Application.

7.1.1. Security for Public Infrastructure. If, and to the extent required by the County's Vested Laws, unless otherwise provided by CLUDMA, security for any Public Infrastructure is required by the County it shall be provided in a form acceptable to the County (which may include security based on real property) as specified in the County's Vested Laws. Partial releases of any such required security shall be made as work progresses based on CLUDMA and the County Vested Laws.

7.1.2. **Bonding for Landscaping.** Security for the completion of those items of landscaping that are weather or water dependent shall be provided as required by the County's Vested Laws in conformance with CLUDMA.

7.2. **Dedication of Public Improvements.** All of the infrastructure and improvements dedicated to the County pursuant hereto shall be constructed to the County's standard specifications unless otherwise agreed in this MDA or otherwise and shall be subject to County requirements for the payment of property taxes, inspections, and approval before acceptance by the County. The County shall accept such dedication, including, but not limited to, public roads, after payment of all taxes and fees and inspection and correction of any deficiency or failure to meet County standards.

8. Upsizing/Reimbursements to Master Developer.

8.1. **"Upsizing".** The County shall not require Master Developer to "upsize" any future Public Infrastructure (i.e., to construct the infrastructure to a size larger than required to service the Project) unless financial arrangements that are mutually acceptable to Master Developer and County are made to compensate Master Developer for the incremental or additive costs of such upsizing. For example, if an upsize to a water pipe size increases Master Developer's costs by 10% but adds 50% more capacity, the County shall only be responsible to compensate Master Developer for the 10% cost increase. Acceptable financial arrangements for upsizing of improvements include reimbursement agreements, payback agreements, pioneering agreements, and impact fee credits and reimbursements.

8.2. **Dispute Resolution**. Any dispute regarding this section shall be resolved pursuant to the Dispute Resolution Processes.

9. Parks, Trails, and Open Space.

9.1. **PTOS Plan.** All aspects of the parks, trails and open space for the Project shall be as specified in the PTOS Plan, Exhibit "C" and as follows. The percentage of RDUs proposed from the Maximum RDUs in any Development Application shall be the same percentage or no more than 15% less than the percentage of Open Space acreage from the overall proposed Open Space acreage, and the percentage of linear feet of trail from the overall proposed linear feet of trail, as provided in the PTOS Plan. For the Open Space acreage, the nearest Open Space acreage reasonably available shall be provided. For the trails, linear feet shall be added to the 10-foot wide paved trail when a proposed RDU or lot is located within 660 feet of the planned location of the 10-foot wide paved trail; otherwise linear feet shall be added to any trail as determined by Developer.

10. On-Site Processing of Natural Materials/Mass Grading.

10.1. **On-Site Processing.** Subject to the objective standards in the Design Guidelines, Master Developer shall have the right as a permitted use to use the natural materials located on the Property such as sand, gravel, and rock, and may process such natural materials into construction materials such as aggregate, topsoil or concrete for use in the construction of infrastructure, homes or other buildings or improvements located in the Project and for use on other locations outside the Project owned or controlled by parties related to Master Developer within a three (3) mile radius of the Project. Master Developer shall obtain a land disturbance permit from the County prior to extracting or processing the natural materials on the Property. If the proposed excavation for the use of the natural materials as contemplated in this section is consistent with standards specified in the Design Guidelines, then it shall be approved by the Administrator irrespective of whether the proposed grading is in conjunction with a Subdivision or just the grading by itself. The County shall issue a land disturbance permit if the standards of this section are satisfied. Any dispute regarding this section shall be resolved pursuant to the Dispute Resolution Processes.

10.2. **Mass Grading.** Subject to the objective standards in the Design Guidelines, Master Developer shall also have the right as a permitted use to mass grade the site of the Project and grade the roads within the Project without obtaining any permits from the County. The mass grading and road grading shall be in the approximate locations of the development and road areas of the Project as generally illustrated on the Master Plan, Exhibit "B".

11. Default.

11.1. **Notice.** If Master Developer or a Subdeveloper or the County fails to perform their respective obligations hereunder or to comply with the terms hereof, the party believing that a Default has occurred shall provide Notice to the other party. If the County believes that the Default has been committed by a Subdeveloper then the County shall also provide a courtesy copy of the Notice to Master Developer.

- 11.2. Contents of the Notice of Default. The Notice of Default shall:
 - 11.2.1. Specific Claim. Specify the claimed event of Default;
 - 11.2.2. *Applicable Provisions.* Identify with particularity the provisions of any applicable law, rule, regulation, or provision of this MDA that is claimed to be in Default;
 - 11.2.3. Materiality. Identify why the Default is claimed to be material; and
 - 11.2.4. *Optional Cure.* If the County chooses, in its discretion, it may propose a method and time for curing the Default which shall be of no less than thirty (30) days duration.
 - 11.2.5. Dispute Resolution. Upon the issuance of a Notice of Default or, if the optional curing period is provided, upon failure to timely cure a claimed Default, the Parties shall engage in the Dispute Resolution Processes.

11.3. **Remedies.** If the Parties are not able to resolve the Default by the Dispute Resolution Processes, then the Parties may have the following remedies:

- 11.3.1. *Law and Equity.* All rights and remedies available in law and equity including, but not limited to, injunctive relief and/or specific performance.
- 11.3.2. *Security.* The right to draw on any security posted or provided in connection with the Project and relating to remedying of the particular Default.
- 11.3.3. *Future Approvals.* The right to withhold all further applications, reviews, approvals, licenses, building permits and/or other permits for development of the Project. in the case of a default by Master Developer, or in the case of a default by a Subdeveloper, development of those Parcels owned by the Subdeveloper until the Default has been cured. No approvals, licenses, building permits, or other permits may be withheld from any Subdeveloper for a Default of Master Developer.

11.4. **Public Meeting.** Before any remedy in Section 13.3 may be imposed by the County, the party allegedly in Default shall be afforded the right to attend a public meeting before the County

Commission and address the claimed Default.

11.5. **Emergency Defaults.** Anything in this MDA notwithstanding, if the County Commission finds on the record that a default materially impairs a compelling, countervailing interest of the County and that any delays in imposing such a default would also impair a compelling, countervailing interest of the County, then the County may impose the remedies of Section 13.3 without the requirements of Sections 13.4. The County shall give Notice to the Developer and/or any applicable Subdeveloper of any public meeting at which an emergency default is to be considered and the Developer and/or any applicable Subdeveloper shall be allowed to address the County Commission at that meeting regarding the claimed emergency Default.

11.6. **Extended Cure Period.** If any Default cannot be reasonably cured within thirty (30) days, then such cure period shall be extended so long as the defaulting Party is pursuing a cure with reasonable diligence. The burden of proof of reasonable diligence shall be on the defaulting Party.

11.7. **Default of Assignee.** A default of any obligations assumed by an assignee shall not be deemed a default of Master Developer.

12. <u>Dispute Resolution</u>. Unless otherwise provided in the MDA, any Dispute shall be resolved as follows.

12.1. Meet and Confer regarding Development Application Denials. The County and Applicant shall meet within fifteen (15) business days of any Dispute to resolve the issues specified in the Dispute.

12.2. Mediation of Disputes.

- 12.2.1. *Issues Subject to Mediation.* Disputes that are not subject to arbitration provided in Section 14.3 shall be mediated.
- 12.2.2. *Mediation Process.* If the County and Applicant are unable to resolve a Dispute that is subject to mediation, the parties shall attempt within ten (10) business days to appoint a mutually acceptable mediator with knowledge of the legal or factual issue of the Dispute. If the Parties are unable to agree on a single acceptable mediator, they shall each within ten (10) business days, appoint their own representative. These two representatives shall, between them, choose the single mediator. Applicant shall pay the fees of the chosen mediator. The chosen mediator shall within fifteen (15) business days, review the positions of the parties regarding the Dispute and promptly attempt to mediate the Dispute between the parties. If the parties are unable to reach agreement, the mediator shall notify the parties in writing of the resolution that the mediator deems appropriate. The mediator's opinion shall not be binding on the parties.

12.3. Arbitration of Disputes.

12.3.1. *Issues Subject to Arbitration.* Issues regarding a Dispute that are subject to resolution by scientific or technical experts such as traffic impacts, water quality impacts, pollution impacts, etc. are subject to arbitration.

- 12.3.2. *Mediation Required Before Arbitration*. Prior to any arbitration the parties shall first attempt mediation as specified in Section 14.2.
- 12.3.3. Arbitration Process. If the County and Applicant are unable to resolve an issue through mediation, the parties shall attempt within ten (10) business days to appoint a mutually acceptable expert in the professional discipline(s) of the Dispute. If the parties are unable to agree on a single acceptable arbitrator, they shall each, within ten (10) business days, appoint their own individual appropriate expert. These two experts shall, between them, choose the single arbitrator. Applicant shall pay the fees of the chosen arbitrator. The chosen arbitrator shall within fifteen (15) business days, review the positions of the parties regarding the arbitration issue and render a decision. The arbitrator shall ask the prevailing party to draft a proposed order for consideration and objection by the other side. Upon adoption by the arbitrator, and consideration of such objections, the arbitrator's decision shall be final and binding upon both parties. If the arbitrator determines as a part of the decision that the County's position was not only incorrect but was also maintained unreasonably and not in good faith, then the arbitrator may order the County to pay the arbitrator's fees.

12.4. **District Court.** If the Dispute is not subject to arbitration then, after exhausting the Meet and Confer and Mediation processes above the Parties may seek relief in the Second District Court.

13. <u>Notices.</u> All notices required or permitted under this Amended Development Agreement shall, in addition to other means of transmission, be given in writing by certified mail and regular mail to the following address:

To Master Developer:	Bridges Holding Company, LLC Attn: Mr. John Lewis 3718 North Wolf Creek Drive Eden, Utah 84310 jlewis@evoutah.com
With a Copy to:	Bruce R. Baird, Esq. Bruce R. Baird PLLC 2150 South 1300 East, Fifth Floor Salt Lake County, UT 84106 <u>bbaird@difficultdirt.com</u>
To County:	Weber County Attn: Commission Chair 2389 Washington Blvd Ogden, UT 84401
With a Copy to:	Weber County Attn: Deputy County Attorney 2389 Washington Blvd Ogden, UT 84401 <u>Chris Crockett</u>

13.1. **Effectiveness of Notice.** Except as otherwise provided in this MDA, each Notice shall be effective and shall be deemed delivered on the earlier of:

- 13.1.1. Hand Delivery. The day it is delivered personally or by courier service.
- 13.1.2. *Electronic Delivery.* Its actual receipt if delivered electronically by email provided that a copy of the email is printed out in physical form and mailed or personally delivered as set forth herein on the same day and the sending party has an electronic receipt of the delivery of the Notice. If the copy is not sent on the same day, then notice shall be deemed effective the date that the mailing or personal delivery occurs.
- 13.1.3. *Mailing.* On the day the Notice is postmarked for mailing, postage prepaid, by First Class or Certified United States Mail and actually deposited in or delivered to the United States Mail. Any party may change its address for Notice under this MDA by giving written Notice to the other party in accordance with the provisions of this Section.

14. Administrative Modifications.

14.1. Allowable Administrative Applications: The following modifications to the applicability of this MDA may be considered and approved by the Administrator.

- 14.1.1. *Infrastructure*. Modification of the location and/or sizing of the infrastructure for the Project that does not materially change the functionality of the infrastructure.
- 14.1.2. *Minor Amendment*. Any other modification deemed to be a minor routine and uncontested modification by the Administrator. An allowable minor modification shall NOT include changes in uses, minimum size of lots, or Maximum RDUs.

14.2. **Application to Administrator.** Applications for Administrative Modifications shall be filed with the Administrator.

14.3. Administrator's Review of Administrative Modification. The Administrator shall consider and decide upon the Administrative Modification within a reasonable time not to exceed forty-five (45) days from the date of submission of a complete application for an Administrative Modification. If the Administrator approves the Administrative Modification, the Administrator shall record notice of such approval against the applicable portion of the Property in the official County records.

14.3.1. Referral as Amendment. The Administrator may determine that any proposed Administrative Modification should be processed as an Amendment pursuant to Section 17.

14.4. **Appeal of Administrator's Denial of Administrative Modification.** If the Administrator denies any proposed Administrative Modification, the Applicant may process the proposed Administrative Modification as a Modification Application.

15. Amendment. Except for Administrative Modifications, any future amendments to this MDA

shall be considered as Modification Applications subject to the following processes.

15.1. Who May Submit Modification Applications. Only the County and Master Developer with the consent of the Master Developer or an assignee that succeeds to all of the rights and obligations of the Master Developer under this MDA (and not including a Subdeveloper) may submit a Modification Application.

- 15.2. Modification Application Contents. Modification Applications shall:
 - 15.2.1. *Identification of Property.* Identify the property or properties affected by the Modification Application.
 - 15.2.2. *Description of Effect.* Describe the effect of the Modification Application on the affected portions of the Project.
 - 15.2.3. *Identification of Non-County Agencies.* Identify any Non-County agencies potentially having jurisdiction over the Modification Application.
 - 15.2.4. *Map.* Provide a map of any affected property and all property within three hundred feet (300') showing the present or Intended Uses of all such properties.

15.3. Fee. Modification Applications shall be accompanied by a fee as adopted by the County and as amended from time to time.

15.4. **County Cooperation in Processing Modification Applications.** The County shall cooperate reasonably in promptly and fairly processing Modification Applications.

15.5. Planning Commission Review of Modification Applications.

- 15.5.1. *Review.* All aspects of a Modification Application required by law to be reviewed by the Planning Commission shall be considered by the Planning Commission as soon as reasonably possible in accordance with the County's Vested Laws in light of the nature and/or complexity of the Modification Application and based on the ongoing workload of the applicable reviewers.
- 15.5.2. **Recommendation.** The Planning Commission's vote on the Modification Application shall be only a recommendation and shall not have any binding or evidentiary effect on the consideration of the Modification Application by the Commission.

15.6. **Commission Review of Modification Application.** After the Planning Commission, if required by law, has made or been deemed to have made its recommendation for the Modification Application, the Commission shall consider the Modification Application.

15.7. Commission's Objections to Modification Applications. If the Commission objects to the Modification Application, the Commission shall provide a written determination advising the Applicant of the reasons for denial, including specifying the reasons the County believes that the Modification Application is not consistent with the intent of this MDA and/or the County's Vested Laws

(or, only to the extent permissible under this MDA, the County's Future Laws).

15.8. **Disputes.** Any dispute regarding this section shall be resolved pursuant to the Dispute Resolution Processes.

16. <u>Estoppel Certificate</u>. Upon twenty (20) days prior written request by Master Developer or a Subdeveloper, the County will execute an estoppel certificate to any third party certifying that Master Developer or a Subdeveloper, as the case may be, at that time is not in default of the terms of this Agreement.

17. <u>Attorney's Fees</u>. In addition to any other relief, the prevailing Party in any action, whether at law, in equity or by arbitration, to enforce any provision of this MDA shall be entitled to its costs of action including a reasonable attorneys' fee. This shall not apply to mediation in accordance with Section 14.2.

18. <u>Headings.</u> The captions used in this MDA are for convenience only and are not intended to be substantive provisions or evidence of intent.

19. No Third-Party Rights/No Joint Venture. This MDA does not create a joint venture relationship, partnership or agency relationship between the County, and Master Developer. Further, the Parties do not intend this MDA to create any third-party beneficiary rights. The Parties acknowledge that this MDA refers to a private development and that the County has no interest in, responsibility for or duty to any third parties concerning any improvements to the Property unless the County has accepted the dedication of such improvements at which time all rights and responsibilities, except for warranty bond requirements under County's Vested Laws and as allowed by State law, for the dedicated public improvement shall be the County's.

20. <u>Assignability</u>. The rights and responsibilities of Master Developer under this MDA may be assigned in whole or in part by Master Developer with the consent of the County as provided herein.

20.1. Sale of Lots. Master Developer's selling or conveying lots in any approved Subdivision or Parcels to builders, users, or Subdevelopers, shall not be deemed to be an "assignment" subject to the above-referenced approval by the County unless specifically designated as such an assignment by Master Developer.

20.2. **Related Entity.** Master Developer's transfer of all or any part of the Property to any entity "related" to any Master Developer (as defined by regulations of the Internal Revenue Service), Master Developer's entry into a joint venture for the development of the Project or Master Developer's pledging of part or all of the Project as security for financing shall also not be deemed to be an "assignment" subject to the above-referenced approval by the County unless specifically designated as such an assignment by Master Developer. Master Developer shall give the County Notice of any event specified in this sub-section within ten (10) days after the event has occurred. Such Notice shall include providing the County with all necessary contact information for the newly responsible party.

20.3. **Notice.** Master Developer shall give Notice to the County of any proposed assignment and provide such information regarding the proposed assignee that the County may reasonably request in making the evaluation permitted under this Section. Such Notice shall include providing the County with all necessary contact information for the proposed assignee.

20.4. **Time for Objection.** Unless the County objects in writing within ten (10) business days of notice, the County shall be deemed to have approved of and consented to the assignment.

20.5. **Partial Assignment.** If any proposed assignment is for less than all of Master Developer's rights and responsibilities, then the assignee shall be responsible for the performance of each of the obligations contained in this MDA to which the assignee succeeds. Upon any such approved partial assignment, Master Developer shall be released from any future obligations as to those obligations which are assigned but shall remain responsible for the performance of any obligations that were not assigned.

20.6. **County Objection.** The County may only withhold its consent if the County is not reasonably satisfied of the assignee's financial ability to perform the obligations of Master Developer proposed to be assigned or there is an existing breach of a development obligation owed to the County by the proposed assignee or related entity that has not either been cured or in the process of being cured in a manner acceptable to the County. The County may also deny any proposed assignment if the proposed assignee has a documented record of failing to perform on any other development projects in the County or elsewhere.

20.7. **Dispute Resolution.** Any dispute regarding this section shall be resolved pursuant to the Dispute Resolution Processes.

20.8. Assignees Bound by MDA. Any assignee shall consent in writing to be bound by the assigned terms and conditions of this MDA as a condition precedent to the effectiveness of the assignment.

21. <u>Binding Effect</u>. If Master Developer sells or conveys Parcels of lands to Subdevelopers or related parties, the lands so sold and conveyed shall bear the same rights, privileges, Intended Uses, configurations as are applicable to such Parcel and be subject to the same limitations and rights of the County as when owned by Master Developer and as set forth in this MDA without any required approval, review, or consent by the County except as otherwise provided herein. Except as otherwise stated in this MDA, such Subdevelopers and related parties shall be subject to the same obligations as Master Developer would be if the sale or conveyance had not occurred.

22. **No Waiver.** No waiver of any of the terms of this Agreement shall be valid unless in writing and expressly designated as such. Any forbearance or delay on the part of either party in enforcing any of its rights as set forth in this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver of such right for such occurrence or any other occurrence. Any waiver by either party of any breach of any kind or character whatsoever by the other shall not be construed as a continuing waiver of, or consent to any subsequent breach of this Agreement.

23. <u>Further Documentation.</u> This MDA is entered into by the Parties with the recognition and anticipation that subsequent agreements implementing and carrying out the provisions of this MDA may be necessary. The Parties shall negotiate in good faith with respect to all such future agreements.

24. <u>Severability</u>. If any provision of this MDA is held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid for any reason, the Parties consider and intend that this MDA shall be deemed amended to the extent necessary to make it consistent with such decision and the balance of this MDA shall remain in full force and affect.

25. <u>Force Majeure</u>. Any prevention, delay or stoppage of the performance of any obligation under this Agreement which is due to strikes, labor disputes, inability to obtain labor, materials, equipment or reasonable substitutes therefor; acts of nature, governmental restrictions, regulations or controls, judicial orders, enemy or hostile government actions, wars, civil commotions, fires or other casualties or other causes beyond the reasonable control of the Party obligated to perform hereunder shall excuse performance of the obligation by that Party for a period equal to the duration of that prevention, delay or stoppage.

26. <u>Time is of the Essence</u>. Time is of the essence to this MDA, and every right or responsibility shall be performed within the times specified.

27. <u>Appointment of Representatives</u>. To further the commitment of the parties to cooperate in the implementation of this MDA, the County and Master Developer each shall designate and appoint a representative to act as a liaison between the County and its various departments and Master Developer. The initial representative for the County shall be the Planning Division Director. The initial representative for Master Developer shall be Lewis Homes, Inc. The Parties may change their designated representatives by Notice. The representatives shall be available at all reasonable times to discuss and review the performance of the Parties to this MDA and the development of the Project.

28. <u>Rights of Access</u>. The County Engineer and other representatives of the County shall have a reasonable right of access to the Property, and all areas of development or construction done pursuant to this MDA during development and construction, to inspect or observe the work on the improvements and to make such inspections and tests as are allowed or required under the County regulations.

29. <u>Mutual Drafting</u>. Each party has participated in negotiating and drafting this MDA and therefore no provision of this MDA shall be construed for or against either party based on which party drafted any particular portion of this MDA.

30. <u>Applicable Law</u>. This MDA is entered into in Weber County in the State of Utah and shall be construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Utah irrespective of Utah's choice of law rules.

31. <u>Venue</u>. Any action to enforce this MDA shall be brought only in the Second District Court for the State of Utah, Utah County.

32. <u>Entire Agreement</u>. This MDA, and all Exhibits thereto, is the entire agreement between the Parties and may not be amended or modified except either as provided herein or by a subsequent written amendment signed by all Parties.

33. <u>Conflicts.</u> The County's Vested Laws shall apply to each Development Application except as the County's Vested Laws are expressly modified by this MDA (including any written provision in all exhibits thereto). For any conflict between Exhibits B - E and this MDA, this MDA shall prevail. For any conflict between Exhibits B - E and each other, the most restrictive for Master Developer shall apply. The Parties agree that the graphic depiction of the Project provided in Exhibits B - E are conceptual in nature designed to illustrate the general layout and configuration of the Project's streets, clusters of lots, trails, open spaces, and other amenities to which Master Developer shall be entitled. By nature of being conceptual, these exhibits may not show all specifics necessary for the Project to comply with all County's Vested Laws, which shall not be interpreted to be an exception to County's Vested Laws.

34. <u>Recordation and Running with the Land</u>. This MDA shall be recorded in the chain of title for the Property. This MDA shall be deemed to run with the land.

35. <u>Enforcement.</u> The Parties agree that a violation of this agreement constitutes a violation of the County's Vested Laws and the County shall have all enforcement remedies therein at its disposal; and that a violation of the County's Vested Laws constitutes a violation of this agreement and the County shall have all enforcement remedies herein at its disposal.

36. <u>Authority</u>. The Parties to this MDA each warrant that they have all of the necessary authority to execute this MDA. Specifically, on behalf of the County, the signature of the Commission Chair of the

County is affixed to this MDA lawfully binding the County pursuant to Ordinance No. _____ adopted by the County Commission on April __, 2025.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement by and through their respective, duly authorized representatives as of the day and year first herein above written.

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit "A"	Legal Description of The Property
Exhibit "B"	Master Plan
Exhibit "C"	PTOS Plan
Exhibit "D"	Technical Guidelines
Exhibit "E"	Design Guidelines
Exhibit "F"	County Vested Laws

[signatures on following pages]

<u>COUNTY</u>

Weber COUNTY

, Commission Chair

ATTEST

____, County Clerk/Auditor

Office of the County Attorney Approved as to form and legality

COUNTY ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF UTAH) :ss COUNTY OF WEBER)

On the _____ day of April, 2025, personally appeared before me Sharon Bolos duly sworn, did say that she is the Chairman of the County Commission of Weber County, a political subdivision of the State of Utah and that the foregoing instrument was duly authorized by the County pursuant to an Ordinance adopted at a lawful meeting of the County Commission.

NOTARY PUBLIC

MASTER DEVELOPER

Bridges Holding Company, LLC A Utah limited liability company

_____, Manager

MASTER DEVELOPER ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF UTAH) :ss COUNTY OF WEBER)

On the ______ day of April, 2025, personally appeared before me _______ duly sworn, did say that he is the Manager of **Bridges Holding Company, LLC**, a Utah limited liability company and that the foregoing instrument was duly authorized by the company at a lawful meeting held by authority of its operating agreement and signed in behalf of said company.

NOTARY PUBLIC

<u>EXHIBIT A</u>

LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

BRIDGES BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION

A PART OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 15 AND THE SOUTH HALF OF SECTION 16, AND THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 22 AND THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 21, TOWNSHIP 7 NORTH, RANGE 1 EAST, OF THE SALT LAKE BASE AND MERIDIAN. MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS.

BEGINNING AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 16; RUNNING THENCE ALONG THE SOUTH SECTION LINE OF SAID SECTION NORTH 89°27'01" WEST 3291.76 FEET; THENCE NORTH 00°23'38" EAST 2655.19 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89°28'10" EAST 2722.71 FEET TO THE WEST BOUNDARY LINE OF THE GROVE CABINS SUBDIVISION PHASE 1; RUNNING THENCE ALONG SAID WEST SUBDIVISION BOUNDARY THE FOLLOWING THIRTEEN (13) COURSES: (1) SOUTH 11°09'13" EAST 58.22 FEET; (2) THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF A 25.00 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT 32.48 FEET WITH A CHORD BEARING SOUTH 26°03'54" WEST 30.24 FEET; (3) ALONG THE ARC OF A 180.00 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE LEFT 292.75 FEET WITH A CHORD BEARING SOUTH 16°41'27" WEST 261.54 FEET: (4) SOUTH 29°54'08" EAST 55.60 FEET: (5) NORTH 60°05'52" EAST 60.00 FEET; (6) NORTH 66°41'22" EAST 12.87 FEET; (7) ALONG THE ARC OF A 5.39 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT 9.85 FEET WITH A CHORD BEARING SOUTH 57°22'43" EAST 8.53 FEET; (8) SOUTH 19°43'24" EAST 11.15 FEET; (9) ALONG THE ARC OF A 182.00 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT 75.39 FEET WITH A CHORD BEARING NORTH 81°52'10" EAST 74.85 FEET; (10) SOUTH 08°09'16" WEST 115.58 FEET; (11) SOUTH 77°46'56" EAST 102.59 FEET; (12) ALONG THE ARC OF A 275.00 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT 103.62 FEET WITH A CHORD BEARING SOUTH 66°59'15" EAST 103.01 FEET; (13) NORTH 33°48'26" EAST 137.60 FEET TO THE WEST SUBDIVISION LINE OF MOUNTAINSIDE SUBDIVISION PHASES 1 & 2; THENCE ALONG SAID WEST SUBDIVISION LINE THE FOLLOWING FOURTEEN (14) COURSES: (1) SOUTH 41°09'10" EAST 142.02 FEET; (2) SOUTH 26°35'30" EAST 69.69 FEET; (3) SOUTH 53°58'51" EAST 22.52 FEET; (4) SOUTH 20°27'46" EAST 325.62 FEET; (5) SOUTH 43°14'46" EAST 320.18 FEET; (6) SOUTH 29°27'11" EAST 133.15 FEET; (7) SOUTH 41°56'03" EAST 90.00 FEET; (8) SOUTH 40°27'51" EAST 87.66 FEET; (9) SOUTH 23°17'32" EAST 86.03 FEET; (10) SOUTH 10°14'13" EAST 171.74 FEET; (11) SOUTH 12°29'05" EAST 124.25 FEET; (12) SOUTH 18°32'40" EAST 105.78 FEET; (13) SOUTH 41°11'02" EAST 188.12 FEET; (14) NORTH 76°19'34" EAST 92.50 FEET TO THE WEST BOUNDARY LINE OF PARKSIDE SUBDIVISION PHASES 1, 2, AND 3; RUNNING THENCE ALONG SAID WEST SUBDIVISION LINE THE FOLLOWING THIRTY-EIGHT (38) COURSES: (1) SOUTH 67°07'22" WEST 161.45 FEET; (2) SOUTH 62°00'06" WEST 345.82 FEET; (3) SOUTH 76°28'51" WEST 50.67 FEET; (4) SOUTH 62°00'06" WEST 77.86 FEET; (5) SOUTH 27°14'54" EAST 110.01 FEET; (6) SOUTH 11°03'26" EAST 52.21 FEET; (7) SOUTH 28°53'55" EAST 104.63 FEET; (8) SOUTH 48°08'04" WEST 27.29 FEET; (9) SOUTH 37°09'52" EAST 110.00 FEET; (10) SOUTH 30°45'34" EAST 50.36 FEET; (11) SOUTH 38°29'56" EAST 110.00 FEET; (12) NORTH 68°26'02" EAST 122.28 FEET; (13) NORTH 82°26'55" EAST 161.28 FEET; (14) NORTH 72°57'22" EAST 98.73 FEET; (15) NORTH 55°40'38" EAST 164.17 FEET; (16) NORTH 63°21'03" EAST 214.54 FEET; (17) NORTH 47°28'15" EAST 109.81 FEET; (18) NORTH 35°33'11" EAST 50.53 FEET; (19) NORTH 74°39'08" EAST 33.51 FEET; (20) ALONG THE

ARC OF A 170.00 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT 105.53 FEET WITH A CHORD BEARING SOUTH 02°26'11" WEST 103.85 FEET; (21) SOUTH 20°13'14" WEST 165.87 FEET; (22) ALONG THE ARC OF A 280.00 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE LEFT 59.65 FEET WITH A CHORD BEARING SOUTH 14°07'02" WEST 59.54 FEET; (23) ALONG THE ARC OF A 25.00 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT 35.15 FEET WITH A CHORD BEARING SOUTH 48°17'46" WEST 32.33 FEET; (24) SOUTH 88°34'43" WEST 33.86 FEET; (25) ALONG THE ARC OF A 525.00 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE LEFT 71.48 FEET WITH A CHORD BEARING SOUTH 84°40'41" WEST 71.43 FEET; (26) SOUTH 80°46'39" WEST 8.53 FEET; (27) ALONG THE ARC OF A 25.00 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT 29.54 FEET WITH A CHORD BEARING NORTH 65°22'05" WEST 27.85 FEET; (28) ALONG THE ARC OF A 47.50 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE LEFT 111.55 FEET WITH A CHORD BEARING SOUTH 81°12'42" WEST 87.63 FEET; (29) NORTH 73°20'57" WEST 30.75 FEET; (30) SOUTH 29°26'32" WEST 143.70 FEET; (31) SOUTH 60°33'28" EAST 162.14 FEET; (32) NORTH 29°26'32" EAST 43.31 FEET; (33) NORTH 88°34'43" EAST 133.38 FEET; (34) NORTH 01°25'17" WEST 114.83 FEET; (35) NORTH 88°34'43" EAST 33.67 FEET; (36) ALONG THE ARC OF A 25.00 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT 35.15 FEET WITH A CHORD BEARING SOUTH 51°08'21" EAST 32.33 FEET; (37) ALONG THE ARC OF A 280.00 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE LEFT 102.00 FEET WITH A CHORD BEARING SOUTH 21°17'34" EAST 101.44 FEET; (38) SOUTH 31°43'43" EAST 66.92 FEET TO THE NORTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF FAIRWAYS DRIVE; THENCE ALONG SAID NORTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE THE FOLLOWING FOUR (4) COURSES: (1) SOUTH 61°09'26" WEST 249.06 FEET; (2) ALONG THE ARC OF A 560.00 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT 302.33 FEET WITH A CHORD BEARING SOUTH 76°37'25" WEST 298.67 FEET; (3) NORTH 87°54'37" WEST 408.86 FEET; (4) ALONG THE ARC OF A 780.00 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE LEFT 418.64 FEET WITH A CHORD BEARING SOUTH 76°42'50" WEST 413.63 FEET TO THE WEST SECTION LINE OF SAID NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 22; THENCE ALONG SAID WEST SECTION LINE NORTH 00°20'47" EAST 168.48 FEET; THENCE NORTH 89°32'10" WEST 66.09 FEET; THENCE NORTH 61°48'17" WEST 323.90 FEET; THENCE NORTH 23°10'15" WEST 180.39 FEET TO SAID SOUTH SECTION LINE OF THE SOUTH HALF OF SECTION 16; THENCE ALONG SAID SOUTH SECTION LINE SOUTH 89°27'01" EAST 424.94 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING. CONTAINING 9,764,843 SQUARE FEET OR 224.170 ACRES.

LESS AND EXCEPTING THE FOLLOWING (POND):

A PART OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 16, TOWNSHIP 7 NORTH, RANGE 1 EAST, OF THE SALT LAKE BASE AND MERIDIAN. MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT A POINT BEING LOCATED NORTH 89°27'01" WEST 1263.72 FEET ALONG THE SOUTH SECTION LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST QUARTER SECTION AND NORTH 00°00'00" EAST 457.38 FEET FROM THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 16; RUNNING THENCE NORTH 00°00'00" EAST 595.32 FEET; THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF A 239.73 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT 175.42 FEET WITH AN INTERNAL ANGLE OF 41°55'32" AND A CHORD BEARING NORTH 25°35'35" EAST 171.53 FEET; THENCE NORTH 84°56'35" EAST 155.00 FEET; THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF A 425.00 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE LEFT 154.33 FEET WITH AN INTERNAL ANGLE OF 20°48'22" AND A CHORD BEARING NORTH 74°32'24" EAST 153.49 FEET; THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF A 918.53 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT 398.61 FEET WITH AN INTERNAL ANGLE OF 24°51'52" AND A CHORD BEARING SOUTH 75°24'49" EAST 395.49 FEET; THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF A 439.94 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT 440.81 FEET WITH AN INTERNAL ANGLE OF 57°24'33" AND A CHORD BEARING SOUTH 06°52'23" EAST 422.60 FEET; THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF A 1363.03 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT 584.62 FEET WITH AN INTERNAL ANGLE OF 24°34'30" AND A CHORD BEARING SOUTH 45°06'40" WEST 580.15 FEET; THENCE ALONG THE ARC OF A 311.61 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT 457.57 FEET WITH AN INTERNAL ANGLE OF 84°08'02" AND A CHORD BEARING NORTH 72°43'27" WEST 417.56 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING. CONTAINING 645,518 SQUARE FEET OR 14.819 ACRES.

ALSO,

A PART OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 7 NORTH, RANGE 1 EAST, OF THE SALT LAKE BASE AND MERIDIAN. MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS.

BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE NORTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF SNOWFLAKE DRIVE, SAID POINT BEING LOCATED SOUTH 89°12'43" EAST 1488.11 FEET ALONG THE SOUTH SECTION LINE OF SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER SECTION AND NORTH 00°00'00" EAST 649.63 FEET FROM THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 15; RUNNING THENCE ALONG SAID NORTH RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE THE FOLLOWING FIVE (5) COURSES: (1) ALONG THE ARC OF A 175.00 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT 53.90 FEET WITH A CHORD BEARING NORTH 73°29'18" WEST 53.69 FEET; (2) NORTH 64°39'50" WEST 221.17 FEET; (3) ALONG THE ARC OF A 225.00 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE LEFT 261.22 FEET WITH A CHORD BEARING NORTH 86°11'02" WEST 35.36 FEET; (4) SOUTH 48°48'58" WEST 2.41 FEET; (5) ALONG THE ARC OF A 25.00 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT 39.27 FEET WITH A CHORD BEARING NORTH 86°11'02" WEST 35.36 FEET TO THE EAST SUBDIVISION LINE OF MOUNTAINSIDE SUBDIVISION PHASES 1 & 2; THENCE ALONG SAID EAST SUBDIVISION LINE THE FOLLOWING THIRTEEN (13) COURSES: (1) NORTH 41°11'02" WEST 97.33 FEET; (2) NORTH 73°14'17" EAST 70.00 FEET; (3) NORTH 16°45'43" WEST 120.00 FEET; (4) SOUTH 73°14'17" WEST 26.00 FEET; (5) NORTH 16°45'43" WEST 190.57 FEET; (6) NORTH 81°43'29" EAST 25.56 FEET; (7) NORTH 08°16'31" WEST 353.98 FEET; (8) NORTH 21°36'21" WEST 122.13 FEET; (9) NORTH 41°54'47" WEST 95.87 FEET; (10) NORTH 49°43'28" WEST 265.29 FEET; (11) NORTH 41°29'36" WEST 192.31 FEET; (12) NORTH 24°32'29" WEST 355.32 FEET; (13) NORTH 37°53'34" WEST 251.55 FEET TO THE EAST SUBDIVISION LINE OF THE GROVE CABINS SUBDIVISION PHASE 1; THENCE ALONG SAID EAST SUBDIVISION LINE NORTH 01°19'51" EAST 189.49 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 88°40'09" EAST 1418.93 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 00°20'39" WEST 1992.62 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING. CONTAINING 1,784,848 SQUARE FEET OR 40.974 ACRES.

LESS AND EXCEPTING THE FOLLOWING:

THAT PORTION OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 7 NORTH, RANGE 1 EAST SALT LAKE BASE & MERIDIAN AS DESCRIBED IN SPECIAL WARRANTY DEED RECORDED AS ENTRY #2514194, LOCATED IN THE COUNTY OF WEBER, STATE OF UTAH, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

A CIRCULAR PARCEL OF LAND HAVING A RADIUS OF 100.00 FEET AND A CENTER POINT LOCATED

SOUTH 89°12'43" EAST 1272.43 FEET ALONG THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID SECTION 15 AND NORTH 00°00'00" EAST 1938.50 FEET FROM THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 15. CONTAINING 0.72 ACRES.

ALSO,

THAT PORTION OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 7 NORTH, RANGE 1 EAST SALT LAKE BASE & MERIDIAN AS DESCRIBED IN SPECIAL WARRANTY DEED RECORDED AS ENTRY #2514195, LOCATED IN THE COUNTY OF WEBER, STATE OF UTAH, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

A CIRCULAR PARCEL OF LAND HAVING A RADIUS OF 63.00 FEET AND A CENTER POINT LOCATED SOUTH 89°12'43" EAST 1410.68 FEET ALONG THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID SECTION 15 AND NORTH 00°00'00" EAST 1377.81 FEET FROM THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 15. CONTAINING 0.29 ACRES.

<u>EXHIBIT B</u>

MASTER PLAN

Will be attached electronically at 7" x 11" and a full-sized version will also be filed.

EXHIBIT C

PTOS PLAN

Will be attached electronically at 7" x 11" and a full-sized version will also be filed.

EXHIBIT D

TECHNICAL GUIDELINES

Road profiles will be attached electronically at 7" x 11" and a full-sized version will also be filed.

Maximum length of a cul-de-sac may be up to 2,000 feet without a mid-block walkway and can support up to 30 single family dwellings with a dedicated emergency fire access.

<u>EXHIBIT E</u>

DESIGN GUIDELINES

Intended Land Uses

All Uses allowed in the Residential Estates Zone 20 (RE-20) zone (whether listed as "permitted" or "conditional" therein) shall be deemed Permitted Uses.

Short Term Rentals (STRs)

All STRs shall have two parking stalls as illustrated on Exhibit "B".

On-site processing

Hours of operation shall be limited to 8AM to 6PM, Monday through Saturday. A 6-foot berm shall be placed around the perimeter of the processing site.

Haul trucks leaving shall be limited to seven per hour

The operation shall comply with all otherwise applicable Federal, State and County regulations regarding noise, dust and storm water.

Master Developer shall take all necessary steps to ensure that any noise generated by the crushing and screening of materials shall no exceed 75 db at the home of the nearest neighbor and shall contract with an independent sound monitoring company to measure such noise compliance.

Before any on-site processing begins any public roads to be used for transportation of the processed material will be videoed. Any material, non-normal, wear and tear on those public roads will be promptly repaired by Master Developer at the conclusion of the operations. No landscaping plan will be required.

The on-site processing shall be allowed for a period of up to ninety (90) days which shall be automatically extended if Master Developer is not in default of the ARMDA including these specific requirements.

Temporary Construction Building

Permitted Use for up to 24 months. No landscaping plan is required.

Site Development Standards

Cabins. RDUs labeled as "Cabins" on Exhibit B are 1,000 Square Feet (SF) to 1,500 SF of habitable living space. The minimum lot area for Cabins is 2,000 SF. The front setback is 10 feet, side setback 5 feet, side facing a street setback 5 feet, and, rear is 10 feet. Cabins are single level floor plans with no garage area.

Sidewalks will be installed as shown on the Exhibit B site plan.

Other RDUs. RDUs not labeled as "Cabins" shall have a minimum lot area of 4,000 SF with front setbacks of 15 feet, side setbacks of 7.5 feet, side facing a street setback of 7.5 feet, and, rear

setbacks of 20 feet.

Non Residential Structures

The minimum lot area shall be 4,000 SF with a front setback of 15 feet, side setback of 7.5 feet, side facing a street setback of 7.5 feet, and, a rear setback of 20 feet.