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## Executive Summary

The Ogden Valley Community Church is proposed to be located at 9228 East / 100 South (SR 39) in Huntsville, Utah. The church is expected to meet on Sundays and have approximately 100 people. In addition, there are planned meetings every other Thursday evening with about 10 people in attendance. The 20 year expected growth of the church is to a maximum occupancy of up to 295 patrons. Based on this information, Sunday will be the peak period and the site is projected to generate 78 Sunday peak hour trips in 2015 and up to 232 Sunday peak hour trips at full build out. The site is planning one full motion accesses along 100 South. The following assumptions were made:

- Turning movement counts at 100 South / 7800 East yield a peak hour factor of 0.81 . This is assumed at the intersection and for through traffic at the access. A PHF of 0.5 was applied to access ingress and egress due to the nature of a church in arriving and departing traffic.
- The church was assumed to have one session that begins and ends within a single hour. This would be the most critical traffic assumption.
- Growth rate of $1 \%$ is applied to the background traffic.
- Sunday peak hour trips are provided from land use 560. To provide conservative trip generation and analysis this trip rate was assumed for the opening of the site in 2015. In 2020 with the higher number of patrons the trip rate was multiplied by 2.95 which maintains the same people per vehicle rate of 2.5 .
- Analysis was performed without an eastbound right turn lane for the access. The volumes warrant a left turn deceleration lane for a category 4 roadway but the analysis shows that operationally this is not necessary.
- ATR data along SR 39 show that October counts are $94.04 \%$ of the annual average.

All site accesses and included intersections operate with LOS A throughout the study period. The recommended access location is to align with 9200 East. With the access location at 9200 East, there are several residential accesses within 500 feet therefore a variance is required. Per the requirements for a category 4 roadway a left turn deceleration lane is required, however the capacity analysis shows that the left ingress will operate with LOS A without the left turn ingress lane.

## I. Introduction

The following provides a traffic impact analysis for the proposed Ogden Valley Community Church at 9228 East / 100 South (SR 39) in Huntsville, Utah. The church is expected to meet on Sundays and have approximately 100 people with meetings every other Thursday evening with about 10 people in attendance. The 20 year expected growth of the church is to a maximum occupancy of 295 patrons. For the purpose of this analysis and to provide a conservative analysis 100 patrons are assumed in 2015 and 295 patrons are assumed in 2020. The site is projected to generate 78 Sunday peak hour trips. The site is planning one full motion accesses along 100 South. The following intersections are included in the analysis.

- 100 South/ 7800 East
- 100 South/ Access

Figure 1 shows the location of the site. Figure 2 shows the conceptual site plan.



## II. Study Area and Existing Condition

The Ogden Valley Community Church is located north of 100 South at 9228 East in Huntsville, Utah. The primary route considered within the study is as follows:

100 South
100 South is State Route 39. It is currently a 2 lane facility with one lane in each direction with a 2012 AADT of 1,935 vehicles per day.

Existing traffic counts were done at 100 South / 7800 East from 11:00 AM to 12:00 PM on Sunday October $12^{\text {th }}$. Figure 3 shows existing traffic counts. This intersection is a two way stop controlled intersection with the north/south movements free. There are separate north and southbound left turn lanes and a separate northbound right turn lane. All other movements are shared with the through movements.


## III. Trip Generation

Trip generation for the site was done using The Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation ( $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition) handbook. Sunday peak hour trips are provided from land use 560. To provide conservative trip generation and analysis this trip rate was assumed for the opening of the site in 2015. In 2020 with the higher number of patrons the trip rate was multiplied by 2.95 which maintains the same people per vehicle rate of 2.5. Table 1 shows the peak hour trips generated for the site.

Table 1: Trip Generation ITE

|  | Size | Land Use | Trip Rate | Trips | \% In | \% Out | Trips In | Trips Out |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Church 2015 | 6,657 | 560 | 11.76 | 78 | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | 39 | 39 |
| Church 2020 | 6,657 | 560 | 34.69 | 231 | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | 116 | 116 |

## IV. Origin Destination

Project site traffic was applied to the origin-destination (O-D) for the site. Origin-destination was determined from evaluating the existing traffic patterns, the proximity of the interstate system and regional and community retail and employment centers. The origin-destination was determined as follows:

- $5 \%$ to/from east along 100 South
- $15 \%$ to/from south along 7800 East
- $30 \%$ to/from north along 7800 East
- $50 \%$ to/from west along 100 South

Site Traffic for 2015 and 2020 is shown in Figure 4.


## V. Growth

Growth in the area was determined from considering historic growth along SR 39 and 7800 East. 2012 daily traffic volumes were determined from UDOT’s Average Annual Daily Traffic. The growth in the area has wide variance and shows negative growth along SR 39 in front of the site, therefore a growth rate of $1.0 \%$ was assumed. This yields a opening growth factor of 1.01 for 2015 and a growth factor for the 6 year future horizon of 1.06. The AADT and growth rates are shown in Table 2. Table 3 shows the growth rate and growth factor.

Table 2: 2012 AADT and Future Projections

| Year | SR 39 |  | SR 39 |  | RT 3469 |  | RT 3468 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AADT | Growth | AADT | Growth | AADT | Growth | AADT | Growth |
| 2003 | 1985 |  | 3040 |  | 1813 |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 1975 | $-1 \%$ | 3320 | $9 \%$ | 2830 | $56 \%$ |  |  |
| 2005 | 2005 | $2 \%$ | 3160 | $-5 \%$ | 2925 | $3 \%$ |  |  |
| 2006 | 2060 | $3 \%$ | 4765 | $51 \%$ | 3170 | $8 \%$ |  |  |
| 2007 | 1885 | $-8 \%$ | 4680 | $-2 \%$ | 3190 | $1 \%$ | 500 |  |
| 2008 | 1820 | $-3 \%$ | 4555 | $-3 \%$ | 3105 | $-3 \%$ | 1040 | $108 \%$ |
| 2009 | 1850 | $2 \%$ | 4595 | $1 \%$ | 3160 | $2 \%$ | 1060 | $2 \%$ |
| 2010 | 1870 | $1 \%$ | 4515 | $-2 \%$ | 3220 | $2 \%$ | 1080 | $2 \%$ |
| 2011 | 1955 | $5 \%$ | 3950 | $-13 \%$ | 2055 | $-36 \%$ | 1075 | $0 \%$ |
| 2012 | 1935 | $-1 \%$ | 5595 | $42 \%$ | 2015 | $-2 \%$ | 1055 | $-2 \%$ |
| Average Growth |  | $\mathbf{- 0 . 2 1 \%}$ |  | $\mathbf{8 . 7 8 \%}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 . 2 3 \%}$ |  | $\mathbf{0 . 3 7 \%}$ |

Table 3: Average Growth Rates and Growth Factors

|  | Growth Rate | Years | Analysis <br> Year | Growth Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Growth from 2014-2020 | $1.00 \%$ | 1 | 2015 | 1.01 |
|  |  | 2020 | 1.06 |  |

Background traffic is derived by multiplying the existing traffic by the growth factor. 2015/2020 Background Traffic is shown in Figure 5. Total traffic in the area for the future projection years is derived by adding the non-site volume forecasts to the site trip distribution. 2015/2020 Total Traffic is shown in Figure 6.



## VI. Traffic Analysis

The 2010 Highway Capacity Manual defines the Level of Service (LOS) for both signalized and unsignalized intersections as a range of average experienced delay. LOS is a qualitative rating of traveler satisfaction from A to F whereby LOS A is good and LOS F poor. Table 4 shows the LOS range by delay for unsignalized and signalized intersections and accesses.

Table 4: Intersection LOS-Delay Relationship

|  | Unsignalized | Signalized |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of <br> Service | Total Delay per Vehicle <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ | Total Delay per Vehicle <br> $(\mathrm{sec})$ |
| A | $\leq 10.0$ | $\leq 10.0$ |
| B | $>10.0$ and $\leq 15.0$ | $>10.0$ and $\leq 20.0$ |
| C | $>15.0$ and $\leq 25.0$ | $>20.0$ and $\leq 35.0$ |
| D | $>25.0$ and $\leq 35.0$ | $>35.0$ and $\leq 55.0$ |
| E | $>35.0$ and $\leq 50.0$ | $>55.0$ and $\leq 80.0$ |
| F | $>50.0$ | $>80.0$ |

The intersection and access analysis evaluates the performance of each intersection and access using the measure of performance of delay and level of service (LOS). Table 5 and Table 6 show the intersection and access analysis.
The optimal location for the site access would be to align with 9200 South.

## Assumptions

- Turning movement counts at 100 South/ 7800 East yield a peak hour factor of 0.81 . This is assumed at the intersection and for through traffic at the access. A PHF of 0.5 was applied to access ingress and egress.
- The church was assumed to have one session that begins and ends within a single hour.
- Analysis was performed without a eastbound right turn lane for the access. The volumes warrant a left turn deceleration lane for a category 4 roadway but the analysis shows that operationally this is not necessary.
- ATR data along SR 39 show that October counts are $94.04 \%$ of the annual average.


## Analysis Results

Based on the analysis, all intersections and accesses operate at a LOS A throughout the study period.

Table 5: $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ South/ 7800 East Intersection Analysis

|  | EBLTR |  | WBLTR |  | NBL |  | SBL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 Existing | 9.4 | A | 10.4 | B | 7.4 | A | 7.5 | A |
| 2015 Background | 10.6 | B | 11.5 | B | 7.4 | A | 7.5 | A |
| 2015 Total | 10.6 | B | 11.5 | B | 7.4 | A | 7.5 | A |
| 2020 Background | 10.7 | B | 11.7 | B | 7.4 | A | 7.5 | A |
| 2020 Total | 12.6 | B | 15 | C | 7.4 | A | 7.6 | A |

Table 6: 100 South/ Access Intersection Analysis

|  | EBL |  | SBLR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 Total | 3.7 | A | 9.5 | A |
| 2020 Total | 6.0 | A | 11.1 | B |

## VII. Queue Length

Based on the projected traffic, queue storage length requirements can be determined. To determine if sufficient storage space exists to accommodate the projected demand, the intersection and accesses included in this traffic study are analyzed for queue storage capacity. The queue lengths are provided by the HCS analysis. Once the storage length is determined, this can typically be compared to the available storage length within the provided turn pockets or between intersections.

A minimum 100-foot storage at unsignalized intersections is recommended regardless of the queue analysis findings. Table 7 shows the minimum recommended queue storage length that should be provided based on the critical unsignalized and signalized calculation and projected traffic demand.

Table 7: Queue Analysis

|  | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 South/ 7800 East | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 100 South/ Access |  | 100 |  | 100 |

## VIII. Conflict Discussion

The addition of any new accesses will generate vehicular conflict movements. The conflict is generated when two or more movements interfere with each other. For example in a typical intersection northbound and westbound movements cannot proceed at the same time since they interfere with each other. The conflict movements are further classified into 3 categories: crossing, diverging, and merging. At a four-way, unsignalized intersection there are a total of 32 conflicting movements. At a three-way, full movement access, there are nine conflict points. Why the access locations relative to each other are important is because when these accesses get too close to one another, the number of additional or overlapping conflict points increases, thereby reducing safety. This is the safety premise behind the access management of roadway facilities (ITE Transportation and Land Development, $2{ }^{\text {nd }}$ edition). Figure 7 shows a conflict diagram.



Figure 8: $\mathbf{7 8 0 0}$ East/ 100 South Intersection


## IX. Access and Roadway Requirements

The site is located along 100 South (SR 39) at approximately mile post 3.5 and has a posted speed limit of 55 MPH. SR 39 is a Category 4 roadway and is not a part of the National Highway System. Per Administrative Rule R930-6, roadway spacing is measured from end of radius to end of radius and a category 4 roadway has a recommended signal spacing of 2,640 feet, city street spacing of 660 feet and an access spacing of 500 feet. The recommended access location is to align with 9200 East. With the access location at 9200 East there are several residential accesses within 500 feet therefore a variance is required.

## Auxiliary Lane Requirements:

(I) A left turn deceleration lane with taper and storage length is required for any access with a projected peak hour left ingress turning volume greater than 10 vehicles per hour. The taper length must be included in the required deceleration length.
(II) A right turn deceleration lane and taper length is required for any access with a projected peak hour right ingress turning volume greater than 25 vehicles per hour. The taper length must be included in the required deceleration length.
(III) A right turn acceleration lane and taper length is required for any access with a projected peak hour right turning volume greater than 50 vehicles per hour when the posted speed on the highway is greater than 40 mph . The taper length must be included in the required acceleration length. A right turn acceleration lane may also be required at a signalized intersection if a free-right turn is needed to maintain an appropriate level of service for the intersection.
(IV) Right turn deceleration and acceleration lanes are generally not required on roadways with three or more travel lanes in the direction of the right turn.
(V) A left turn acceleration lane may be required if it will be a benefit to the safety and operation of the roadway.
(VI) A left turn acceleration lane is generally not required where the posted speed is less than 45 mph , the intersection is signalized, or the acceleration lane would interfere with the left turn ingress movements to any other access.
Per the requirements for a category 4 roadway a left turn deceleration lane is required.

## X. Conclusion

The Ogden Valley Community Church is located at 9228 East/ 100 South (SR 39) in Huntsville, Utah. The church is expected to meet on Sundays and have approximately 100 people and have meetings every other Thursday evening with about 10 people in attendance. The 20 year expected growth of the church is to a maximum occupancy of 295 patrons. The site is projected to generate 78 Sunday peak hour trips in 2015 and 232 Sunday peak hour trips at full build out. The site is planning one full motion accesses along 100 South.

All site accesses and included intersections operate with LOS A throughout the study period. The recommended access location is to align with 9200 East. With the access location at 9200 East there are several residential accesses within 500 feet therefore a variance is required. Per the requirements for a category 4 roadway a left turn deceleration lane is required, however the capacity analysis shows that the left ingress will operate with LOS A without the left turn ingress lane.

## APPENDICES

Appendix A Traffic Counts and Projections
Appendix B Intersection Analyses
Appendix C Access Analyses

## Appendix A Traffic Counts and Projections



## Historic Growth

AADT from UDOT Traffic on Utah Highways

| SR 39 |  | SR 39 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | AADT | Growth |  | Year | AADT | Growth |
| 2003 | 1985 | \#DIV/0! |  | 2003 | 3040 | \#DIV/0! |
| 2004 | 1975 | -1\% |  | 2004 | 3320 | 9\% |
| 2005 | 2005 | 2\% |  | 2005 | 3160 | -5\% |
| 2006 | 2060 | 3\% |  | 2006 | 4765 | 51\% |
| 2007 | 1885 | -8\% |  | 2007 | 4680 | -2\% |
| 2008 | 1820 | -3\% |  | 2008 | 4555 | -3\% |
| 2009 | 1850 | 2\% |  | 2009 | 4595 | 1\% |
| 2010 | 1870 | 1\% |  | 2010 | 4515 | -2\% |
| 2011 | 1955 | 5\% |  | 2011 | 3950 | -13\% |
| 2012 | 1935 | -1\% |  | 2012 | 5595 | 42\% |
|  | -0.21\% |  |  |  | Avg | 8.78\% |
| Rt 3469 |  | SR 39 |  |  |  |  |
| Year | AADT | Growth |  | Year | AADT | Growth |
| 2003 | 1813 | \#DIV/0! |  | 2003 | \#DIV/0! |  |
| 2004 | 2830 | 56\% |  | 2004 | \#DIV/0! |  |
| 2005 | 2925 | 3\% |  | 2005 | \#DIV/O! |  |
| 2006 | 3170 | 8\% |  | 2006 | \#DIV/0! |  |
| 2007 | 3190 | 1\% |  | 2007 | 500 \#DIV/0! |  |
| 2008 | 3105 | -3\% |  | 2008 | 1040 | 108\% |
| 2009 | 3160 | 2\% |  | 2009 | 1060 | 2\% |
| 2010 | 3220 | 2\% |  | 2010 | 1080 | 2\% |
| 2011 | 2055 | -36\% |  | 2011 | 1075 | 0\% |
| 2012 | 2015 | -2\% |  | 2012 | 1055 | -2\% |
|  | 2.23\% |  |  |  | Avg | 0.37\% |
| 1.00\% | Growth Factor | Years | Analysis Year |  |  |  |
|  | 1.01 | 1 | 2015 |  |  |  |
|  | 1.06 | 6 | 2020 |  |  |  |

## TRIP GENERATION



Trip Distribution

| 100 South/ 7800 East |  |  | 1.01 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.06 |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2015 | 2020 | 2015 | 2015 | 2020 | 2020 |  |  |
| AM | Existing | Site | Site | 3ackgrounq | Total | 3ackgrounc | Total |  |  |
| EBL | 7 |  |  | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |  |  |
| EBT | 2 | 20 | 58 | 2 | 22 | 2 | 60 |  |  |
| EBR | 11 |  |  | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 |  |  |
| WBL | 87 | 6 | 17 | 88 | 94 | 92 | 109 |  |  |
| WBT | 1 | 20 | 58 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 59 |  |  |
| WBR | 25 | 12 | 35 | 25 | 37 | 27 | 62 |  |  |
| NBL | 13 |  |  | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 |  |  |
| NBT | 40 |  |  | 40 | 40 | 42 | 42 |  |  |
| NBR | 56 | 6 | 17 | 57 | 63 | 59 | 76 |  |  |
| SBL | 14 | 12 | 35 | 14 | 26 | 15 | 50 |  |  |
| SBT | 37 |  |  | 37 | 37 | 39 | 39 |  |  |
| SBR | 9 |  |  | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 |  |  |


| 100 South/ Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2015 | 2020 | 2015 | 2015 | 2020 | 2020 |
| AM | Existing | Site | Site | 3ackground | Total | 3ackgrounc | Total |
| EBL |  | 37 | 110 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 110 |
| EBT | 72 |  |  | 73 | 73 | 76 | 76 |
| EBR |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WBL |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WBT | 113 |  |  |  | 114 | 114 | 120 |
| WBR |  |  |  | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| NBL |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| NBT |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NBR |  |  |  | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| SBL |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SBT |  |  |  | 110 | 0 | 37 | 0 |
| SBR |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |

Traffic Impact Study
Appendix B Intersection Analyses

|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 |  |  | \$ |  | ${ }^{4}$ | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }_{1}$ | $\dagger$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 7 | 2 | 11 | 87 | 1 | 25 | 13 | 40 | 56 | 14 | 37 | 9 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 9 | 2 | 14 | 107 | 1 | 31 | 16 | 49 | 69 | 17 | 46 | 11 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (tt/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC , conflicting volume | 199 | 236 | 51 | 177 | 173 | 49 | 57 |  |  | 119 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 199 | 236 | 51 | 177 | 173 | 49 | 57 |  |  | 119 |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 | 100 | 99 | 86 | 100 | 97 | 99 |  |  | 99 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 724 | 650 | 1017 | 760 | 705 | 1019 | 1548 |  |  | 1470 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | NB 3 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 25 | 140 | 16 | 49 | 69 | 17 | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 9 | 107 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 14 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 848 | 805 | 1548 | 1700 | 1700 | 1470 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( t ) | 2 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.4 | 10.4 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | B | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.4 | 10.4 | 0.9 |  |  | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 5.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 26.9\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 |  |  | \$ |  | ${ }^{4}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{4}$ | $\dagger$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 7 | 22 | 11 | 94 | 21 | 37 | 13 | 40 | 63 | 26 | 37 | 9 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 9 | 27 | 14 | 116 | 26 | 46 | 16 | 49 | 78 | 32 | 46 | 11 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (tt/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 256 | 275 | 51 | 219 | 202 | 49 | 57 |  |  | 127 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 256 | 275 | 51 | 219 | 202 | 49 | 57 |  |  | 127 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 | 96 | 99 | 83 | 96 | 96 | 99 |  |  | 98 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 631 | 612 | 1017 | 686 | 671 | 1019 | 1548 |  |  | 1459 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | NB 3 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 49 | 188 | 16 | 49 | 78 | 32 | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 9 | 116 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 14 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 691 | 743 | 1548 | 1700 | 1700 | 1459 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.07 | 0.25 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 6 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 10.6 | 11.5 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B | B | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 10.6 | 11.5 | 0.8 |  |  | 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 30.0\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 |  |  | \$ |  | ${ }^{4}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{4}$ | $\dagger$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 7 | 22 | 11 | 94 | 21 | 37 | 13 | 40 | 63 | 26 | 37 | 9 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 9 | 27 | 14 | 116 | 26 | 46 | 16 | 49 | 78 | 32 | 46 | 11 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (tt/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 256 | 275 | 51 | 219 | 202 | 49 | 57 |  |  | 127 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 256 | 275 | 51 | 219 | 202 | 49 | 57 |  |  | 127 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 | 96 | 99 | 83 | 96 | 96 | 99 |  |  | 98 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 631 | 612 | 1017 | 686 | 671 | 1019 | 1548 |  |  | 1459 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | NB 3 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 49 | 188 | 16 | 49 | 78 | 32 | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 9 | 116 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 14 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 691 | 743 | 1548 | 1700 | 1700 | 1459 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.07 | 0.25 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 6 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 10.6 | 11.5 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B | B | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 10.6 | 11.5 | 0.8 |  |  | 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 30.0\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $\square$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | * | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  | * | $\uparrow$ | 「 | * | F |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 7 | 22 | 12 | 98 | 21 | 39 | 14 | 42 | 65 | 27 | 39 | 10 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 9 | 27 | 15 | 121 | 26 | 48 | 17 | 52 | 80 | 33 | 48 | 12 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (tt/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC , conflicting volume | 269 | 288 | 54 | 230 | 214 | 52 | 60 |  |  | 132 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 269 | 288 | 54 | 230 | 214 | 52 | 60 |  |  | 132 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 | 95 | 99 | 82 | 96 | 95 | 99 |  |  | 98 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 616 | 601 | 1013 | 672 | 661 | 1016 | 1543 |  |  | 1453 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# EB | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | NB 3 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 51 | 195 | 17 | 52 | 80 | 33 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 9 | 121 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 15 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 685 | 732 | 1543 | 1700 | 1700 | 1453 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.07 | 0.27 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (t)Control Delay (s) | 6 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10.7 | 11.7 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B | B | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) 107 | 10.7 | 11.7 | 0.9 |  |  | 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 30.4\% |  | CU Level | fervice |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




